Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences DOI: 10.22092/ijfs.2021.350980.0

## **Research Article**

# New record of digenean species in two mugilid fish (*Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*, Risso, 1810) in southern Caspian Sea, Iran

# Alizadeh-Noudeh M.1; Pazooki J.1\*

Received: April 2020 Accepted: January 2021

#### **Abstract**

The present study was carried out on digenean infection in two species of mugilid fish (Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens, Risso, 1810) in southern coast of Caspian Sea. One hundred and ninty eight fishes were totally studied. We identified the digenetic trematodes of three families, Haploporidae (adult Digenea), Heterophyidae (Metacercariae), and Diplostomidae (Metacercariae). Eyes, gills, stomach, intestine and pyloric caeca of fishes were infected with Diplostomidae (Diplostomum spathaceum), Heterophyidae (Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa and Ascocotyle sp.), Haploporidae (Saccocoelium obesum and S. tensum), respectively. The results revealed that the stomach was the most infected organ (P=57%), and there was a significant difference between mean intensity of infection and sampling stations in Haploporidae ( $X^2 = 11.6$ , df= 3, p= 0.009) and Heterophyidae ( $X^2= 10.2$ , df= 2, p=0.006). There was no significant difference between mean intensity of infection in three years and fish genders. Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa and Saccocoelium tensum are reported for the first time in Iran. Another noticeable point is that the digenean parasites, which are prevalent in mullets, can cause disease in fishes. They are zoonotic (Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa) and are important in point of view of ecology, economy and public health.

Keywords: Mullet, Metacercariae, Digenea, Zoonosis, Caspian Sea, Iran

<sup>1-</sup>Department of Biology, Aquatic and Marine Biotechnology, Faculty of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's Email: pazooki2001@yahoo.com

#### Introduction

Caspian Sea is the largest lake on earth containing a vast range of fish species (Aladin and Plotnikov, 2004). It has very important role in ecology and economy of the region. Mullet is one of the main fish species in Caspian Sea. This fish is rated as the second substantial target in the fishing industry (Fazli et al., 2014; Coad, 2017). As a ofmullets matter fact. are cosmopolitan, found in a wide temperature range. They have been regarded as an ecological link for trematodes of fresh water and marine fishes (Overstreet, 1971). Digeneans are heteroxenous parasites and need an intermediate host in their life cycle; this is the main limiting factor in their distribution (Paperna and Dzikowski, 2006). The digenean infestations often cause intense economic loss in mullets (Al-Bassel et al., 1999; Kotb et al., 2014). On the other hand, some of digeneans are considered potential zoonotic agents (Nguyen et al., 2021). So far, many articles are published about digenetic infection of mullets all over the world (Overstreet, 1971; Blasco-Costa et al., 2009; Kotb et al., 2014; Sarabeev, 2015; Bottari et al., 2020; Nguyen et.al. 2021). Mikailov (1958),Mokhayer (1980)Mirnategh et al., (2017) investigated parasites of Caspian Sea mullet. There are few studies of mugilids digenetic parasites on Iranian coasts of Caspian Sea; the only paper in this subject is Taghavi et al. (2013). They reported Saccocoelium obesum from intestines of mullet. According to the importance

of mullets and scarcity of studies on their digeneans, purpose of this research was to identify the digenean parasites, and their distribution, prevalence and intensity in mullets on the Iranian coasts of Caspian Sea.

#### Materials and methods

Present study was performed for a period of three years from 2017 till 2019. A total number of 64 Chelon auratus and 134 Chelon saliens were captured from four locations including Kiashahr. Anzali. Chalus and Mahmoudabad on southern coasts of Caspian Sea (Fig. 1). After biometrical measurements the internal and external fish organs of were examined. Digenean parasites were prepared based on standard parasitological methods and identification process was also performed considering valid keys. The isolated parasites were fixed using a 10% formalin and mounted on a microscopic slide so as to be identified. Parasite samples were photographed using a Nikon microscope equipped with a SSC-DC378P Sony video camera. For electron microscopy on properties of some parasites, samples were washed in distilled water for 24 hours. The water was changed every 5 hours The samples were dehydrated in varying degrees of ethanol; 30, 40, 50, 70, 90 and 99 percent, every 2 hours. Finally, the parasites were placed in acetone solution for 2 hours. The parasites were mounted on metallic stub and coated with gold by a sputtering chamber (SCDOOS, BalTec, Switzerland).

