

## Ectoparasite infection of *Carassius carassius* in water resources of west Azerbaijan, Iran

Rasouli S.<sup>1\*</sup>; Nekuifard A.<sup>2</sup>; Azadikhah D.<sup>3</sup>; Ahari H.<sup>4</sup>, Anvar A. A.<sup>4</sup>;  
Khodadadi A.<sup>3</sup>; Ghasemi A.<sup>3</sup>

Received: January 2011

Accepted: July 2011

### Abstract

Various parasite species were found in *Carassius carassius* including *Diplostomum spathaceum*, *Dactylogyrus* sp., *Gyrodactylus* sp., *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* and *Trichodinia* sp. They were found in four rivers in West Azerbaijan Province (North-Western Iran). A total of 207 live *Carassius carassius* from four rivers including Aras (72); Zariyneh (42); Nazlo (58) and Barandoz (35) were studied. Parasitological surveys were carried out on gills and external organs. The results showed that *Diplostomum spathaceum* (70.83%); *Dactylogyrus* sp. (38.88%); *Gyrodactylus* sp. (22.22%); *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* (48.61%); *Trichodinia* sp. (8.33%); *Chilodonella* sp. (12.50%) and *Argulus* sp. (76.19%) were found in fish from Aras Rive so that the result showed, *Spathaceum* (63.79%); *Dactylogyrus* sp. (36.20%); *Gyrodactylus* sp. (25.86%); *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* (44.82%); *Trichodinia* sp. (18.96%); *Chilodonella* sp. (12.06%) and *Argulus* sp. (6.89%). *Argulus* prevalence was greater in Barandoz River while *Chilodonella* in Zariyneh rud River. In Barandoz River, *Diplostomum spathaceum* (65.71%); *Dactylogyrus* sp. (37.14%); *Gyrodactylus* sp. (22.85%); *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* (31.42%); *Trichodinia* sp. (25.71%); *Chilodonella* sp. (8.57%) and *Argulus* sp. (5.71%) were reported, respectively. Finally, in Zariyneh rud river, the followings have been reported: *Diplostomum spathaceum* (76.19%); *Dactylogyrus* sp. (21.42%); *Gyrodactylus* sp. (14.28%); *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* (52.38%); *Trichodinia* sp. (23.80%); *Chilodonella* sp. (14.28%) and *Argulus* sp. (7.14%). It should be noted that prevalence, intensity and host parasite relationship will be discussed in details in this article.

**Keywords:** *Carassius carassius*, Ectoparasite, Water resources, Iran

1-Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Islamic Azad University of Urmia, P.O. Box: 969, Urmia, Iran.

2-Artemia Research Center, Urmia, Iran.

3-Department of Health and Fish Diseases, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Veterinary Medicine, Islamic Azad University of Urmia, P.O. Box: 969, Urmia, Iran.

4-Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran.

\*Corresponding author's email: Sohrab\_rasouli86@yahoo.com

## Introduction

With an area of over 43,660 square kilometers, the province of West Azerbaijan is located in the north-west of Iran. This province shares a long border (805 km) with the countries such as Nakhjavan, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Turkey and Iraq. According to existing meteorological data, temperatures/climates vary within this province. Using the method of *EmbergerByvklymanyk Gvsn*, the meteorologists divide the climate into cold and semi-arid. West Azerbaijan is abundant with water resources of the country's northern territory, so it has a great water potential. In this province, water resources are as follow: *Lake Urmia*, the largest lake inside Iran, *Zarine River*, *Symynh River*, *Mahabad*, *Barandvz River*, *Shhrchayy*, *Zngmar*, *Nazlorivers*, *Zola River*, and *Gotor*, *Aras*, *Godard* and *Lavigne River*. Also, fisheries have a high economic value in *Aras River*, *Nazloand Barandoz River* and *Zarine River* from among the afore-mentioned water resources.

