# Research Article Identification and distribution of benthic foraminifera in coastal waters of the Persian Gulf (Bushehr Province)

Sadr Arhami M.<sup>1</sup>; Ashja Ardalan A.<sup>1</sup>\*; Nejatkhah Manavi P.<sup>1</sup>; Moghaddasi B.<sup>2</sup>

Received: October 2019

Accepted: December 2019

#### Abstract

Benthic foraminifera in coastal sediments of the Persian Gulf were studied at four stations in summer and winter (August and February 2017), to identify and determine the species diversity. Sedimentary specimens were collected by a corer down to the depth of 5cm and by the diver down to the depths of 3, 5 and 10m at every station and transferred to the laboratory after being fixed (by 5% formalin solution). In this study, 10 species belonging to 8 genera and 5 families were identified. The highest abundance of the species is related to family Rotaliidae. *Ammonia beccari* and *Qunqueloculina bicostata* were dominant species in the region. The environmental factors including depth, temperature, dissolved oxygen, salinity, water pH, electrical conductivity and total dissolved solid using CTD and total organic matter concentration and sediment particle diameter were measured. The results of PCA test showed that temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen have an effect on the density of the foraminiferal communities. Also the study results showed that sediment particles (sand / silt + clay, sand / silt, sand and calcium carbonate) are important in determining species densities.

Keywords: Foraminifera, Identification, Distribution, Bushehr Province, Persian Gulf

<sup>1-</sup>Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, North Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Tehran, Iran.

<sup>2-</sup>Department of Natural Resources, Savadkoh Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Savadkoh, Iran

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's Email: A\_ashjaardalan@yahoo.com

## Introduction

Foraminifera are a group of animals belongs to the kingdom protista. Foraminifera are unicellular creatures which are of a simple structure in the primitive forms and a relatively more complicated structure in the developed forms. Just as amoeba, the body of foraminifera is made up of a cell with cytoplasm and nucleus (Ashoori and Najjarzadeh, 2002).

Foraminifera have an important role in the food chain of aquatic ecosystems and are involved in the transfer of energy to higher levels. The shells of these creatures precipitate after death and participate in the formation of sedimentary rocks(Wu and Shin, 1997). With increasing latitude and depth , the diversity of species of foraminifera is reduced (Fairbridge *et al.*, 1979).

The foraminifera are capable to move slowly in environment. Their movement is controlled by the stretching and pushing mechanism of their pseudopods at a speed of several millimeters per hour (Dimiza *et al.*, 2016).

The Persian Gulf is between 30 and 24 degrees north to 56 and 48 degrees Greenwich meridian east of the (Khaledi, 2000). Studies conducted in recent years in the Persian Gulf and the Sea of Oman including the study of the continental shelf of the Sea of Oman (Moghaddasi et al., 2009a) 52 species, the region of Busher (Mirdar et al., 2004) 29 species, the coasts of Qeshm Island (Sohrabi-Mollayousefi et al., 2010) 9 species, the region of Assaluyeh (Mooraki et al., 2013a) 15 species, and northwest Persian Gulf (Nabavi *et al.*, 2014) 93 specieswere identified and introduced in the waters of the Persian Gulf. Due to the great extent of Persian Gulf, there is a scattered research on the identification of benthic foraminifera in different Gulf coastlines, but a comprehensive study has not been carried out on this area along the coastline of Persian Gulf. The present research was to identify benthic foraminifera and to determine the importance in the study of changes in the ecosystem caused by various environmental factors.

## Materials and methods

Sediment sampling was carried out in coastal waters of northern Coasts of the Persian Gulf in four stations including Assaluveh. Kangan, **Bushehr** and Devlam at three depths of 3, 5 and 10m in summer (August) and winter (February) in 2017 (Fig. 1). Three transects perpendicular to the sea were taken .Samples were collected by a diver used corer at each station and fixed with 5% formalin solution. Electron images were obtained for species identification. . Identification keys were used such as Leoblich and Tappan (1964), Cushman (1969),Leoblich and Tappan (1988), and (1999). MOOPAM The relative abundanceof foraminiferal species at all depths were determined by counting with SEMduring both seasons. Table 1 shows the geographical coordinates.

In this study, some of the most important abiotic factors of sedimentary environment, including depth, water temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen concentration, and seawater pH in the vicinity of the bed surface at each station and the type of bed sediment aggregation, percent of total organic matter and calcium carbonate assay were also measured to investigate the

relationship between the extent of these factors and the structure of the biomass. Non-biological factors were measured by CTD and recorded at the sampling stations . nMDS test of foraminiferaand PCA test of environmental factors and substrate particles were conducted.




Figure 1: Map of sampling stations in the Persian Gulf (2017).

