#### *Research Article*

# **Differences in fish assemblage between fisheries-dependent and independent data in Ghana coastal waters**

## **Amponsah S.K.K.1,2\* , Armah K.A.<sup>3</sup> , Fazli H.<sup>4</sup>**

1 Department of Fisheries and Water Resources, University of Energy and Natural Resources, Sunyani, Ghana

2 Department of Marine and Fisheries Sciences, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana

3 Environmental Solution Limited (ESL), Ghana

4 Caspian Sea Ecology Research Center, Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute (IFSRI), Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Sari, Iran

\*Correspondence: samuel.amponsah@uenr.edu.gh

#### **Keywords Abstract**

Commercial fishing, Experimental fishing, ANOSIM, SIMPER analysis, nMDS, Cluster analysis

**Article info**

Received: April 2024 Accepted: June 2024 Published: November 2024



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/).

This study aimed to identify the similarities in the catches of both experimental and commercial fishing efforts along the coast of Greater Accra, Ghana using trawls. Data for fisheries-independent (experimental, INFD) and fisheriesdependent (commercial, FID) catches were sourced monthly from June 2018 to May 2019. The obtained data was analyzed for non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS), diversity indices, similarity percentage, and cluster analysis using PRIMER 6 and PERMANOVA+ software. Sixty-seven fish species were obtained from both experimental and commercial catches. Species richness and Shannon-Weaver index (H') showed no significant difference between INFD and FID catches. SIMPER analysis revealed a high dissimilarity percentage (64.95%) between commercial and experimental catches, with *Selene dorsalis*, *Brachydeuterus auritus*, and *Galeoides decadactylus* contributing the most. The nMDS and cluster analyses showed two distinct groups which indicated that not all grounds are used as fishing grounds by fishermen. Based on the findings, certain areas within the experimental fishing sites should be designated as marine protected areas to safeguard the populations of marine fisheries resources in Ghana.

## **Introduction**

Fishes are essential for the survival of fishing households, whose economy and welfare rely on the viability of fisheries resources. Mapping fish habitats is crucial for understanding marine resource abundance and distribution (Pennino *et al.,* 2014). However, there is limited quantitative scientific information on fishes, and data may not always be directly comparable due to habitat alterations between sampling times.

Spatial management of marine resources and accurate tracking of geographical and temporal fluctuations of commercially important fish species is crucial for effective management actions or policies for marine fisheries resources sustainability (Pennino *et al.,* 2016). To enhance the effective management of marine resources, fisheries-dependent (FID) and independent (INFD) data surveys are essential. INFD surveys provide direct observations for resource information, independent of the commercial fishery (NRC, 2000). These surveys present unrepresentative sampling, limited data collection, and rely on expensive at-sea research for reliable data (Pennino *et al.,* 2016). FID data is more comprehensive and reliable, but often has limited coverage, leading to biased and imprecise estimates (Hilborn and Walters, 2013). These surveys are efficient and lowcost strategies for effective fishery management. They provide valuable data at specific locations, including landed catch, effort expended, fishing gear type, and time spent. These surveys are crucial for a wide range of target species, gear types, landing sites, and distribution patterns (Lunn and Dearden, 2006). However, they lack specific details, have management constraints, and often involve intentional misreporting of catches (Pennino *et al.,* 2016).

Despite these challenges, FID surveys enhance the understanding of species distribution, particularly for ecologically significant endangered species in coastal countries like Ghana. The Ghanaian marine environment is rich in diverse and valuable fish species including anchovies, sardines, seabreams, sailfishes, and sharks (Akyempong *et al.,* 2013; Asiedu *et al.,* 2018). The fishing industry in Ghana comprises three sectors, namely; industrial, semi-industrial, and artisanal (Lazar *et al.,* 2017; Kassah and Asare, 2022). It employs 10% of the population and generates over \$1 billion in annual revenue (Tall and Failler, 2012; Aikins, 2018; Asiedu *et al*., 2018). However, the industry faces challenges such as marine debris and illegal, unreported, and unregulated (IUU) fishing, which has resulted in reduced fish catches (Aplaku *et al.,* 2018). Despite this, scientific studies have primarily focused on commercial catches, with few studies (e.g. Koranteng, 1998) focusing on experimental catches as resources for managing marine fish stocks in Ghana. This study aims to compare experimental and commercial trawl catches to improve fish stock management in Ghana. It evaluates differences in fish species composition and similarities between experimental and commercial catches. The findings will help improve the conservation and management of fisheries resources in Ghana.