Parasites were photographed at 15 kV by scanning electron microscope (JSM-

6380 (JEOL, Tokyo, Japan)).




Figure 1: Study area and the sampling locations.

Parasitological measures, including prevalence (P), mean intensity (MI) and mean abundance (MA) of each parasite species were calculated according to Bush et al. (1997). Kruskal-Wallis analysis (nonparametric ANOVA) was used to evaluate mean intensity of infections among fish species, sampling years and locations. The differences in of intensity the parasite mean infestation between male and female fish were tested by Mann-Whitney U SPSS Version 25.0 statistics software was used at a significant level of 5% for statistical significance.

#### **Results**

A total number of 198 mullet fish specimens of *Chelon auratus* (N= 64)

and *Chelon saliens* (N=134) were surveyed indicating that they were infected with 42 (65.62%) and 73 (54.47%) digenetic trematodes, respectively (Table 1).

Prevalence (P %), mean intensity (MI $\pm$ SD) and mean abundance (MA $\pm$ SD) in four locations were calculated (Tables 2 and 3). The mean intensity of Haploporidae infection ( $X^2=11.6$ , df =3, p=0.009) and Heterophyidae ( $X^2=10.2$ , df=2, p=0.006) were significantly different in various locations (Table 4).

Digenetic trematodes, including Saccocoelium obesum and Saccocoelium tensum (Haploporidae) were found mainly in the intestine and partially in the stomach and Pyloric

caeca. They were very prevalent on the surface of intestine. The mean intensity of Haploporidae in *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens* were 42.13±47.21and

 $38.24\pm74.52$ . There was no significant difference ( $X^2=1.06$ , df = 1, p=0.30) between the two mullet species (Table 4).

Table 1: Incidence of digenetic trematodes and some biometric parameters in *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens* (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation).

		Weight of fish (g)	Total length of fish (cm)	No. of examined fish	No. of Infected fish
		205.66±94.40	31.5±4.48	12	10
	C. saliens	227.75±52.58	$30.9 \pm 2.3$	12	6
	C. Sautens	128.17±17.91	$26.26 \pm 0.86$	18	3
Anzali		245.10±10.84	33.06±0.99	10	6
	C. auratus	217.06±47.5	$30.9 \pm 3.5$	8	8
	C. Con Cons	348.44±16.66	34.55±1.22	8	8
		157.18±35.05	29.06±1.90	26	16
	C. saliens	$282.65 \pm 90.4$	$33.36\pm4.05$	10	10
Kiashahr	e. sancus	$95.25\pm20.85$	23.76±1.56	26	24
		185.89±35.37	29.47±1.2	8	2
	C. auratus	$327.99\pm92.39$	$35.5 \pm 3.09$	6	6
	C. Com Com	$134.81\pm32.84$	25±1.9	9	9
	C. saliens	116.68±30.85	25.35±3.1	12	0
Chalus	C. auratus	$156.46\pm6.1$	$27.25\pm0.93$	6	3
Mahmoudabad	C. saliens	146.26±40.45	26.58±1.9	18	4
iviaiiiioudabad	C. auratus	$175.25\pm8.54$	$28.43 \pm 0.27$	9	0

Table 2: Site and rate of digenean infection (Haploporidae, Heterophyidae and Diplostomidae) in *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*. P: prevalence, MA: mean abundance, MI: mean intensity, SD: standard deviation.

		Host	Site of infection	No. of examined fish	No. of Infected fish	P (%)	MA ±SD	MI ±SD
<b>Haploporidae</b> o	Saccocoelium obesum	Chelon auratus	Stomach/ Intestine/ Pyloric Caeca	64	37	57.81	27.21±42.67	42.13±47.21
	and S. tensum	Chelon saliens	Stomach/ Intestine/ Pyloric Caeca	134	73	54.47	20.83±58.06	38.24±74.52
W.A	Ascocotyle (Phagicola)	Chelon auratus	Stomach/ Intestine/ Gill	64	11	17.18	15.48±80.56	90.09±183.02
	longa and Ascocotyle sp.	Chelon saliens	Stomach/ Intestine/ Gill	134	19	14.17	1.35±4.85	9.73±9.40
Dinlostomidae	Diplostomum	Chelon auratus	Eye	64	10	15.62	0.25±0.64	1.6±0.69
	spathaceum	Chelon saliens	Eye	134	6	4.47	0.07±0.39	1.6±1.03

Table 3: Rate of digenean infection (Haploporidae, Heterophyidae and Diplostomidae) in different years and locations of *Chelon auratus* and *Chelon saliens*) in Southern coasts of Caspian Sea. P: prevalence, MA: mean abundance, MI: mean intensity, SD: standard deviation.