A vast majority of literature on Parasitology information of water resources in West Azerbaijan province has been reported. For example, Jabari (1988-1989) studied cases of infants with a black eye fish *Diplostomum* parasite in Mahabad River. In the same token, Brotherhood's study (1990-1991), with the black fish contamination of Mahabad, Zahiri Maleki (1993) and Ahmad (1993-1994) studies on parasites and breeding establishments. Zaki Khani (1994) detected contamination

of crustaceans and leeches in the river and pours Zargham Zarine River Parasites. Raphi's study on *dascaris acus* *bothriocephalus acheilognathi* is another example. Nikzad's (1994) geological study on *D.Spathacoum* in Zarine River goes on Meshkini and a few articles (2006-2011). Also, Khodadadi and Rasuli (2009-2010) attempted to evaluate fish parasites in the eye at Mahabad University. The aim of this study was to determine the distribution of parasites in *C.carassius*, in west Azarbaijan water resources.

## Materials and methods

Inspired by the studies done by Berg (1965), Coad (1992), Yarshater (1988) and Abdoli (1999), the identification of fish hosts was carried out. The fish samples were prepared through the rivers which are given in the table 1. Consequently, Whole specimens were then fixed in 4% formalin and transferred to the Laboratory of Parasitology of Islamic Azad University of Urmia for the following processes. The methods used for collecting, fixing, staining, and mounting of parasite specimens were reported as follows: For detecting protozoa, the mucus was scraped (separately from skin and gills) and placed in a micro slide. Mucus was spread carefully with a cover slip. The protozoa were subjected to a fixative for about 15 minutes. They were then washed out for several minutes in alcohol containing a drop Iodine solution added to it according to Fernando et al. (1972).

**Table1: Important Rivers of West Azarbaijan province**

| River      | Average of annual flow of the MCM | Average of annual discharge | Length (Km) |
|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Zarine*    | 2062.92                           | 63.85                       | 177.5       |
| Symyneh    | 525.79                            | 16.6                        | 75          |
| Nazlo      | 398.5                             | 12.47                       | 53.75       |
| Barandoz*  | 268.54                            | 8.45                        | 40          |
| Zingmar    | 219.20                            | 6.88                        | 53          |
| Mahabad    | 198.66                            | 6.29                        | 37.5        |
| Gotor      | 187.55                            | 5.88                        | 71.25       |
| Shaharchay | 185.63                            | 5.42                        | 25          |
| Zola       | 150.53                            | 4.81                        | 41.25       |
| Aras*      | -                                 | 1300                        | 1072        |
| Godar      | 55.17                             | 1174                        | 38          |
| Lavigne    | 8.77                              | 0.25                        | 27.5        |

Regarding Monogenea, fish gills were cut out and examined under a microscope at x40-100 magnification. Vigorously moving worms were separated from the gills with a pipette and fixed under a cover slip according to Fernando et al. (1972) and Gussev (1983) in ammonium picrate and glycerol-gelatine, respectively. Digenea: Metacercariae were collected in a 0.6% saline solution. The sample was placed with a little saline on a glass slide and appropriate pressure was applied. It was fixed with 90% alcohol and washed in 70% alcohol and then stained with alum haematoxylin according to Fernando et al. (1972). Permanent mounts were made by

using carminestains according to Fernando et al. (1972) and Roberts (2001). The identification of parasites was carried out in accordance with the keys given by Gussev (1985), Lom and Dykova (1992), Jalali (1998) and Raissy et al. (2010).

### Results

As can be shown in tables 2, 3, 4 and 5, seven species were found in *Carassius carassius* in four selected rivers. Three types of the parasites belong to protozoan, three other types of them belong to monogenea parasite and one genus was *Argulus* sp.

**Table 2: Parasitic fauna in *C.carassius* in Aras River**

| parasite                            | No. of fish Examined | No. of fish infected | Infected organs | Range | Prevalence % | Intensity (Mean±SE)   |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|
| <i>D. spathaceum</i>                | 72                   | 51                   | lens            | 1-9   | 70/83        | ±0.55 <sup>Y,AA</sup> |
| <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp.             | 72                   | 28                   | Gills           | 2-15  | 38/88        | ±0.42 <sup>Y,YY</sup> |
| <i>Gyrodactylus</i> sp.             | 72                   | 16                   | Gills skin      | 3-1   | 22/22        | ±0.3 <sup>1,AA</sup>  |
| <i>Trichodina</i> sp.               | 72                   | 18                   | Skin gills      | 2-35  | 25           | 11.88±0.95            |
| <i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> | 72                   | 35                   | Gills skin      | 2-11  | 48/61        | ±0.56 <sup>9,Y</sup>  |
| <i>Chilodonella</i> sp.             | 72                   | 6                    | Gills skin      | 1-5   | 8.33         | 2.5±0.45              |
| <i>Argulus</i> sp.                  | 72                   | 9                    | Skin Gills      | 1-2   | 12/50        | 1.43±0.2              |