#### **Materials and methods**

#### *Study area*

For this study, INFD research concentrated on examining data from three distinct offshore stations with coordinates i) 05°40'32.91" N, 000°09'57.94" E; ii)

05°35'32.78" N, 000°04'12.38" E; and iii) 05°34'54.59" N, 000°02'31.64" W off the coast of Greater Accra, Ghana. For FID data, Tema Fishing Harbor was selected as the sampling location (Fig. 1).



**Figure 1: Map showing the sampling locations.**

#### *Data collection*

Data for both experimental and commercial catches were sourced monthly from June 2018 to May 2019. For INFD data, sampling was conducted at the three offshore locations using a bottom trawl multifilament net of cod-end mesh size of 1 inch (diagonal stretch). This net was deployed at the various sampling locations of 21-35 m depth, using an inshore fishing vessel. The average trawling duration for the study was 45 min at an average vessel speed of 3.2 knots with each sampling area trawled once. The fish samples were sorted and identified to the species level. FID data were extracted monthly from the catches of inshore fishing vessels at the Tema Fishing

Harbour. Fish samples were determined using the Schneider (1990) identification keys.

#### *Univariate analysis*

Univariate indices such as the species richness index (d), species evenness (J'), and Shannon-Weaver (H') index provide a rapid and easy depiction of global patterns. The number of various species represented in an ecological community is referred to as species richness. Margalef index was to determine the d with the following expression (Margalef, 1958):  $d=(S-1)/log N$ 

Where, s represents the number of species and N represents the total number of individuals.

According to the Shannon-Weaver index, the variety of a community is proportional to the amount of information in a code or message. It is calculated in the following way (Shannon and Weaver, 1963):

H'=−∑pi ln pi

To calculate the proportion of individuals in a certain species, we use the formula pi= ni/N. In this formula, pi represents the proportion, ni is the number of individuals in the species of interest, and N is the total number of individuals in the community.

Species evenness relates to how numerous each species is in a given environment. The evenness of the fish species (J') was calculated using Pielou's evenness index (Pielou, 1966) based on the expression:

J'=H'/H'max

Where, H' is the Shannon-Weaver diversity index number and H'max is the greatest conceivable value of H' (assuming all species were equally likely).

These indices were calculated using the PRIMER package (Clarke and Gorley, 2006). To compare diversity indices between fishing activities, an independent sample t-test was applied at a 95% confidence interval.

## *Multivariate statistics analysis*

The square root was used to transform the fish abundance data. To determine the similarities in fish species composition among fishing types, and months, nonmetric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) based on the Bray-Curtis similarity measure was used (Clarke and Warwick,

2001). The fishing activity and monthly variations in community patterns were ordinated using the canonical analysis of principal coordinates (CAP) model (Anderson *et al.,* 2008). Similarity percentage (SIMPER) analysis was used to determine changes in the species composition of the two fishing activities (Clarke, 1993). PERMANOVA tests were used to determine the impacts of the two fishing activities on fish composition with 9999 permutations and Monte Carlo correction (Anderson *et al.,* 2008). Scarce species contribute to increased noise and affect the overall variation, but they do not alter the interpretation. Therefore, in the present study, the species that constituted a small percentage less than 1% of the total fish assemblage were not added to the analyses. These analyses were performed using PRIMER version 6 and PERMANOVA+ (Clark and Warwick, 2001; Clarke and Gorley, 2006; Anderson *et al.,* 2008).