		Sampling years				locations			
		2017	2018	2019	Anzali	Kiashahr	Chalus	Mahmoudabad	
	N	74	63	61	68	85	18	27	
	MI±SD	19.54± 19.76	56.17± 101.79	44.05± 51.16	73.75± 101.01	24.43± 29.90	11± 0.01	6.5± 6.35	
Haploporidae	MA±SD	9.7± 17.01	30.31± 79.44	31.16± 46.92	41.73± 82.01	19.25± 28.34	1.83± 4.21	0.96± 3.19	
	P (%)	50	53.96	63.93	52.94	78.82	16.66	14.81	
	MI±SD	6.29± 6.32	95.36± 180.58	10± 0.01	69.37± 152.78	3.83± 3.27	0	10± 0.01	
Heterophyidae	MA±SD	1.44± 3.98	16.65± 81.18	0.32± 1.79	16.32± 78.13	0.54± 1.78	0	0.74± 2.66	
	P (%)	22.97	17.46	3.27	23.52	14.11	0	7.40	
	MI±SD	$\frac{2\pm}{0.01}$	$\frac{2\pm}{0.01}$	1.5± 0.9	1.66± 0.81	$1.60\pm 0.84$	0	0	
Diplostomidae	MA±SD	$0.54\pm 0.32$	0.06± 0.35	$0.29\pm 0.71$	$0.14\pm 0.52$	0.18± 0.58	0	0	
	P (%)	2.70	3.17	19.67	8.82	11.76	0	0	

Table 4: Results of Kruskal-Wallis test showing differences between digenean parasites in mullet species, sampling years and locations. P: prevalence, MA: mean abundance, MI: mean intensity, SD: standard deviation.

	Haploporidae	Heterophyidae	Diplostomidae	Haploporidae	Heterophyidae	Diplostomidae	Haploporidae	Heterophyidae	Diplostomidae
Chi-square	1.061	.136	.015	4.076	5.947	2.637	11.606	10.283	.059
df	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	2	1
Asymp. Sig.	.303	.712	.904	.130	.051	.267	.009	.006	.809

Encysted metacercariae of *Ascocotyle* sp. were found in fish gill, this specimen had two rows of spines around its oral sucker (Fig. 3B). The adult parasite had similar characters with their metacercariae, except in definitive host and site of infection. On the other hand, *Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa* specimens were found as single

or multiple cysts in fish stomach and intestine. This species had one row of spines around oral sucker (Fig. 3A). A. (Phagicola) longa metacercariae were found mainly in mugilids and the mature form infected mammals and fish-eating birds. Differences between adult and immature Phagicola is mainly

in definitive host, site of infection, and size (adults are larger).

Ascocotyle infections in Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens were  $90.09\pm183.02$  and  $9.73\pm9.40$ , respectively. No significant difference  $(X^2=0.13, df=1, p=0.71)$  was seen between infection of the two mullet species.

Diplostomum spathaceum metacercariae infected the fish eyes

(Fig. 2E); the infection rate of *D. spathaceum* is presented in Tables 2 and 3. Results of Kruskal-Wallis test showed that *D. spathaceum* was not significantly different among the two fish species, sampling years and locations (Table 4).

Mann-Whitney test results indicated that there was no relationship between parasitic infections and fish sexes (Table 5).




Figure 2: Digenetic trematodes isolated from (Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens). A and B: encysted metacercariae of Ascocotyle sp., oral sucker (OS) with two rows of spines (red arrow). C: excysted metacercariae of Ascocotyle sp. D: Two rows of spines (red arrow). E: Diplostomum spathaceum metacercariae; OS: oral sucker, I: intestine, VS: ventral sucker. F: wet mount of Saccocoelium tensum specimen; OS: oral sucker, P: pharynx.