Station	Transect	Longitude (N)	Latitude (E)	(meter)Depth
	A1	27°: 27': 32/8"	52°: 37': 77/5"	3
A (Accolumob)	A2	27°: 27': 19/7"	52°: 37': 57/1"	5
(Assaluyeh)	A3	27°:26':85/6"	52°: 37': 23/1"	10
ъ	B1	27°: 50': 35/8"	52°:01' 75/7"	3
B (Irongon)	B2	27°: 50': 29/2"	52°:01':69/6"	5
(kangan)	B3	27°: 49': 57/3"	52°:01':53/7"	10
C	C1	8°:48':37/4"	50° : 55' : 65/6"	3
C (Decebacher)	C2	28°:48':14/7"	50° : 55' : 54/9"	5
(Bushehr)	C3	28°: 47': 88/7"	50° : 55' : 42/9"	10
D	D1	30° : 03' : 37/3"	50°:07':95/2"	3
D (Devlem)	D2	30° : 02' : 79/8"	50° : 06' : 91/0"	5
(Deylam)	D3	30°:02':34/0"	50° : 05' : 50/5"	10

Table 1: Geographical coordinates of sampling stations (2017).

Comparison of environmental factors between sampling stations was performed using one-way ANOVA and correlation between environmental factors with diversity and density of foraminifera, using Pearson correlation test. Excel 2016 and IBM SPSS Statistics 20 were used to design and draw charts. Percentage of biocenose to taphocenose foraminifera was measured at different stations in both seasons.

#### **Results**

In this study, 10 species belonging to eight genera and five families were identified. *Ammonia beccarii* was observed in all stations and family Rotaliidae had the most diversity among the identified families. Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images and classification of the identified species are presented in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

Family	Genus	Species
	Ammonia	A. beccarii
Rotaliidae	Elphidium	E. excavatum
	Asterorotalia	A. dentata
Peneroplidae	Peneroplis	P. planatus
		Q. bicostata
Hauerinidae	Qunqueloculina	Q. seminulum
	£que ce e unu	Qunqueloculina sp.
Curing 1 11 1	Spiroloculina	S. communis
Spirolosulinidae	Adelosina	Adelosina sp.
Textulariidae	Textularia	Textularia sp.

Table 2: Classification of identified benthic foraminifera in the Persian Gulf (2017).

Results of this study, including different depths, the seasons and species in the summer and winter are shown in Tables 3 and 4. In the two seasons, Kangan station had the highest percentage of benthic foraminifera. The lowest abundant is related to the Bushehr station in summer and Deylam station in winter.

AmmoniabeccariandQunqueloculinabicostatawerethemostabundantatmoststations.Qunqueloculinaseminulum,PeneroplisPlanatusandElphidiumexcavatumwerenotobservedatBushehrstationinbothseasons.TheenvironmentalareshowninTables5and6.

The results of sediment samples showed that the highest percentage of sand weight was at the Kangan station at the depth of 5m. The highest percentage of clay weight was observed at Deylam station at the depth of 10 m. On the other hand, the highest weight percentage of silt was measured in Asaluyeh and Kangan at the depth of 10m. The highest weight percentage of sand / silt ratio was obtained at the depth of 5m in Kangan Station and the highest percentage of sand weight / silt and clay were measured in Kangan station at the depth of 5 m (Table 7).


To find out the environmental characteristics of the bed, calcium carbonate content was measured at each station. The minimum mean calcium carbonate content at 3 m depth was 11.76 (%) at Asaluyeh station and its maximum was 40.26 (%) at 10 m depth at Deylam station.



Ammonia beccarii




Qunqueloculina




Elphidium excavatum




Peneroplis Planatus



Qunqueloculina sp.



Spiroloculina communis



Qunqueloculina seminulum

Adelosina sp.



Asterorotalia dentata



Textulariasp.

Figure 2: Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) images of benthic foraminifera in the Persian Gulf (2017) (500mm).

868 Sadr Arhami et al., Identification an	nd distribution of benthic	foraminifera in coastal	waters of

Table 3: Rela	ative ab	oundance	e of be	nthic fo	raminif	era in si	ummei	· (N/cn	n <sup>2</sup> ), Pe	rsian G	ulf (201	17) <sup>.</sup>
Name of the	Summer (2017)											
Species	(As	saluyeh			(Kangan			ıshehr		) C (Deylam) D		
opecies	A1	A2	A3	B1	B2	<b>B3</b>	C1	C2	C3	D1	D2	D3
Ammonia beccarii	20.52	20.52	26.75	9.05	15.56	4.95	8.06	1.69	1.98	10.61	38.92	4.38
Elphidium excavatum	2.83	0	0	1.55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asterorotalia dentata	0.84	2.97	0	0	8.20	0	9.2	0	3.68	0	0	0
Peneroplis Planatus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qunqueloculina bicostata	6.93	2.68	36.23	12.59	116.91	17.12	5.09	0.99	1.27	6.22	15.56	1.69
<i>Qunqueloculina</i> sp.	1.27	1.069	27.6	2.68	48.83	17.55	2.12	0	0	0	0	0
Qunqueloculina seminulum	1.27	1.98	35.10	3.82	49.25	1.69	0	0	0	0	0	5
Adelosina sp.	12.31	2.12	14.29	12.59	26.32	7.92	4.95	0	0.28	20.52	7.92	2.26
Spiroloculina communis	14.29	3.39	11.04	16.27	44.16	0	5.94	0	2.26	25.19	18.96	4.95
Textularia sp.	2.97	1.27	5.94	8.77	18.11	21.51	8.06	2.12	0	0	3.68	0

able 3: Relative abundance	of benthic foraminifer	a in summer (N	V/cm <sup>2</sup> ). Persian	Gulf (2017) <sup>.</sup>

Table 4: Relative abundance of benthic foraminifera in winter (N/cm<sup>2</sup>), Persian Gulf (2017).