## **Results**

## *Species abundance and composition*

In this study, a total of eighty-eight fishes belonging to fifty-one families were identified. Sixty-seven fishes were recorded from the FID catches belonging to forty-one families. The dominant species were *Galeoides decadactylus, Selene dorsalis, Brachydeuterus auritus,* and *Chloroscombrus chrysurus*. *G. decadactylus, Cynoglossus senegalensis, B. auritus, Pseudotolithus senegalensis* and *C. chrysurus* were the only species recorded in all the sampling periods. *S. dorsalis, B. auritus, G. decadactylus,* and *Pteroscion peli* were the dominant species obtained

from the INFD catches (Fig. 2). In all, sixty-seven fishes were recorded during the INFD sampling period belonging to fortythree families (Table 1). *G. decadactylus*,

Fisheries denpendent

G.ade S.dor S.dor B.aur B.aur G.ade C.chr P.pel C.sen P.sen C.chr I.afr P.sen C.sen  $L. qtl$ S.sph S.mad P.bel P.bel D.afr P.cae P.pra P.typ P.cae I.afr P.mac L.ful S.mic  $C.nig$ P.typ D.gib L.cor T.lep P.pel S.sph L.lae B.pun P.pra D.mar C.cry  $\overline{0}$  $10$ 30  $\boldsymbol{0}$ 5  $10$ 15 20 25 35 5 15 20 25 35 30 Abundance (%) Abundance (%)

**Figure 2: Abundance of fish species from fisheries dependent and independent samples (Figure includes fish species with more than 1% of total abundance) in Ghana coastal waters. See Table 1 for a key to species name abbreviations.**

**Table 1: List of fish species recorded by two fishing activities, including occurrence (Oc %) and abundance (mean and SD) in Ghana.** 

	Species name, and abbreviation		<b>Fisheries dependent</b>			<b>Fisheries independent</b>		
Family			$Oc\%$	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>	$Oc\%$	<b>Mean</b>	<b>SD</b>
Acanthuridae	Acanthurus monroviae	A.mo n	8.3	0.67	2.31	41.7	1.92	2.81
<b>Balistidae</b>	Alectis alexandrinus	A.alx	0.0	0.00	0.00	50.0	1.42	2.07
Batrachoididae	Balistes punctatus	B.pun	8.3	0.08	0.29	91.7	6.33	6.04
<b>Bothidae</b>	<b>Bodianus</b> speciosus	B.spe	25.0	0.50	1.17	8.3	0.17	0.58
<b>Bramidae</b>	Brachydeuterus auritus	B.aur	100	36.92	46.72	91.7	84.33	128.17
Carangidae	Canthigaster supramacula	$C.\sup$	0.0	0.00	0.00	8.3	0.42	1.44
	Caranx crysos	C.cry	58.3	4.92	7.51	8.3	1.67	5.77
	Chaetodon robustus	C. rob	16.7	1.08	3.45	41.7	1.17	1.47
	Dasyatis margarita	D.mar	8.3	0.08	0.29	75.0	5.67	7.45
	Decapterus punctatus	D. pun	41.7	1.50	3.12	16.7	5.33	17.85
	Scorpaena histrio	S.his	0.0	0.00	0.00	66.7	2.67	4.14
	Torpedo torpedo	T.tor	0.0	0.00	0.00	16.7	0.33	0.78
Chaetodontidae	Chaetodipterus lippei	$C$ .lip	8.3	0.17	0.58	25.0	0.75	1.76
Clupeidae	Holocentrus hastatus	H.has	8.3	0.25	0.87	0.0	0.00	0.00
	Sarda sarda	S.sar	16.7	0.33	0.89	0.0	0.00	0.00
	Sardinella aurita	S.aur	25.0	0.50	1.17	0.0	0.00	0.00
Cyclopsettidae	Stephanolepis hispidus	S.his	0.0	0.00	0.00	66.7	3.33	6.87
Cynoglossidae	Coris julis	$C$ . jul	16.7	0.17	0.39	25.0	0.42	0.90
Dactylopteridae	Cynoponticus ferox	C.fer	0.0	0.00	0.00	8.3	0.33	1.15

Fisheries indenpendent

recorded in all the sampling periods.

*C. senegalensis*, *B. auritus*, *P. senegalensis*, and *C. chrysurus* were the only species





The red, yellow, and green color scales show the gradient from the minimum, medium, and maximum values of Oc, respectively.