Figure 3: SEM micrograph of, A: Metacercariae of *Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa*; oral sucker (OS) with a single row of spine (Spine) B: Metacercariae of *Ascocotyle sp.* with two rows of spines around oral sucker (anterior and posterior spines).

Table 5: Results of Mann-Whitney test showing significant differences between digenean parasites and fish gender.

	Fish gender					
	Haploporidae	Heterophyidae	Diplostomidae			
Z	-1.82	-1.19	-0.58			
df	1	1	1			
Asymp. Sig.	0.68	0.23	0.55			

#### **Discussion**

Few studies have identified and introduced digenean trematodes mullets in southern coasts of Caspian Sea. The isolation and identification of the digenetic trematodes of the two mullets were made in four locations of Caspian Sea. Metacercariae ofDiplostomum spathaceum, Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa and Ascocotyle sp. were found from mullets for the first time, and also new information about intensity and prevalence of these parasites were provided.

Diplostomum spathaceum had a high prevalence in different fish species, but in this study it was reported from Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens of southern coasts of Caspian Sea for the first time. Moghainemi et al. (1995)

reported the presence of D. spathaceum infestation in Planiliza abu of Hoor-AL-Azim Lagoon. **Diplostomum** spathaceum is reported in 40 fresh and brackish water fish species (Barzegar et al., 2008). In other parts of the world, Diplostomum spathaceum showed a distribution, Höglund high (1991)described the metacercariae of this in 125 fish species. species Diplostomum sp. infestation was found in two mullets of Mugil cephalus and Planiliza haematocheilus in Ukraine (Sarabeev, 2015).

Metacercariae of Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa and Ascocotyle sp. metacercariae infected stomach, intestine and gill of examined fish specimens, respectively. Ascocotyle coleostoma is reported from Chelon

ramada and Chelon saliens of Caspian Sea (Mikailov, 1958). Also, these species are reported from Azerbaijan and Turkmenistan (Ibragimov, 1988; Seidli, 1990; Mamedova and Veliyeva, tenuicollis 2017). Ascocotyle metacercariae were isolated from Iran's imported ornamental fish, Xiphophorus maculatus (Shoaibi Omrani et al., 2010). Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa infestation is reported in Mugil incilis (Galván-Borja et al., 2010), M. liza (Simões et al., 2010; Martorelli et al., 2012; Santos et al., 2013), M. cephalus (Scholz, 1999; Özer and Kırca, 2015; Masala et al., 2016), Chelon labrosus, C. ramada, C. saliens, C. auratus (Masala et al., 2016), M. curema, M. trichodon (Scholz, 1999), Mugil liza (Oliveira et al., 2007). Heterophyid trematodes were also reported from fish-eating birds (Farahnak et al., 2004), human and carnivorous animals (golden jackal, red fox, stray dog and cat) from Khuzestan (Massoud et al., 1981).

Heterophyid parasites are zoonotic and important in point of view of public health and economic loss (Simões et al., 2010; Martorelli et al., 2012). To our Ascocotyle (Phagicola) knowledge, longa as a zoonotic fishborne trematode has not been reported from Iranian coasts of Caspian Sea. It is transmitted to humans through the consumption of undercooked fish meat. raw and However, it is found in snails and fish (mullets) as intermediate hosts and fisheating birds, dogs, cats, pigs as reservoir hosts (Dung et al., 2007). The hosts are common in this country, so

there is a need for more attention and research to control *Ascocotyle* (*Phagicola*) *longa*.

Other digenetic trematodes species found in this study were Saccocoelium obesum and Saccocoelium which belong to the family Haploporidae. There are numerous reports of this family in the Caspian Sea. Saccocoelium obesum has been reported from mullet fish species, especially Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens (Mikailov, 1958). Saccocoelium obesum has been reported from the intestine of the mullet fish in Iranian waters (Mokhayer 1980; Moghainemi et al., 1995; Taghavi et al., 2013).

Infection prevalence, mean intensity and mean abundance of aforementioned digenean parasites were determined in Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens, as it is shown in Table 2. As mentioned before most of the introduced parasites, except Saccocoelium obesum, were the first to be reported from mullets in this area, therefore there was no comparable data about the infection rate that have occurred. In the present study, infection prevalence of Haploporidae (S. obesum and S. tensum) in Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens determined to be 57.8% and 54.4%, respectively. Taghavi et al. (2013) reported a prevalence of 10% for Saccocoelium obesum in Chelon auratus.