Nome of the					W	vinter (2	017)					
Name of the Species	(A	ssaluy	eh) A	(]	Kangan)	B	<b>(B</b>	ushehi	r) C	(D	eylam)	D
species	A1	A2	A3	<b>B1</b>	B2	<b>B3</b>	C1	C2	C3	D1	D2	D3
Ammonia beccarii	16.7	29.72	10.61	16.98	138.71	106.15	0.70	7.92	15.56	2.68	1.69	1.13
Elphidium excavatum	7.5	7.92	4.38	12.03	17.69	48.83	0	0	0	0	0	0
Asterorotalia dentata	0	0	0	1.13	3.96	6.36	0	0	1.69	0	0	0
Peneroplis Planatus	0	0	0	0	1.13	0.42	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qunqueloculina bicostata	2.4	6.51	119.6	191.08	205.23	232.83	2.54	3.53	12.59	4.95	15.71	0.70
<i>Qunqueloculina</i> sp.	0	0.42	12.59	49.82	36.51	41.75	0	0	0	0	0	0
Qunqueloculina seminulum	1.55	2.54	16.277	36.09	79.97	37.5	0	0	0	1.41	1.13	0
Adelosina sp.	3.82	0	24.2	26.75	31.84	48.83	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spiroloculina communis	0	8.91	7.64	27.6	36.23	51.66	0	3.68	8.91	0	10.61	2.97
<i>Textularia</i> sp.	0	0.42	1.55	2.83	6.36	20.52	0	0	0	0	0	0

-

Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences 20(3) 2021

Table 5	Table 5: Environmental factors at stations during the sampling period, Persian Gulf (summer).								
station	Station No.	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	рН	Salinity (ppt)	EC (µ mho/cm)	Dissolved Oxygen (ppm)	TDS (Mg/l)	
	A1	3	$35.49 \pm 0.36$ <sup>cd</sup>	$7.66\pm0.2~^{bcd}$	$29.89\pm0.6\ ^{\rm k}$	62100±40°	5.49±0.34 <sup>j</sup>	40976±44.5 <sup>p</sup>	
Assaluyeh	A2	5	$35.34 \pm 0.59 \ ^{cd}$	$7.5\pm0.4~^{cd}$	$30.25\pm0.5\ ^k$	62040±20 <sup>p</sup>	5.43±0.3 <sup>j</sup>	40947±27.5 <sup>g</sup>	
	A3	10	$39.05\pm0.55~^a$	$7.51\pm0.3^{cd}$	$30.70\pm0.5^{\rm k}$	62000±25 <sup>p</sup>	$4.36{\pm}0.3^{k}$	40929±16.37 <sup>p</sup>	
	B1	3	$35.93 \pm 0.57 \ ^{bc}$	8.61 ±0.45 <sup>a</sup>	$34.8\pm0.5\ ^{hi}$	60120±15 <sup>t</sup>	$6.76 \pm 0.15$ g	39666±37.5 <sup>p</sup>	
Kangan	B2	5	$35.43\pm0.33~^{cd}$	8.45 ±0.4 ª	$34.43\pm0.3~^{j}$	66900±36.05e	$6.46 \pm 0.4^{\text{gh}}$	44182±40 <sup>d</sup>	
	B3	10	$35.17\pm0.5~^{cd}$	$8.34\pm0.41~^{a}$	$32.94 \pm \ 0.8^{\ j}$	61710±32.7 <sup>q</sup>	$5.43 \pm 0.35^{j}$	40690±42.25 <sup>p</sup>	
	C1	3	$35.3\pm0.28\ ^{c}$	$8.35\pm0.3\ ^a$	$36.23\pm0.6~^{efg}$	$67760 \pm 35^{bc}$	$6.23\ {\pm}0.15\ {^{hi}}$	44712±40 <sup>g</sup>	
Bushehr	C2	5	$35.1\pm0.57~^{cd}$	$8.48\pm0.41~^a$	$36.1\pm0.8^{efg}$	$65505{\pm}21.7^{\rm \ f}$	$6.17\ {\pm}0.13\ {}^{\rm hi}$	43206±27.5 <sup>k</sup>	
	C3	10	$36.86\pm0.29^{\text{b}}$	7.43 ±0.39 <sup>d</sup>	$35.\ 62\pm0.5^{fgn}$	$65510\pm5^{\mathrm{f}}$	6. 43 $\pm 0.23$ $^{gh}$	$43228\pm20^k$	
	D1	3	$34.2\pm0.28~^d$	8.54 ±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	$37.2\pm0.4~^{\text{cde}}$	69000±10 <sup>a</sup>	7. 34 $\pm 0.16^{ef}$	$45624{\pm}65^{d}$	
Deylam	D2	5	$34.98\pm0.37~^{cd}$	$8.17 \ \pm 0.15 \ ^{abc}$	$37.26\pm0.5~^{cde}$	63000±301	$7.7 \pm 0.1 \ ^{de}$	41582±80°	
	D3	10	$34.98\pm0.36~^{cd}$	$7.52 \pm 0.36$ <sup>cd</sup>	$36.63 \pm 0.5 \ ^{defg}$	67300±18.92 <sup>d</sup>	$7.63 \pm 0.15$ def	$44409 \pm 52.84^{h}$	