**Table 3: Parasitic fauna in *C.carassius* in Nazlo River**

| parasite                            | No. of fish Examined | No. of fish infected | Infected organs | Range | Prevalence % | Intensity (Mean±SE)  |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|----------------------|
| <i>D.spathaceum</i>                 | 58                   | 37                   | lens            | 1-4   | 63/79        | 2.2±0.49             |
| <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp.             | 58                   | 21                   | Gills           | 1-2   | 36/20        | 1.5±0.5              |
| <i>Gyrodactylus</i> sp.             | 58                   | 15                   | Gills           | 1-3   | 25/86        | ±0.58 <sup>Y</sup>   |
| <i>Trichodina</i> sp.               | 58                   | 11                   | Skin Gills      | 1-8   | 18/96        | ±0.57 <sup>3,Y</sup> |
| <i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> | 58                   | 26                   | Gills skin      | 2-5   | 44/82        | 3.7±0.88             |
| <i>Chilodonella</i> sp.             | 58                   | 7                    | Gills skin      | 1-3   | 12/06        | 1.8±0.3              |
| <i>Argulus</i> sp.                  | 58                   | 4                    | skin            | 1-2   | 6/89         | ±0.1 <sup>1,Y</sup>  |

**Table 4: Parasitic fauna in *C. carassius* in Zariyeh rud River**

| parasite                            | No. of fish Examined | No. of fish infected | Infected organs | Range | Prevalence % | Intensity (Mean±SE) |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|---------------------|
| <i>D.spathaceum</i>                 | 42                   | 32                   | lens            | 1-4   | 76/19        | 3.4±0.88            |
| <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp.             | 42                   | 9                    | Gills           | 1-2   | 21/42        | 7.2±0.56            |
| <i>Gyrodactylus</i> sp.             | 42                   | 6                    | Gills skin      | 1-3   | 14/28        | 2.9±0.5             |
| <i>Trichodina</i> sp.               | 42                   | 10                   | Skin gills      | 1-9   | 23/80        | 1.4±0.3             |
| <i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> | 42                   | 22                   | Gills skin      | 2-4   | 52/38        | 2.4±0.4             |
| <i>Chilodonella</i> sp.             | 42                   | 6                    | Gills skin      | 1-3   | 14/28        | 1.7±0.5             |
| <i>Argulus</i> sp.                  | 42                   | 3                    | Skin Gills      | 1-2   | 7/14         | 2±0.8               |

**Table 5: Parasitic fauna in *C. carassius* in Barandoz River**

| parasite                            | No. of fish Examined | No. of fish infected | Infected organs | Range                         | Prevalence % | Intensity (Mean±SE)              |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| <i>D. spathaceum</i>                | 35                   | 23                   | lens            | 5 <sup>1</sup> -              | 65/71        | ±0.4 <sup>1</sup> , <sup>^</sup> |
| <i>Dactylogyrus</i> sp.             | 35                   | 13                   | Gills           | 2-15                          | 37/14        | 10.8±0.85                        |
| <i>Gyrodactylus</i> sp.             | 35                   | 8                    | Gills skin      | 3 <sup>1</sup> - <sup>1</sup> | 22/85        | ±0.5 <sup>Δ</sup> , <sup>۲</sup> |
| <i>Trichodina</i> sp.               | 35                   | 9                    | Skin gills      | 2-15                          | 25/71        | 2.1±0.45                         |
| <i>Ichthyophthirius multifiliis</i> | 35                   | 11                   | Gills skin      | 2-8                           | 31/42        | 1.6±0.5                          |
| <i>Chilodonella</i> sp.             | 35                   | 3                    | Gills skin      | 1-5                           | 8/57         | 2±0.8                            |
| <i>Argulus</i> sp.                  | 35                   | 2                    | Skin Gills      | 1-2                           | 5/71         | 3.1±0.57                         |