*Univariate analysis of diversity indices* The index of d for FID ranged from 1.87 in April 2019 to 6.60 in October 2018, with an average (SD) of  $4.01 \pm 1.23$ . For INFD data, d ranged from 2.93 in November 2018 to 5.49 in September 2019, with an average of 4.39±0.72. The J' index for FID ranged from 0.52 in August 2018 to 0.85 in June 2018. For INFD data, it ranged from 0.41 in February 2019 to 0.85 in September 2018. The average of J' for FID and INFD were  $0.74\pm0.11$  and  $0.71\pm0.14$ , respectively. The H' for FID ranged from 1.61 in August 2018 to 2.96 in October 2018. For INFD, the index ranged from 1.37 in February 2018 to 2.91 in July (Fig. 3). The average of H' for FID and INFD were 2.35±0.45 and 2.33±0.49, respectively. No significant differences were found between FID and INFD for three diversity indices (*p*>0.05).

#### *Multivariate Statistics Analysis*

The nMDS ordination based on the fish community showed a clear separation between the fishing activities. The first axis follows the period's gradient from INFD to FID which contributed to the biogeographic variation. In addition, the result of clustering of the fishing activities and months for similarities showed two main groups of INFD and FID with five subgroups. Group FID is divided into two subgroups (a=FID1-FID3, FID5-FID6, FID9-12; b=FID4 and FID7-FID8). The INFD group was divided into four subgroups (c=INFD9 and INFD6-INFD7; d=INFD2; e=INFD11 and INFD12; f=INFD1, INFD3-INFD5, INFD8, and INFD10; Fig. 4).

Among eight taxa in the bubble plots of MDS-ordination of the most occurrence fish species, five taxa occurrences tended to be more abundant in the INFD fishing activity (Fig. 5). Based on 24 points of data (two fisheries activities and twelve months), CAP demonstrated a clear temporal pattern of fish assembly. The first axis of CAP divided the clouds of samples in INFD (on the left) from those in FID (on the right). In contrast, the second axis distinguished the clouds of samples in months. A vector coverage of the predominant species with the CAP axis displayed that the vector of two species (G. mel *Gerres melanopterus* and D.gib *Dentex gibbosus*) pointed toward the sample cloud in the FID, B. aur *Brachydeuterus auritus* toward INFD in August, S. his *Scorpaena*  *histrio* and A. alx *Alectis alexandrinus* toward INFD in April, May, and October, S. his *S. histrio*, B.pun *Balistes punctatus* and L.cor *A. notacanthus* toward to February, and T. lep *Trichiurus lepturus* and P. pel *Pteroscion peli* toward to June,

PERMANOVAs and tests of dispersion were conducted between the FID and INFD. The one-way PERMANOVA test showed that species composition was significantly affected by fishing activities (*p*<0.001) (Table 2).



**Figure 3: Monthly estimated diversity indices of fish species from fisheries dependent (FID) and independent (INFD) data in Ghana coastal waters.**

The SIMPER analysis identified similarities and differences between two data sources. The similarity within the FID data was 46.52%, with *G. decadactylus* being the dominant species, which contributed about 11.0% of the average Bray-Curtis similarity and also achieved the highest Sim/SD of the group at 1.64.



**Figure 4: Up: nMDS-ordination based on Bray-Curtis similarity of fish species assemblage composition in two different fishing activities (FID fishing dependent and INFD fishing independent samples) and different months in Ghana coastal waters. Down: Cluster studies of fish species data from dependent and independent data sources, rs according to the SIMPROF test (***p***<0.05).**

Other prominent species that are common (high Sim/SD) to FID data were *C. chrysurus* (Sim/SD=3.17, Contrib=9.27%), *C. senegalensis* (Sim/SD=3.08, Contrib=9.28%), *D. gibossus* (Sim/SD=1.92, Contrib=5.27%) and *Pagrus caeruleostictus* (Sim/SD=1.82, Contrib=7.28%). The similarity within the INFD data was 45.28% and dominated by *S. dorsalis*, which contributed to 12.0% of the average Bray-Curtis similarity and also achieved the highest Sim/Sd of the group at 1.01. Other prominent species that are

common (high Sim/SD) were *C. senegalensis* (Sim/SD=1.74, Contrib=7.71%), *A. notacanthus* (Sim/SD=1.66, Contrib=3.69%), *B. punctatus* (Sim/SD=1.64, Contrib=3.74%) and *P. prayensis* (Sim/SD=1.54, Contrib=5.15%). The SIMPER analysis also identified dissimilarity between the two fisheries activities. The average dissimilarity between FID and INFD data was 64.93%. The most discriminating species (largest Diss/(SD) ratio) was *S. dorsalis* with a ratio of 1.29 and a contributing percentage of 7.19%. Other high contributing dissimilarity species were *B. auritus* with a Diss/SD ratio of 1.38 and a contributing percentage of 4.10%, *G. decadactylus* with a ratio of 1.23 and a contributing percentage of 4.01%, *C. chrysurus* with a ratio of 1.06 with a