Table 6: Environmental factors at stations during the sampling period, Persian Gulf (winter).

station	Station No.	Depth (m)	Temperature (°C)	рН	Salinity (ppt)	EC (µ mho/cm)	DO (ppm)	TDS (Mg/L)
	A1	3	22.24± 0.59 °	$8.75\pm0.5\ ^{e}$	$36.6{\pm}0.7^{\rm \ defg}$	65400±20 <sup>g</sup>	6.54±0.32 <sup>gh</sup>	45120±21.07e
Asaluyeh	A2	5	$22.21\pm0.47~^{\text{e}}$	$6.59\pm0.4$ $^{\rm c}$	$35.5\pm\!\!0^{ghi}$	65400±10 <sup>g</sup>	6.43±0.3 <sup>gh</sup>	45130±21.07 <sup>g</sup>
	A3	10	$20.34 \pm 0.17 \ ^{e}$	$7.4\pm0.4^{cd}$	$37.07\pm0.8~^{cde}$	62350±15 <sup>m</sup>	$5.83 \pm \hspace{-0.05cm} 0.3^{ij}$	43000±20 <sup> i</sup>
	B1	3	$20.50\pm0.$ 29 $^{\rm f}$	8.25 ±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	$36.8\pm0.\ 7^{\ def}$	64260±35 <sup>j</sup>	7.66 ±0.2 <sup>def</sup>	44310±20 <sup>p</sup>
Kangan	B2	5	$19.83 \pm 0.32 \ ^{\rm f}$	$8.63 \pm \! 0.4$ $^{\rm a}$	$37.03\pm0.7~^{cde}$	65200±20 <sup>h</sup>	$8.5 \pm 0.2^{ab}$	44310±20 <sup>i</sup>
	B3	10	$18.4{\pm}0.38^{\rm \ f}$	$8.37\pm0.4~^{a}$	$37\pm0.8$ <sup>cde</sup>	61510±21.7 <sup>r</sup>	7.93 ±0.15 <sup>cd</sup>	$44970 \pm 40^{f}$
	C1	3	$19.7\pm0.28\ ^g$	$8.29\pm0.37~^{ab}$	$37.77\pm0.4~^{cd}$	67800±45.8 <sup>bc</sup>	$7.45 \pm 0.27^{ef}$	42440±30 <sup>m</sup>
Bushehr	C2	5	$20.16 \pm 0.28 \ ^{\rm f}$	$8.52\pm0.44~^a$	$38.08 \pm 0.38 \ ^{bc}$	60900±20 <sup>s</sup>	$7.24 \pm 0.14^{\rm f}$	46820±23ª
	C3	10	$18.76\pm0.37^{\rm f}$	8.75 ±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	$76.76\pm0.65^{cde}$	64200±17.55 <sup>k</sup>	7.73 $\pm 0.15$ $^{de}$	42000±50 <sup>m</sup>
	D1	3	$18.27\pm0.$ 28 $^{g}$	8.37 ±0.25 <sup>a</sup>	$39.13\pm0.\ 9\ ^{ab}$	62300±20 <sup>n</sup>	8. 23 ±0.15 <sup>bc</sup>	45740±48°
Deylam	D2	5	$18.5\pm0.9~^{\rm g}$	8.57 ±0.15 ª	$39.28\pm0.9~^a$	64900±17. 99 <sup>i</sup>	8.4 ±0.2 <sup>ab</sup>	44740±58.10 <sup>g</sup>
	D3	10	$16.76\pm0.5\ ^{\rm h}$	8.37 ±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	$37.34\pm0.5~^{cde}$	67750±10 <sup>c</sup>	8.37 ±0.20 <sup>a</sup>	46730±70 <sup>b</sup>

The percentages of calcium carbonate in different stations are shown in Table 8.