## Discussion

In the case of parasites, the identification of wild life of the rivers was significant in order to understand ecological relationships between host and pathogens, which should be taken into account for fish hatchery and breeding. Wild fish parasites, especially those that were less than host

specificity may contain a wide host range of potential risks in aquaculture due to high stocking density and stress formation in case of free forms that enter the pool and /or with the host fish that under stress conditions become dense and multiply rapidly, causing epidemics in fish

breeding. A few parasites species like protozoa are apparently non-host specific species and have been found in a wide range of fresh or brackish water fish species throughout the world. In this study three protozoans, *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*, *Trichodina* and *chilodenella* are reported. Among them, *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis* with high prevalence is a very dangerous parasite. *Diplostomum spathaceum* is a very common parasite in the freshwater fishes of Iran (Jalali, 1998). Moreover, it has been frequently reported from fishes in different areas of Iran (Masoumian, 2001; Pazooki, 2007; Barzegar et al., 2008; Azadikhah et al., 2009; Raissy et al., 2007, 2010b).

The results of the present study show that the incidence of *Diplostomum spathaceum* in the study area is very high. According to Gibson et al (1996), species of *Dactylogyrus* are primarily (c. 95%) parasites of the gills of cyprinids fishes, but all *Dactylogyrus* species found in Iranian fishes are specific to Cyprinids fish (Jalali, 1995 and Jalali et al 2000). In this study, we found *Dactylogyrus* sp. from the gills of *Carassius carassius*. Crustaceans are even less well studied in Iran, though Mokhayer (1981) and Jalali (1998) studied different crustaceans shown to genus level. Abdi (1997) and Mirhashemi and Pazooki (2003) identified five Crustacean species. *Argulus foliaceus* was reported on the skin of common carp in Anzali lagoon in Caspian basin (Asadzadeh Mangili et al, 2000) and on some cyprinid fish species in Zarivar Lake and also in various parts of Iran (Jalali and Barzegar, 2006 ;

Asadzadeh Mangili et al., 2000). In this study *Argulus* sp. is a crustacean parasite which was found on the skin and gills of *Carassius carassius*. A relatively extensive study on fish parasites has been done in the world but the breadth and depth of studies in the former Soviet Union was more than other countries. The use of water resources for fish breeding and introducing them to the epidemic particularly in parasitic diseases has followed one of the main motivations for doing this study (Azadikhah, 2009). In the last decade, such studies have been carried out but the research related to parasites of freshwater fish species, mainly confined to the carp family fish that is about 70 percent of freshwater fish in Iran. One of the findings of this study is the changes in diversity of fauna and the percentage of parasitic infection in the River. Regarding the necessity and importance of *D. spathaceum* disease in the past years, various studies have been carried out, and due to these parasite studies of *D. spathaceum* range of hot water and cold water fish, even sturgeon has been infected. It has been found that Parasite *D. spathaceum* had 105 species of fish in the eye lenses and can be concluded that although this parasite lacks host specificity, life is very dependent on the position of the fish eye. There are many known literatures by Jalali (1987), Gorbanzadeh (1995), Aragi Sura (1996) and Azadikhah (2009) on indigenous fish of the river flowing water ecosystems belonging to the Urmia Lake basin, which the ecology of the area is affected by its

Ponto - Aralo – Caspian (Coad, 1992). In the other words, monogenea parasites that have been found in native fish of the rivers are parasites of other fish in waters that once the Russian researchers detected in this area. So understanding the value of parasites zoogeography is necessary.

As seen in table. 1 showing parasite prevalence and intensity in the same species in different rivers was close to each other in all cases. The conclusion shows similar distribution of studied parasites in *Carassius carassius* throughout this study.