*Pagellus bellottii* with a ratio of 1.29 and a contributing percentage of 3.21% (Table 3). Significant spatial differences were observed between fish communities sampled from both FID and INFD data sources (ANOSIM: R=0.66, *p*<0.01).



**Figure 5: The bubble plots of MDS-ordination based on Bray-Curtis similarity of fish species assemblage composition illustrate differences in different species by a combination of fishing activities (FID fishing dependent and INFD fishing independent samples) and month (the numbers) in Ghana coastal waters. See Table 1 for a key to species name abbreviations.**



**Figure 6: Canonical analysis coordinates (CAP) for fish communities with Spearman correlation (***r***>0.7) with CAP axis with required data transformed among samples from two fishing activities (FID fishing dependent and INFD fishing independent samples) and different months in Ghana coastal waters. See Table 1 for a key to species name abbreviations.**

**Table 2: PERMANOVA results for fish species assemblage models between fisheries dependent (FID) and fisheries independent (INFD).** 

Source	a.ı.	<b>MS</b>	<b>Pseudo-F</b>	$P(\text{perm})$
Fisheries activities		8963.7	6.30	0.001
Res	^^ ∠∠	31303		





844 Amponsah *et al*., Differences in fish assemblage between fisheries-dependent and independent data in ...



Abundance (ind/m<sup>3</sup>), average distance, dissimilarity (AvDis.%), and cumulative average dissimilarity of differences between the groups presented.

### **Discussion**

The monthly variation in species diversity indices could be assigned to monthly fluctuation in physico-chemical parameters as alluded to by Segbefia *et al.* (2013). According to Okyere (2018), monthly changes in tides and dissolved oxygen influence diversity indices, particularly the Shannon-Weaver index of fish species. Habitat modification and adaptation, species interaction (e.g. prey-predator relationship), and fishing intensity could have affected the variation in diversity indices (Akongyuure *et al.,* 2017; Mensah *et al.,* 2018). In addition, the diversity of species from the experimental catches was relatively higher than the diversity of species observed from the commercial catches. Furthermore, many species that are economically insignificant for local fishermen are considered peripherally and are not collected. Therefore, this difference must exist. Furthermore, certain areas or patches are dangerous to the efficiency of their fishing gear, so fishermen avoid these fishing patches. The difference in species dominance might be a result of the fishing grounds visited by commercial fishermen, natural factors like weather, wind, and

wave actions (González-Sansón *et al.,* 2022), as well as technical factors such as damage to the fishing gear, especially during hauling in of catches at rocky substrates (Barman *et al.,* 2021). Nonetheless, the first twenty fish species of commercial catches appear to be of high commercial value, while the experimental catches comprised a mixture of both high and low-valued fish species. This suggests that commercial fishermen land commercially important fish, which may have affected the species composition with a possibility of increasing discards at sea.

Tiralongo *et al.* (2021) posited that the landing of fish with high economic value correlates positively with an increase in discards at sea. The presence of less economically valued fish species from both experimental and commercial fishing activities suggests that trawl fishing gears are less selective than other fishing gears. The discard of inedible fish through sorting, at sea may have affected species diversity indices of commercial catches. The use of similar fishing methods, fishing gear, and possibly the depth at which the fishing gears were released during the experimental fishing period may have

accounted for the similarity in species that dominated both experimental and commercial catches. Furthermore, the similarity in dominant species from both experimental and commercial catches may be reliant on the overlapping use of the aquatic ecosystem by these fish species (Munga *et al.,* 2014).