To investigate the effect of season factors (summer and winter), area (Asaluyeh, Kangan, Bushehr and Deylam) and depth (3 m, 5 m and 10 m) on the structure and composition of foraminifera species by three-way ANOVA test (3 -way permutational MANOVA) was used. Main test results showed that season and station had significant effect on foraminiferal species composition (p<0.05) but no significant effect on depth composition

foraminiferal species (*p*>0.05). for Pairwise test comparisons revealed significant differences species in composition between the summer and winter seasons as well as significant differences between Asaluyeh/ Kangan, Asaluyeh/ Bushehr, Asaluyeh/ Deylam, Kangan/ Bushehr, Kangan/ Deylam and no significant difference between the two Bushehr/ Deylam areas.The permutational MANOVA - significant differences in species composition and population structure of foraminiferal different communities in seasons, stations and depths are shown in Table 9.

870 Sadr Arhami et al., Identification and distribution of benthic foraminifera in coastal waters of ...

Table 7: W	Table 7: Weight percentage of sediment particles by droplets at stations, Persian Gulf (2017).								
station	Station No.	Depth (m)	Sand	Clay	Silt	sand/silt	sand/silt+clay		
	A1	3	58	23	19	3.05	1.38		
Asaluyeh	A2	5	88	11	1	88	7.33		
	A3	10	28	37	35	0.8	0.38		
	<b>B</b> 1	3	64	13	23	2.78	1.77		
Kangan	B2	5	89	10	1	89	8.09		
	B3	10	42	23	35	1.2	0.72		
	C1	3	35	33	32	1.09	0.53		
Bushehr	C2	5	28	39	33	0.84	0.38		
	C3	10	33	39	28	1.17	0.49		
	D1	3	33	33	34	0.97	0.49		
Deylam	D2	5	30	37	33	0.9	0.42		
	D3	10	28	41	31	0.90	0.38		

Table 8: Po station	Station No.	Depth (m)	Calcium carbonat (%)
	A1	3	11.76
Asaluyeh	A2	5	31.65
-	A3	10	13.96
	B1	3	19.13
Kangan	B2	5	16.76
	B3	10	17.13
	C1	3	26.69
Bushehr	C2	5	13.76
	C3	10	23.24
	D1	3	23.45
Deylam	D2	5	29.48
	D3	10	40.26

Results of PCA test in the study areas showed that temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen are the main environmental factors affecting the density of foraminifer's species. The eigenvalues for axes 1, 2, 3, and 4 are 0.94, 0.18, 0.13, and 0.009%, respectively. The total variance was 76%. This chart investigates the impact of physical and chemical effects on the biological community (Fig. 3).

Fig. 3 shows the position of foraminiferal species in relation to environmental factors. Lines that are taller and closer to the axes, they have the greatest impact on the biological community.So, for example, with increasing salinity, Ambe increases. The solid arrow shows environmental variables including temperature, salinity, pH, total organic matter, electrical conductivity, total soluble

solids and dissolved oxygen, and the hollow lines are the foraminiferal species. Larger arrows closer to the x and y-axis indicate the greatest impact of environmental parameters on species density.

structure of foraminiferal communities in different seasons, stations and depths, 2017.									
Factor	df	MS	Pseudo F	P (perm)					
season	1	1778	6.04	$0.01^{*}$					
station	3	4010	13.62	$0.0005^{*}$					
depth	2	217	0.73	0.6					
	(Pairwise	comparisons)							
Season			t-value	P (perm)					
Summer/winter			2.45	$0.015^*$					
Depth			t-value	P (perm)					
5-3			0.13	0.3					
5-3			0.52	0.6					
10-5			0.7	0.4					
Station			t-value	P (perm)					
Kangan/asaluyeh			3.29	$0.05^{*}$					
Bushehr/asaluyeh			3.83	$0.03^{*}$					
Deylam/asaluyeh			3.90	$0.04^{*}$					
Bushehr/kangan			3.66	$0.03^{*}$					
Deylam/kangan			6.8	$0.02^{*}$					
Deylam/bushehr			1.35	0.2					

Table 9: Permutational MANOVA - significant differences in species composition and population	
structure of foraminiferal communities in different seasons, stations and depths, 2017.	

\*Significant difference at 5% level

According to PCA diagrams, salinity, and dissolved temperature, oxygen have the most significant effect the Amonia beccari. on Quinqueloculina bicostata and Quinqueloculina seminulumso. As the salinity and dissolved oxygen increased, we saw an increase in density, However increasing temperature reduced density of foraminifera. Increasing the electrical conductivity and total soluble solids had the greatest effect on reducing the density of three species *Textularia* sp., *Asterorotalia dentata* and *Adelosina* sp. (Fig. 3).




Figure 3: The position of foraminiferal species in relation to environmental factors, (2017). Species identification key: Ambe: Amonia beccari, Elex: Elphidium excavatum, Pepl: Peneroplis planatus, Qubi: Quinqueloculina bicostata, Qusp: Quinqueloculina sp., Quse: Quinqueloculina seminulum, Adsp: Adelosina sp., spco: Spiroloculina communis, Tesp: Textularia sp., Asde: Asterorotalia dentata.