### References

- Abdoli, A., 1999.** The Inland Water Fishes of Iran (In Persian). Nature Museum and World Wild of Iran. p 377.
- Abzigostar Consulting Eng. Co., 2004.** Report on Limnology in Zarivar Lake. Primary Study for Kurdistan Province Fisheries General Directory. P. 100.
- Azadikhah, D., Masoumian, M., Nekuiefard, A., Mirzayi, F., Shiri, S. and Jalali, B., 2009.** The parasitological survey of *Silurus glanis* in Aras Dam Lake and the first report of Hyper Parasite in Iran fish parasites. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 6(2), 701-706.
- Barzegar, M., Raissy, M., and Jalali, B., 2008.** Parasites of the eyes of brackish and freshwater fishes in Iran. *Journal of Veterinary Research and science*, 9(3), 256-261.
- Baska, F. and Masoumian, M. 1996.** *Myxobolus molnari* sp. N. and *M. mokhayeri* sp. N. (Myxosporidia) infecting a Mesopotamian fish, *Capoetatrutta* (Heckel, 1843). *Acta protozoologica, Hungary*, 35, 151-156.
- Berg, L. S., 1965.** "Freshwater Fishes of USSR and Adjacent Countries", (In Russian). Vol. 3, Nauka, Moscow, USSR, pp: 926-1382.
- Coad, B. W., 1992.** Freshwater Fishes of Iran. A Checklist and Bibliography. Ichthyology Section. Canadian Museum of Nature. Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. P. 66.
- Dubinina, M. N., 1980.** Tape Worms (Cestoda, Ligulidae) of the Fauna of the USSR. Academy of Sciences of the USSR Zoological Institute. Moscow, USSR. P. 320.
- Fernando, C. H., Furtado, J. I., Gussev, A. V., Hanek, G. and Kakonge, S. A., 1972.** *Methods for the Study of Freshwater Fish Parasites*, University of Waterloo. Biology Series, Canada. P. 76.
- Gibson, T., Feeva, A. T., and Grasev, I. P., 1996.** A catalogue of the nominal species of the monogenean genus *Dactylogyrus* (Diesing, 1850) and the host genera. *Systematic Parasitology*, 35, 3-48.
- Gussev, A. V., 1983.** *The Methods of Collection and Processing of Fish Parasitic Monogenean Materials*, Nauka, Leningrad, USSR. P. 48. (In Russian)
- Gussev, A. V., 1985.** Monogenea. In: "Key to Parasites of Freshwater Fishes of USSR". (Ed): Bauer, O. N.,

- Vol 2, Nauka Leningrad, USSR, P. 424.
- Jalali, B., 1987.** Lernaesis in Cyprinid cultured Fish in Iran (Master thesis). University of Godolo, Hungary. P. 17.
- Jalali, B., 1992.** Description of *Dogieliusmolnarin* sp. (Monogenea, Dactylogyridae) from the Gills of an Iranian Freshwater Fish, *Cyprinionmacrostomum* (Heckel, 1843). *Acta Veterinary, Hungarica*, 40, 239-242.
- Jalali, B., 1998.** Parasites and Parasitic Diseases of Fresh Water Fishes of Iran (In Persian). Fisheries Co of Iran. P. 564.
- Jalali, B.; Papp, M. and Molnar, K., 1995.** Four new Dactylogyrus species (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from Iranian fishes. *Folia Parasitologica*. 42, 97-101.
- Jalali, B.; Shamsi, SH. and Molnar, K., 2000.** New *Dactylogyrus* species (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae) from cyprinid fishes of the Bahu-Kalat river in southeast Iran. *Acta Parasitologica*, 45, 289-294.
- Jazebizadeh, K., 1983.** Study on Parasitic Diseases of Fishes of Zarivar Lake (In Persian). Environmental Protection Organization of Iran Publication. P. 19.
- Kritsky, D. C., Pandey, K. C., Agrawal, N. and Abdullah, S. M. A., 2004.** Monogenoids from the Gills of Spiny Eels (Teleostei: Mastacembelidae) in India and Iraq, Proposal of *Mastacembelocleidus* Gen. n., and Satus of *Actinocleidus*, *Urocleidus* and *Haplocleidus* (Monogenea: Dactylogyridae). *Folia Parasitologica*. 51, 291-298.
- Lom, J. and Dykova, I., 1992.** Protozoan Parasites of Fishes. Elsevier Science Publisher. Amsterdam. Netherlands. P. 315.
- Masoumian, M., Mehdizadeh, J., and Mokhayer, B., 2001.** Study on parasitic infestation of *Rutilus rutilus caspius* in south east of Caspian Sea. *Iranian Fisheries Science journal*, 4, 61-74. (In Persian)
- Mirhashemi, A. R. and Pazooki, J., 2003.** Identification of Crustacean Parasites in some Fishes of Mahabad Reservoir. *Iranian Fisheries Science journal*, 11(2), 133-148. (In Persian)
- Mokhayer, B., 1981b.** Habitat of Spiny Eel in Iranian Water with a Note on their Helminthic Infection (In Persian). *Journal of Veterinary*, 36(3), 35-48.
- Pazooki, J., 1996.** Survey and Histopathological Studies on Freshwater Fish Nematodes in Iran and Hungary. Ph. D. thesis, Veterinary Medical Research Institute. Hungarian Academy of Sciences. P. 110.
- Pazooki, J., Masoumian, M., Yahyazadeh, H. M., and Abbasi, K., 2007.** Metazoan parasites from freshwater fishes of northeast Iran. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 9(1), 25-33.
- Raissy, M., Ansari, M., Lashkari, A. and Jalali, B., 2010.** Occurrence of parasites in selected fish species in Gandoman Lagoon, Iran. *Iranian*

*Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 9(3), 464-471.

**Raissy, M., Barzegar, M., and Jalali, B., 2007.** Worm parasites of fishes in Choghakhor lagoon and introducing *Tylodelphys clavata*. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Sciences*, 4(4), 251-258.

**Raissy, M., Fadaeifard, F., Ansari, M., Tajizadegan, H., and Hosseini, R., 2010.** Parasites of fish in Sooleghan Lagoon. *Veterinary Journal of Islamic Azad University, Garmsar Branch*, 5(1), 143-148.

## بررسی فون انگلی ماهی کاراس در آب های استان آذربایجان غربی

سهراب رسولی<sup>۱\*</sup>؛ علی نکوئی فرد<sup>۲</sup>؛ داریوش آزادینخواه<sup>۳</sup>؛ حامد اهری<sup>۴</sup>؛ امیر علی انوار<sup>۴</sup>؛  
امین خدادادی<sup>۳</sup>؛ احد قاسمی<sup>۳</sup>

### چکیده:

در طول طی این بررسی ۴ بار صید از سال ۱۳۸۸ تا ۱۳۸۹ در مجموع ۲۰۷ عدد ماهی کاراس (*Carassius carassius*) با استفاده از تور ماهیگیری و الکتروشوکر از رودخانه های منابع آبی شمال استان آذربایجان غربی رودخانه ارس و از منابع آبی بخش مرکزی استان رودهای نازلو و باراندوزچای و از منابع آبی جنوب استان زرینه رود که دارای ارزش شیلاتی و اقتصادی بالای می باشند بررسی گردیدند، که از آبششهای ماهیان کاراس رودخانه ارس ژیروداکتیلوس (*Gyrodactilus* sp) که از رده منوژنها و از دیزنه آیک گونه از جنس دیپلوستوموم (*Diplostomum spathaceum*) از چشم تمامی ماهیان کاراس استان (در رودخانه های مورد مطالعه) و از گونه *eustrongylides* جنس *E.excisus* در محوطه بطنی ماهیان کاراس و از بیاریها و انگل های قارچی *Trichodinia* sp. و *Chilodonellasis* sp. از ماهیان کاراس شمال استان معرفی گردیدند. همچنین انگل دیپلوستوموم برای فون انگلی ماهی کاراس در کل استان آذربایجان غربی معرفی گردید. براساس نتایج بدست آمده ۶۹٫۱۳ درصد از کل ماهیان مورد مطالعه به انگل دیپلوستوموم آلوده بودند که نشان دهنده در صد بالای آلودگی در ماهیان این منبع آبی می باشد

**واژگان کلیدی:** انگل، دیپلوستوموم، ژیروداکتیلوس، ماهی

۱- بخش انگل شناسی دانشکده دامپزشکی دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی واحد ارومیه صندوق پستی: ۹۶۹، ارومیه، ایران.

۲- مرکز ملی تحقیقات آرتمیا، ارومیه، ایران.

۳- بخش بهداشت و بیماریهای آبزیان، دانشکده دامپزشکی دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، ارومیه، ایران.

۴- دانشکده دامپزشکی، واحد علوم تحقیقات، دانشگاه آزاد اسلامی، تهران، ایران.

پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول: Sohrab\_rasouli86@yahoo.com