The catches obtained from the experimental fishing were similar in composition, as indicated by the closeness of survey points on nMDS and cluster analysis (Fig. 4), suggesting that the experimental fishing activity was consistently carried out at the same locations. Similarly, catches from the commercial survey by fishermen were biologically similar during the sampling period. Kirt and Vainik (2007) posited that data points on nMDS that are closer to each other are biologically similar. This finding also points to the repeated visitation of fishermen to these fishing grounds. In addition, it indicates that certain species are localized in these areas, especially *B. auritus, S. dorsalis,* and *G. decadactylus*. However, the overlap of some survey points within the experimental and commercial fishing surveys at a lower similarity percentage indicates the possible presence of commercial fishing activities within the locations of the experimental fishing survey, specifically experimental survey areas close to the nearshore. These nearshore areas are characterized by the presence of commercially important fishes such as *G.decadactylus, S. dorsalis,* and *B. auritus,* is significantly supported by the cluster analysis at a similarity percentage of 37.1 (Fig. 4).

*G. melanopterus* and *D. gibbosus* were the main species that exhibited an association with FID at a correlation higher than  $0.5$ . These species have been recorded in the catches of beach seine fishermen (Nunoo and Azumah, 2015). Furthermore, juvenile fishes have been recorded in wetlands along the coast of Ghana (Nortey *et al.,* 2016). This signifies that these juvenile fishes reside within the nearshore waters which makes them vulnerable to the fishing gear of fishermen (Clottey *et al.,* 2021). On the other hand, species such as *A. notacanthus, S. hispidus, B. auritus, P. bellottii,* and *A. alexcandrius* dominated the catches of the experimental fishing activities. This may be due to the fact that experimental fishing occurred at depths beyond the 30-meter zone. These fishes are mostly demersal and amphidromous and are found between 100 – 295 m depth (Froese and Pauly, 2024).

Fishes that distinguished the catches of the experimental survey from those of the commercial survey included *A. notacanthus, B. punctatus, L. laevigatus, S. histrio, S. hispidis, Z. maculatus* and *S. marmoratus*. Even though these fish are not economically viable for fishermen, the location and nature of the substrate deter fishermen from fishing in these sampling areas. For instance, *Z. maculatus* was obtained at the offshore stations which are known to harbor metallic parts of wrecked fishing vessels, while the farthest offshore locations are largely made of rocky substrate. These features, despite their unsuitable substrate, also reduce the efficiency of the fishing gear in capturing fish (Macfadyen *et al*., 2009; Gilman *et al.,* 2022; Yu *et al.,* 2023). For these given reasons, fishermen avoid these unsuitable

locations during fishing expeditions (NOAA, 2015). This also shows that not all habitats are suitable fishing grounds for fishermen, and as such, can be designated as marine protected areas for the conservation of certain fish.

In conclusion, fish species from both experimental and commercial catches are similar due to the mobility of fishes and overlapping use of marine resources for foraging purposes among fish species. Dissimilarity analysis revealed that certain locations within the experimental fishing sites upon further scientific studies should be designated as marine protected areas. This will complement the already existing closed fishing season and hence, enhance the protection and management of Ghana's declining marine fisheries resources.

## **Acknowledgments**

Many thanks go to the fishermen who provided invaluable help during fieldwork. Furthermore, we thank Environmental Solution Limited (ESL) and China Harbour Engineering Company Limited (CHEC) for allowing us to use their data for this manuscript.

## **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- **Aikins, E.K., 2018.** Challenges of sustainable marine fishing in Ghana. *International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Sciences*, 12(**10**), 337-348.
- **Akongyuure, D.N., Amisah, S. and Edziyie, R., 2017.** Assessment of catch, diversity and abundance of ichthyofauna in the Tono Reservoir, Northern Ghana. *International*

*Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*, 5(**5**), 25-32.