Results of PCA test in the study area showed that sediment particles (sand/ silt + clay, sand/ silt, sand, atman index and calcium carbonate) are the main factors affecting the density of foraminiferal species (Fig. 4). The eigenvalues for axes 1, 2, 3, and 4 are 0.85. 0.07. 0.004, and 0.002%, respectively. The amount of variance is 94%.

The diagram shows the position of foraminiferal species in relation to sedimentation factors. The solid arrow shows sediment variables including sand/ silt + clay; sand/ silt, sand, calcium carbonate, silt, clay, silt, carbonate and silicate phases, and hollow lines are foraminiferal species. Larger and closer arrows to the x and yaxes indicate the greatest influence of sediment parameters on species density. According to PCA diagrams, the foraminifera species, especially Asterorotalia dentata, Amonia beccari, **Spiroloculina** communis. Quinqueloculina bicostataand. Quinqueloculina seminulum had the most impact on sand/ silt + clay, sand/ silt, sand, Atman and carbonate indices. Their density increases with increasing amount of sand/ silt + clay, sand/ silt and sand and decreases with increasing concentration of Atman and calcium carbonate (Fig. 4).




Figure 4: The position of foraminiferal species in relation to sedimentation factors, (2017). Species identification key: Ambe: Amonia beccari, Elex: Elphidium excavatum, Pepl: Peneroplis planatus, Qubi: Quinqueloculina bicostata, Qusp: Quinqueloculina sp., Quse: Quinqueloculina seminulum, Adsp: Adelosina sp., spco: Spiroloculina communis, Tesp: Textularia sp., Asde: Asterorotalia dentate.

#### Discussion

Benthic foraminifera are among the abundant most important and microbenthos in aquatic environments. The results of the present study in Bushehr province showed that the foraminifera was present at all stations in both seasons, but their diversity and density was different in various stations and depths. The abundance of foraminifera was higher in summer than in winter.

The results of PCA test in the study area showed that temperature, salinity and dissolved oxygen are the main environmental factors affecting the density of foraminiferal species. The main test results showed that season and station influenced the composition of foraminiferal species (p < 0.05).However, the effect of depth on foraminiferal species composition was not significant (p>0.05). Pairwise test significant showed differences in species composition between the two seasons, i.e. summer and winter as well as significant differences between Asaluyeh/ Kangan, Asaluyeh/ Bushehr, Asaluyeh/ Deylam, Kangan/ Bushehr, Kangan/ Deylam areas and no significant difference between the two Bushehr/ Deylam.

Due to the close physical relationship between the macrobenthoses and the surrounding sediments, strong correlation patterns between the sedimentary particles and the macrobenthoses are observed (Chapman Tolhurst. 2007: and Anderson, 2008). However, numerous studies have been reported poor correlations between macrofauna and sedimentary particles (Wu and Shin, 1997; Barnes and Villiers, 2000) and the reason for such factors are as hunting and predation (Hines et al., 1997), physical disturbances (Thrush and Dayton, 2002), infection (Gray, factors 1992), and influencing colonization (Wu and Shin, 1997; Lundquist et al., 2006). The difference of sedimentary particles is of crucial importance in the wav of macrobenthoses because their feeding strategy (Zhuang and Wang, 2004) and larval seating on the bed (McLachlan et al., 1995) depend on the type of sedimentary particles.

In the present PCA study, silt-clay and sand (long and near-axis arrows) are the first two factors affecting the density of the abundant most macrobenthos in the stations. Most of the differences between the characteristics of the sedimentary environment at the sampling stations are related to the results of the sediment identification section as well as the topographic location of the study stations . Therefore, at Kangan Station, it has the highest percentage of clay, silt and sand, and as a result, the foraminiferal density is high at this station in summer.

The results showed that the species Ammonia beccarii, **Ounqueloculina** bicostata and Spiroloculina communis are the dominant species of the study area. A. beccarii was the dominant species in the summer, and it is the most abundant species in the world, and has been introduced in previous studies in the Persian Gulf as the most abundant species in the study area (Mostafawi, 2003). This could be due to the high resistance of this species to the harsh and stressfull environments . A. beccarii had the highest abundance at Kangan Station in the summer and less abundant in other stations. This species has been observed in the Persian Gulf (Mooraki et al., 2013, 2018) and in the northern and southern of the Oman Sea (Moghaddasi et al., 2009b). It has been observed in the east of Qeshm Island by Ashkpour et al. (2016) and in Kish Island by Sohrabi Molla Yousefi et al. (2011).