Due to some biological differences between fish sexes, there may be parasitic differences between them, but in this study no parasitic infection difference was observed between fish sexes. It is reported that *Saccocoelium*  obesum showed a higher prevalence in female than male fish (Aydoğdu et al., 2015). Balling and Pfeiffer (1997), Özer et al. (2004) and Karvonen and Lindström (2018) observed infection parasites differences between male and female fish. Fish species and locations are other factors that were examined in this study and it was found that fish species do not affect their parasitic infections, in contrast sampling in different locations showed significant infection differences among fish. Sasal et al. (1996) described the difference in levels parasitic infection of fish between two protected and unprotected areas. Also, Karvonen and Lindström (2018) showed that the abundance of parasites of sand gobies and common gobies are different in sampling locations.

Chelon auratus and Chelon saliens were heavily infected with the digenetic parasites (Heterophyidae, Haploporidae, and Diplostomidae). The prevalence of some trematodes varied spatially between different regions, but, rate of infections was not affected by season and fish sex. On the other hand, expansion of unhygienic fish farming, anthropogenic activity inappropriate food processing in most parts of the world may increase the distribution of trematode parasites. Ascocotyl parasites were reported for the first time from mullets in Iranian coasts of Caspian Sea. Also, these parasites are important in terms of public health and economic issues. Therefore, with an increase in their prevalence, they may cause severe

health, economic, and ecological damage and need to be given more attention.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Iran National Science Foundation, Science deputy of the presidency [project number 96015681] for their financial support. The laboratory activities were done in Marine Biology Laboratory, Department of Marine Biology, Faculty of life Sciences and Biotechnology, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran.

#### References

Aladin, N. and Plotnikov, I., 2004.
The Caspian Sea. *Lake Basin Management Initiative*. Thematic Paper, Moscow, Russia: 29 P.

Al-Bassel, D.A., Al-Swaehly, A., Abdel-Baki, A., Atwa, M. and Al-Shawsh, R., 1999. Parasites of mullets from two different waters. *Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 3(3), 259-278.

**Aydoğdu, A., Emre, N. and Emre Y., 2015.** Prevalence and intensity of parasitic helminths of thicklip grey mullet *Chelon labrosus* in hosts in Beymelek Lagoon Lake in Antalya, Turkey, according to season, host size, age, and sex of the host. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 39, 643-651. DOI:10.3906/zoo-1403-55.

Balling, T.E. and Pfeiffer, W., 1997. Frequency distributions of fish parasites in the perch *Perca fluviatilis* L. from Lake Constance.

- Parasitology Research, 83(4), 370-373. DOI:10.1007/s004360050264.
- **Barzegar, M., Raeisi, M., Bozorgnia, A. and Jalali, B., 2008.** Parasites of the eyes of fresh and brackish water fishes in Iran. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 9(3), 256-261.
- Blasco-Costa, I., Montero. F.E.. Gibson, D.I., Balbuena, J.A. and Kostadinova, A., 2009. A revision of the Haploporinae Nicoll, 1914 (Digenea: Haploporidae) from mullets (Mugilidae), two new haploporine genera and a key to the genera of the subfamily. Systematic 72(3), Parasitology, 207-215. DOI:10.1007/s11230-008-9164-4.
- Bottari, T., Gaglio, G., Mobilia, V., G., Garofalo. Iaria, C. and Fiorentino, F., 2020. Discrimination of red mullet populations (Teleostean. Mullidae) off Sicilian coasts (Mediterranean Sea) on the basis of metazoan parasites. Thalassas: An International Journal of Marine Sciences, 36(2), 357-363. DOI:10.1007/s41208-020-00211-1.
- Bush, A.O., Lafferty, K.D., Lotz, J.M. and Shostak, A.W., 1997.

  Parasitology meets ecology on its own terms: Margolis *et al.* revisited. *Journal of Parasitology*, 83(4), 575-583. DOI:10.7939/r3j38kv04.
- Coad, B.W., 2017. Review of the freshwater mullets of Iran (Family Mugilidae). *Iranian Journal of Ichthyology*, 4(2), 75-130. DOI:10.7508/iji.2016.0.
- Dung, D.T., Dê, N.V., Waikagul, J., Dalsgaard, A., Chai, J.Y., Sohn, W.M. and Murrell, K.D., 2007.