- **Akpalu, W., Eriksen, S.S. and Vondolia, G.K., 2018.** The fisheries sector in Ghana: A political economy analysis. Norwegian Institute of International Affairs. NUPI Report, 7, 60.
- **Anderson, M.J., Gorley, R.N. and Clarke, K.R., 2008.** PERMANOVA+for PRIMER: Guide to Software and Statistical Methods. PRIMER-E.
- **Asiedu, B., Afriyie, G. and Amponsah, S.K.K., 2018.** Overview of marine stock enhancement, restocking and sea ranching in Ghana. In Public Management and Administration. IntechOpen. DOI:10.5772/intechopen.79454
- **Barman, S.K., Kunda, M., Mazumder, S.K., Nahiduzzaman, M., Barman, P.P. and Das, S.K., 2021.** Fish-diversity in the Kura River of Bangladesh: Patterns and threats. *Malaysian Applied Biology*, 50(**3**), 1-14. [DOI:10.55230/mabjournal.v50i3.2208](https://doi.org/10.55230/mabjournal.v50i3.2208)
- **National Research Council (NRC)., 2000**. *Improving the collection, management, and use of marine fisheries data*. *National Academies of Press*, 222 P.
- **Clarke, K.R., 1993.** Non‐parametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Australian Journal of Ecology*, 18(**1**), 117-143.
- **Clarke, K.R. and Warwick, R.M., 2001.** A further biodiversity index applicable to species lists:variation in taxonomic distinctness. *Marine ecology Progress series*, 216, 265-278.
- **Clarke, K.R., and Gorley, R.N., 2006.** Primer. PRIMER-e, Plymouth, 866 P.
- **Clottey, M.N.K., Aggrey-Fynn, J. and Adade, R., 2021.** Habitat Distribution, Relative Abundance and CPUE of three commercially important Seabreams from Ghanaian waters. *Journal of Fisheries and Coastal Management*, 3, 13-21. DOI:10.3354/meps216265
- **Froese, R. and Pauly, D..Editors., 2024.** FishBase. World Wide Web electronic

publication. Avaialable at: www.fishbase.org (Access on: 02/2024).

- **Gilman, E., Humberstone, J., Wilson, J.R., Chassot, E., Jackson, A., and Suuronen, P., 2022.** Matching fishery-specific drivers of abandoned, lost and discarded fishing gear to relevant interventions. *Marine Policy*, 141, 105097. DOI[:10.1016/j.marpol.2022.105097](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2022.105097)
- **González-Sansón, G., Rodríguez, F.N., Aguilar-Betancourt, C.M. and Páez, Y.C., 2022.** Estuarine fish diversity as indicator of natural environmental gradients. *Marine Biodiversity*, 52(**3**), 32.
- **Hilborn, R. and Walters, C.J., 2013.** *Quantitative fisheries stock assessment: choice, dynamics and uncertainty*. Springer Science & Business Media, New York. 254 P. DOI[:10.1007/978-1-4615](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615)
- **Kassah, J.E., and Asare, C., 2022.** Conflicts in the Artisanal Fishing Industry of Ghana: Reactions of Fishers to Regulatory Measures. In: Jentoft, S., Chuenpagdee, R., Bugeja Said, A., Isaacs, M. (eds) Blue Justice. MARE Publication Series, vol 26. Springer, Cham. Pp. 99-118. [DOI:10.1007/978-3-030-89624-9\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-89624-9_6)
- **Kirt, T. and Vainik, E., 2007.** Comparison of the Methods of Self-Organizing Maps and Multidimensional Scaling in Analysis of Estonian Emotion Concepts. In Nivre, J., Kaalep, H-J., Muischnek, K., & Koit, M. (Eds.), NODALIDA 2007 Conference Proceedings, pp. 113-120.
- **Koranteng, K.A., 1998.** The impacts of environmental forcing on the dynamics of demersal fishery resources of Ghana (Doctoral dissertation, University of Warwick). UK. 404 P.
- **Lazar, N., Yankson, K., Blay, J., Ofori-Danson, P., Markwei, P., Agbogah, K., Bannerman, P., Sotor, M., Yamoa, K.K. and Bilisini, W.B., 2017.** Status of the small pelagic stocks in Ghana-2016. Scientific and Technical Working Group, USAID/Ghana Sustainable Fisheries Management Project (SFMP), Coastal

Resources Center, Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island. 16 P.