The most abundant species of the present study was *Qunqueloculina bicostata* at Kangan Station in winter. The genus *Qunqueloculina* has the most species diversity and high abundance in winter at the Kangan station, but it was not observed at Bushehr Station in both seasons. This genus was observed in previous studies in the Persian Gulf by Mooraki *et al.* (2018) in Hormuz Island, Moghaddasi *et al.* (2009b) in the Oman Sea, Eskandari *et al.* (2009b) in the Oman Sea, Eskandari *et al.* (2008) on the northern and eastern coasts of Kish Island, and Sohrabi Molla Yousefi *et al.* (2008) in the islands of Kish and

Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences 20(3) 2021

Qeshm. It is one of the index species of Persian Gulf and Oman Sea.

*Peneroplis Planatus* was seen only in Kangan station in winter. This species has been observed in the study by Sohrabi Molla Yusefi *et al.* (2007) as well as by Eskandari *et al.* (2008) around Kish Island, which could be due to environmental adaptation to the climatic conditions of Kish Island, as a result of the similarity of the climate and environmental conditions of Kish Island with our study areas.In addition, the genus *Peneroplis* hasbeen reported in Mooraki *et al.* (2013b) in Naiband and Haleh area as well as in Qeshm Island (Ashkpur *et al.*, 2016).

*Spiroloculina communis* species was not observed at 3 meter depth in winter but was observed at other depths. Depth is one of the most important factors affecting the distribution pattern and demographic structure of benthic foraminifera (Mostafawi, 2003).

Qunqueloculina sp., Adelosina sp. and Textularia sp. were exclusively related to the Kangan and Assalouye stations in the winter. Species of *Elphidium* excavatum, Asterorotalia dentata. Peneroplis Planatus and Qunqueloculina sp. have not been observed at Deylam station in both seasons, and their presence may be due to certain environmental conditions that are not observed at the intended station. Asterorotalia dentate was observed sparsely at three stations in the summer and two ones in the winter.

Genous *Spiroloculina*, which has been reported only in a few previous

studies in the Persian Gulf (Mooraki *et al.* 2018), was abundant in all stations in both summer and winter. Also, the *Adelosina* sp was observed at all stations studied in both seasons, in addition to Bushehr and Deylam.

*Peneroplis planatus* was observed only in winter at the Kangan station, so this can be considered as the index species of Kangan station.

Considering the combination of benthic foraminifera communities in the Persian Gulf, the Hauerinidae family is the most abundant at Asaluyeh and Bushehr stations in summer and winter, nonexistent or very limited at other stations.

It can be concluded that species diversity of the foraminifera is lower in our stations than other regions of the Persian Gulf, which could be due to the absence of the habitats characteristics required by foraminifera. Investigation of foraminifera is recommended in more stations and higher depths in the Persian Gulf in order to obtain more accurate relation between density and environmental variations in the region.

## References

- Anderson, M.J., 2008. A new method for non-parametricmultivariate analysis of variance.*Austral Ecology*, 26, 32-46. DOI:10.1111/j.1442-9993.2001.01070.pp.x
- Ashkpour,A.,DoostShenas,B.,Nabavi,S.M.B. andSakhaee,N.,2016.Biodiversitystudyandidentificationofforaminiferacommunitiesin the east of Qeshm

island. Journal of Zoology Research (Iranian Journal of Biology), 29, 1-17.

- Ashoori, M. and Najjarzadeh, M.T.,2002. Foraminifera, Sanei Press.259 P.
- Barnes, R.S.K. and De Villiers, C.J., 2000. Animal abundance and food availability in coastal lagoons and intertidal marine sediments. *Journal* of the Marine Biology Association of the United Kingdom, 80, 193– 202.DOI:10.1017/S00253154990017 82
- Chapman, M.G. and Tolhurst, T.J., 2007. Relationships between benthic macrofauna and biogeochemical properties of sediments at different spatial scales and among different habitats in mangrove forests. *Journal* of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 343, 96–109. DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2006.12.001
- Cushman, J.A., 1969. Foraminifera their classification and economic use. 1st ed. USA: Harvard University Press, 589 P.
- Dimiza, M.D., Koukousioura, **O.**. Triantaphyllou, M.V. and Dermitzakis, M.D., 2016. Live and dead benthic foraminiferal assemblages from coastal environments of the Aegean Sea (Greece): Distribution and diversity. Revue de Micropaléontologie, 59(1), 19-32. DOI: 10.1016/j.revmic.2015.10.002
- Eskandari, S., Vaziri, S.H. and MollaYousefi, M., 2008. The study of Holsen sedimentary foraminifera

in the northern and eastern coasts of Kish Island. Conference of Geology and Environment. Kish Island.