- Fishborne zoonotic intestinal trematodes, Vietnam. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 13(**12**), 1828-1833. DOI:10.3201/eid1312.070554.
- **Farahnak, A., Shiekhian, R. and Mobedi, I., 2004.** A faunistic survey on the bird helminth parasites and their medically importance. *Iranian Journal of Public Health*, 33(3), 40-46.
- Fazli, H., Kor, D., Daryanabard, Gh.R. and Tavakoli, M., 2014. Spatial and temporal distribution of mullets in Iranian waters of the Caspian Sea. *Journal of Fisheries, Islamic Azad University, Azadshahr Branch*, 8(2), 53-63, in Persian. DOI:10.1111/jai.14256.
- Galván-Borja, D., Olivero-Verbel, J. and Barrios-García, L., 2010. Occurrence of Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa Ransom, 1920 (Digenea: Heterophyidae) in Mugil incilis from Cartagena Colombia. Veterinary Parasitology, 168(**1-2**), 31-35. DOI:10.1016/j.vetpar.2009.10.011.
- Höglund, J., 1991. Ultrastructure observations and radiometric assay on cercarial penetration and migration of the digenean *Diplostomum spathaceum* in the rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Parasitology Research*, 77(4), 283-289. DOI:10.1007/bf00930902.
- **Ibragimov, S.R., 1988.** Parasitofauna of fishes of Turkmen Gulf of the Caspian Sea. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Turkmenskoi*, 2, 51-56.
- Karvonen, A. and Lindström, K., 2018. Spatiotemporal and gender-

- specific parasitism in two species of gobiid fish. *Ecology and Evolution*, 8(12), 6114-6123. DOI:10.1002/ece3.4151.
- H.L., Mahdy, O.A. Kotb, Shaheed, I.B., 2014. Parasitological histopathological study digenetic trematodes in mullets from Lake Oarun. Egypt. Global Veterinaria. 13(2), 202-208. DOI:10.5829/idosi.gv.2014.13.02.84 102.
- Mamedova, S.N. and Veliyeva, G.A., 2017. Parasite fauna of the Caspian Sea cyprinid fish (Cyprinidae) in near shore area of the Absheron Peninsula. *International Journal of Zoology Studies*, 2(1), 14-16.
- Martorelli, S.R., Lino. A., Marcotegui, P., Montes, M.M., Alda, P. and Panei, C.J., 2012. Morphological and molecular identification of the fish-borne metacercaria of Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa Ransom, 1920 in Mugil liza from Argentina. *Veterinary Parasitology*, 190(**3-4**), 599-603.

DOI:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.07.002.

Masala, S., Piras, M.C., Sanna, D., Chai, J.Y., Jung, B.K., Sohn, W.M., Garippa, G. and Merella, 2016. Epidemiological P., molecular data on heterophyid trematode metacercariae found in the muscle of grey mullets (Osteichthyes: Mugilidae) from (western Mediterranean Sardinia Sea). Parasitology Research, 115(9), 3409-3417. DOI:10.1007/x00436-016-5101-7.

- Massoud, J., Jalali, H., and Reza, M., 1981. Studies on trematodes of the family Heterophyidae (Odhner, 1914) in Iran: 1. Preliminary epidemiological surveys in man and carnivores in Khuzestan. *Journal of Helminthology*, 55(4), 255-260. DOI:10.1017/s0022149x00027851.
- Mikailov, T.K., 1958. Parasitofauna of *Mugil saliens* Risso of the Caspian Sea. *Zoologicheskii zhurnal*, 37(3), 373-378. In Russian.
- Mirnategh, S.B., Shabanipour, N. and Sattari, M., 2017. Occurrence and intensity of parasites in *Chelon aurata* (Risso, 1810) and *Neogobius caspius* (Eichwald, 1831) (Teleostei: Perciformes) from southern Caspian Sea. *International Journal of Aquatic Biology*, 5(5), 310-320. DOI:10.22034/ijab.v5i5.323
- Moghainemi, R., Abasi, S. and Amiri, F., 1995. Survey on parasites of fishes of Hoorolazim Lagoon (Dashte Azadegan). Research project report, Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute. South Iran Aquaculture Research Institute, Ahvaz, Iran, in Persian: 107 P.
- **Mokhayer, B., 1980.** Diseases of farmed fish, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Tehran University Press, Tehran, Iran, in Persian.
- Nguyen, T.H., Dorny, P., Nguyen, T.T.G. and Dermauw, V., 2021.