- **Lunn, K.E. and Dearden, P., 2006.** Monitoring small-scale marine fisheries: An example from Thailand's Ko Chang archipelago. *Fisheries Research*, 77(**1**), 60- 71. DOI:10.1016/j.fishres.2005.08.009
- **Macfadyen, G., Huntington, T. and Cappell, R., 2009.** Abandoned, lost or otherwise discarded fishing gear. UNEP Regional Seas Reports and Studies, No. 185; FAO Fisheries and Aquaculture Technical Paper, No. 523. Rome, UNEP/FAO. 115 P.
- **Margalef, R., 1958.** Trophic' typology versus biotic typology, as exemplified in the regional limnology of Northern Spain: With 5 figures in the text and on 1 Folder. *Internationale Vereinigung für theoretische und angewandte Limnologie: Verhandlungen*, 13(**1**), 339-349.
- **Mensah, E.T.D., Dankwa, H.R., Lauridsen, T.L., Asmah, R., Campion, B.B. and Edziyie, R., 2018.** Seasonal changes in fish catch and environmental variables in a large Tropical Lake, Volta, Ghana. *African journal of Ecology,* 57(**1**), 66-75. DOI:10.1111/aje.12537
- **Munga, C.N., Omukoto, J.O., Kimani, E.N. and Vanreusel, A., 2014.** Propulsion-gearbased characterisation of artisanal fisheries in the Malindi-Ungwana Bay, Kenya and its use for fisheries management. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 98, 130-139. DOI:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2014.06.006
- **NOAA., 2015.** 2015 Marine Debris Ghost Fishing Report: Impact of 'Ghost Fishing' via Derelict Fishing Gear. NOAA Marine Debris Program. USA. 25 P.
- **Nortey, D.D., Aheto, D.W., Blay, J., Jonah, F.E., and Asare, N.K., 2016.** Comparative assessment of mangrove biomass and fish assemblages in an urban and rural mangrove wetlands in Ghana. *Wetlands*, 36, 717-730. DOI:10.1007/s13157-016-0783-2
- **Nunoo, F.K.E. and Azumah, D.Y.M., 2015.** Selectivity studies on beach seine deployed in nearshore waters near Accra, Ghana.

*International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*, 7(**7**), 111-126. DOI:10.5897/IJFA14.0458

- **Okyere, I., 2018.** Influence of diurnal tides and other physico-chemical factors on the assemblage and diversity of fish species in River Pra Estuary, Ghana. *Tropical Ecology*, 59(**1**), 83-90.
- **Pennino, M.G., Munoz, F., Conesa, D., López-Quílez, A. and Bellido, J.M., 2014.** Bayesian spatio-temporal discard model in a demersal trawl fishery. *Journal of sea research*, 90, 44-53.
- **Pennino, M.G., Conesa, D., Lopez-Quilez, A., Munoz, F., Fernández, A. and Bellido, J.M., 2016.** Fishery-dependent andindependent data lead to consistent estimations of essential habitats. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 73(**9**), 2302- 2310. DOI:10.1093/icesjms/fsw062
- Pielou, E.C., 1966. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 13, 131-144. DOI:10.1016/0022- 5193(66)90013-0
- **Schneider, W., 1990.** Field Guide to the Commercial Marine Resources of the Gulf of Guinea. FAO Species Identification Sheet for Fishery Purposes. FAO, Rome. 268 P.
- **Segbefia, J., Nunoo. F.K.E and Dankwa H.R., 2013.** Species composition, abundance, and growth of three common fish species of the Volta estuary, Ghana. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquaculture*, 3(**1**), 79-97.
- **Shannon, C.E. and Wiener, W., 1963.** The mathematical theory of Communication University. *Urbana: Illinois Press*. 125 P.
- **Tall, A. and Failler, P., 2012.** Fishery and aquaculture industry in Ghana. Series Report on Review of Fisheries and Aquaculture Industry in 22 ATLAFCO Member States. Namibia, 51 P.
- **Tiralongo, F., Mancini, E., Ventura, D., De Malerbe, S., De Mendoza, F.P., Sardone, M. and Minervini, R., 2021.** Commercial catches and discards composition in the central Tyrrhenian Sea: a multispecies quantitative and qualitative analysis from shallow and deep bottom trawling. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 22(**3**), 521-531. DOI:10.12681/mms.25753
- **Yu, M., Herrmann, B., Liu, C., Zhang, L. and Tang, Y., 2023.** Effect of Codend Design and Mesh Size on the Size Selectivity and Exploitation Pattern of Three Commercial Fish in Stow Net Fishery of the Yellow Sea, China. *Sustainability*, 15(**8**), 6583. [DOI:10.3390/su15086583](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15086583)