- Fairbridge, R.W., Cowen, R. and<br/>Jablonski, D., 1979.Functionalmorphology.Encyclopedia<br/>of paleontology. Dowden,<br/>Hutchinson, and Ross, Stroudsburg,<br/>Penn. pp. 487-489.
- Hines, A.H., Whitlach, R.B., Thrush,
  S.F., Hewitt J.E., Cummings V.J.,
  Dayton, P.K. and Jedari Ayvazi,
  J., 1999. Geography of the waters (Eighth Edition). University of Tehran Publications. 165 P.
- Khaledi, Sh., 2000. Environmental Basics (Public and Iran). ShahrAb Publications. 271 P.
- Loeblich, A.R. and Tappan, H., 1964, Sarcodina, chiefly the amoebians and foraminiferida: Treatise on invertebrate paleontology, Par C, Protista, 2, Vol.1-2, Geol.Soc. Amer and University of Kansas Press, New York.
- **Loeblich A. R.,and Tappan H. 1988**. Foraminiferal genera and their classification, van Nost rand Reinhold Company, New York, V2, 970 P.
- Lundquist, **C.J.** S.F., Thrush, J.E., J., Hewitt, Halliday, MacDonald, I. and Cummings, V.J., 2006. Spatial variability in recolonisation potential: influence of organism behaviour and hydrodynamics on the distribution of macrofaunal colonists. Marine Ecology Progress Series, 324, 67-81. DOI: 10.3354/meps324067

- McLachlan, A., Jaramillo, E., Defeo,
  O., Dugan, J., Ruyck, A. and
  Coetzee, P., 1995. Adaptation of
  bivalves to different beach types.
  Journal of Experimental Marine
  Biology and Ecology, 187, 147-160.
- Mirdar, J., Nikouian, A., Owfi, F. and Karami, M., 2004. Study on meiobenthose abundance and their relationship with condition of sediment in northern creek of the Bushehr Province.
- Moghaddasi, B., Nabavi, S.M.B., Fatemi, S.M.R. and Vosoughi, G.,2009a.Comparison of diversity species and distribution pattern of benthic foraminifera in the northern and southern coasts of the Oman Sea Continental shelf. International Persian Gulf Conference. 8–10 December 2009, Bushehr, Iran.
- Moghaddasi, B., Nabavi, S.M.B.,
  Fatemi, S.M.R. and Vosoughi, G.,
  2009b. A systematic review of benthic foraminifera in the offshore sediments of the Oman Sea Continental shelf. *Journal of the Sea Biology*, 13-27.
- MOOPAM(Manualofoceanographicobservationsandpollutantanalysesmethods), 1999.Thirded,section6,Regionalorganizationfortheorganizationfortheprotectionmarineenvironment(ROPME),Kuwait.
- Mooraki, N., Moghaddasi, B.,
  Manouchehri, H. and Changizi,
  R., 2013. Spatial Distribution and
  Assemblage Structure of
  Foraminifera in Nayband Bay and

Haleh Estuary, North-West of the Persian Gulf. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 12(**3**), 654–668.

- Mooraki, N., Moghaddasi, B., Manouchehri, H., and Changizi, R.,2016. Measurement of heavy metal concentrations and evaluation of degree of contamination of sediments of bay and gulf of Naiband and its Impact on benthic foraminifera communities. *Journal* of Wetland Ecobiology, 29, 45-58.
- Mooraki, N., Moghaddasi, B. and Nahavandi, R., 2018. Foraminiferal Assemblage Structure of Hormoz Island, Persian Gulf. *Journal of Animal Environment*, 10, 389-400.
- Mostafawi, N., 2003.Recent Ostracods from the Persian Gulf. *Senckenbergiana Maritima*, 32(1–2), 51–75.
- Nabavi, S.M.B., 2014. Abundance and distribution of benthic foraminifera in the Iranian coastal zone of the Persian Gulf. Sciences and Technology Journal of the Persian Gulf. Marine Sciences and *Technology* University of Khorramshahr, 1, 57-72.
- Sohrabi Molla Yousefi, M., khosrou, T. and Moumeni, I., 2006. Study of benthic foraminifera in mangrove ecosystem of Qeshm Island (Persian Gulf).
- Sohrabi Molla Yousefi, M. and Sahba, M., 2010. Environmental Response of Benthic Foraminifera in Asalooye Coastline Sediments (Persian Gulf), International Applied Geological Congress, Department of

Geology, Islamic Azad University-Mashhad Branch. 26-28, Iran.

- Sohrabi MollaYousefi, M., Vaziri, S.H., Sahba, M., Eskandari, S. and Rahimi Fard, S., 2011.Introduction of foraminifera of sedimentary bed of Kish Island coasts to the depth of 30cm. Scientific and Research Journal of Environmental Geology, 5, 76-86.
- Thrush, S.F. and Dayton, P.K., 2002. Disturbance to marine benthic habitats by trawling and dredging: implications for marine biodiversity. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 33, 449–473.

- Wu, R.S.S. and Shin, P.K.S., 1997. Sediment characteristics and colonization of soft-bottom benthos: a field manipulation experiment. *Marine Biology*, 128, 475–487.
- Zhuang, S., Zhuang, S., Zhang,
  M. Zhang, X. and Wang, Z., 2004.
  The influence of body size, habitat and diet concentration on feeding of (*Laternula marilina*) Reeve.
  Aquaculture Research, 35, 622-628.
  DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2109.2004.01007.x