  Helminth infections in fish in Vietnam: A systematic review.

  International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife, 14, 13-32.

  DOI:10.1016/j.ijppaw.2020.12.001.

- Oliveira, S.A., Blazquez, F.J.H., Antunes, S.A., and Maia, A.A.M., 2007. Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa Ransom, 1920 (Digenea: Heterophyidae) metacercariae, in Mugil platanus in estuary of Cananéia, SP, Brasil. Parasitologia Ciência Rural, 37(4), 1056-1059. DOI:10.1590/s0103-84782007000400022.
- Overstreet, R.M., 1971. Some adult digenetic trematodes in striped mullet from the northern Gulf of Mexico. *Journal of Parasitology*, 57(5), 967-974.
- Özer, A., Öztürk, T. and Öztürk, M.O., 2004. Prevalence and intensity of *Gyrodactylus arcuatus* Bychowsky, 1933 (Monogenea) infestations on the three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L., 1758. *Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science*, 28: 807-812.
- Özer, A. and Kırca, D.Y., 2015.

  Parasite fauna of the grey mullet Mugil cephalus L. 1758, and its relationship with some ecological factors in Lower Kızılırmak Delta located by the Black Sea, Turkey.

  Journal of Natural History, 49(15-16), 933-956.

  DOI:10.1080/00222933.2014.97925
- Paperna, I., and Dzikowski, R., 2006.

  Digenea (Phylum Platyhelminthes).
  In: Fish diseases and disorders, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Volume 1: Protozoan and Metazoan infections. Woo, P.T.K. editor. Cabi Publishing, Wallingford,

- Oxfordshire, United Kingdom: 345-390.
- Santos, C.P., Lopes, K.C., Costaa, V.S., and dos Santos, E.G.N., 2013. Fish-borne trematodosis: Potential risk of infection by *Ascocotyle* (*Phagicola*) *longa* (Heterophyidae). *Veterinary Parasitology*, 193(1-3), 302-306.
  - DOI:10.1016/j.vetpar.2012.12.011.
- **Sarabeev, V., 2015.** Helminth species richness of introduced and native grey mullets (Teleostei: Mugilidae). *Parasitology International*, 64(4), 6-17.
  - DOI:10.1016/j.parint.2015.01.001.
- Sasal, P., Faliex, E. and Morand, S., 1996. **Parasitism** of Gobius 1870 bucchichii Steindachner, (Teleostei, Gobiidae) in protected unprotected marine and environments. Journal of Wildlife 32(4), 607-613. Diseases. DOI:10.7589/0090-3558-32.4.607.
- Scholz, T., 1999. Taxonomic study of Ascocotyle (Phagicola) longa Ransom, 1920 (Digenea: Heterophyidae) and related taxa. Systematic Parasitology, 43(2), 147-158.
  - DOI:10.1023/a:1006120500518.
- **Seidli, Y.M., 1990.** Parasite fauna of carp in the Greay Kizilagach Bay. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk Azerbaidzhanskoi*, 3, 84-86.
- Shoaibi Omrani, B., Ebrahimzadeh Mousavi, H.A. and Sharifpour, I., 2010. Occurrence and histopathology of *Ascocotyle tenuicollis* metacercaria in gill of platyfish (*Xiphophorus maculatus*)

imported to Iran. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 9(3), 472-477. DOI:10.22092/ijfs.2018.114106

Simões, S.B.E., Barbosa, H.S. and Santos, C.P., 2010. The life cycle of *Ascocotyle* (*Phagicola*) longa (Digenea: Heterophyidae), a causative agent of fish-borne trematodosis. *Acta Tropica*, 113(3), 226-233.

DOI:10.1016/j.actatropica.2009.10.0 20.

Taghavi, M., Mokhayer, B., Saeedi, and Mosavi, Н., 2013. Parasitic infection in Hemiculter lucisculus, Liza auratus Gasterosteus aculeatus of the Zardi River (Mazandaran). Iranian Scientific Fisheries Journal, 21(4), 151-156, in Persian. DOI:10.22092/isfj.2017.110096