Short Communication

Antibacterial activity of oregano (*Origanum vulgare*) essential oil, carvacrol and thymol against fish pathogenic bacteria isolated from cultured olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*)

Pathirana H.N.K.S.¹; Wimalasena S.H.M.P.¹; De Silva B.C.J.¹; Hossain S.¹; Heo G.J.^{1*}

Received: August 2017 Accepted: October 2017

1-Laboratory of Aquatic Animal Medicine, Veterinary Medical Center and College of Veterinary Medicine, Chungbuk National University, Chungdae-ro 1, Seowon-gu, Cheongju, Chungbuk 28644, South Korea

Keywords: Antibacterial activity, Oregano essential oil (OEO), Carvacrol, Thymol, Fish pathogenic bacteria, Olive flounder

Introduction

In aquaculture industry, diseases of microbial origin are responsible for elevated mortality rates and decrease fish production with consequent economic losses worldwide. The crucial bacterial diseases of marine fish in Korea are edwardsiellosis caused by Edwardsiella tarda; streptococcosis caused by Streptococcus iniae, S. parauberis, and Lactococcus garvieae; and vibriosis caused by Vibrio harveyi, V. ichthyoenteri, and Photobacterium damselae, which have recently increased in cultured fish populations (Jee et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2015). They have been identified as the etiological agents responsible for the most common disease outbreaks of olive flounder

(*Paralichthys olivaceus*) aquaculture in Korea (Nho *et al.*, 2009).

Antibiotics are widely used to prevent bacterial infections in fish. However, misuse of antibiotics leads to drug resistance and thereby to the reduced efficacy of the drugs (Wei and Wee, 2013). Therefore, it is essential to develop antibacterial treatments that are made from natural substances. Essential oils (EOs) are one kind of natural products which have been used with their aromatic, flavor, bactericidal, preservative and medicinal properties (Burt, 2004).

Oregano (*Origanum vulgare*) is an herb of the Labiatae family that has been used widely in cooking and folk healing (Soleimani Sarghashk *et al.*, 2016).

^{*}Corresponding author's Emial: gjheo@cbu.ac.kr

Oregano essential oil (OEO) and its major phenolic components, carvacrol [2-methyl5-(1-methylethyl) phenol] and (2-isopropyl-5methylphenol), are known for their wide spectrum of biological properties, such as inhibition of bacterial biofilm formation (Nostro et al., 2007), anti-candidal activity (Chami et al., 2005) and anti-fungal activities (Manohar et al., 2001) which has been the subject of several investigations in vitro and in vivo. Carvacrol and thymol are able to disintegrate the outer membrane of release bacteria, lipopolysaccharides, increase the permeability the of cytoplasmic membrane and allow ions to leave the cytoplasm (Ultee et al., 2002). In addition, other minor constituents such monoterpene hydrocarbons gamma-terpinene and paracymene also contribute to the antibacterial activity of **OEO** (Betancourt et al., 2012). Antimicrobial activity of paracymene has not been reported in a previous study (Aligiannis et al., 2001). Probably, the lack of activity of these hydrocarbon monoterpenes is due to absence of the phenolic hydroxyl group (Nostro et al., 2004).

However, until now no study has been conducted to investigate the antimicrobial properties of OEO and its major components against fish pathogenic bacteria. Therefore, this study was carried out to examine the potential of OEO as well as its main components, carvacrol and thymol, which could be utilized in aquaculture as potential alternatives to commercial antibiotics.

Materials and methods

Bacteria

As test strains, seven Gram-negative and nine Gram-positive bacteria strains isolated from Korean cultured olive flounder were used. The Gram-negative strains were E. tarda (ED47, ED45, Yoshida and FP5060), P. damselae (FP4101), V. harveyi (FP 8370) and V. ichthyoenteri (FP 4004), and the Grampositive strains were L. garvieae (FP5245), S. iniae (S131, S186, S530 and FP5228) and S. parauberis (S124, S527, S1466 and FP5228). They were obtained from Geyongsang National University (Jinju, Korea) and National Institute of Fisheries Science (Busan, Korea).

Essential oil

The 100% pure OEO (Neumond GmbH, Raisting, Germany) was purified from oregano herb grown in Hungary and the commercial carvacrol (>99 %) and thymol (>95 %) were purchased (Tokyo Chemical Industry Co. Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Disk diffusion assay

The disk diffusion assay with OEO, carvacrol and thymol was conducted to detect the antimicrobial activity. Sterile paper disks (Advantec Toyo Kaisha, Ltd., Japan) were impregnated with 20 μL of OEO, carvacrol and thymol with different dilutions; [1%, 5%, 10%, 25%, 50%, 100% (V/V)] and each disk was placed on a Mueller Hinton agar (MB Cell, LA, CA) plate smeared with the test strain. Plates were incubated for 24 h at 27°C to determine the antimicrobial

effect. Antibacterial activity was determined by measuring the inhibition zone diameter (IZD) (mm) against each test strain. The antibacterial activity was expressed as the percentage of relative inhibition zone diameter (RIZD %) calculated according to a previous study (Njau *et al.*, 2014) using amoxicillin as standard antibiotic.

Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The determination of MIC was done using broth micro dilution method with some modifications using different concentrations which 5% DMSO was used to dissolve OEO, carvacrol and thymol. The MIC was measured after 24 incubation and each test was conducted in triplicates. In order to evaluate the bactericidal/bacteriostatic effects of these oils, the cultured medium from wells which have no visible bacterial growth was smeared on separate tryptic soy agar (TSA) (MB Cell, LA, CA) plates and incubated for 24 h at 27°C. The concentration, at which no growth was observed on TSA plate, was determined as MBC. All the procedures were done as suggested by Hammer et al. (1999).

Determination of antibiotic sensitivity pattern of the bacterial strains

The antibiogram of the test strains was studied by disk diffusion method using fourteen antibiotics, and their multiple antibiotic resistant index (MRI %) pattern was determined in order to compare the antibacterial activity of the

oils with standard antibacterial drug. Resistance profiles (resistant, susceptible) intermediate or were assigned using criteria described by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2014). The MRI% was determined following the method described by Das et al. (2012). Each test was repeated three times.

Statistical analysis

For the statistical analysis, differences were considered significant at p<0.05 level. The significant comparison was done with respect to MIC values and RIZD % of oregano oil, carvacrol and thymol using SPSS version 23 statistical analysis software (SPSS Inc., USA).

Results and discussion

The genus *Origanum* can be divided into three chemo types: carvacrol-type, thymol-type and sabinene-type (Betancourt et al., 2012). The OEO obtained in the present study belongs to carvacrol type as it constitutes 63.3 % of carvacrol and 4.2 % of thymol (Table 1). Studies have reported about carvacrol type OEO and they showed variations of carvacrol content (Boskovic et al., 2015; Garvic et al., 2015). OEO has shown antimicrobial activity against several pathogenic bacteria where it was suggested to damage the bacterial membrane integrity, thereby altering the pH homeostasis and equilibrium of inorganic ions (Lambert et al., 2001).

Table 1: Composition of oregano essential oil used in this study.

Compound name	Composition (%) ^a
Carvacrol	63.30
Pracymene	12.90
Thymol	4.20

^aComposition of the essential oil was analysed by Neumond GmbH, Raisting, German.

According to disk diffusion test results, OEO inhibited the growth of all test bacteria at every concentration except 1 % and 5 % (V/V) (Table 2). The IZDs of major components were also found to be similar to those of OEO except inhibitions at 5 % concentration (V/V) of carvacrol and thymol against five Grampositive strains [S. iniae (S186, S530, and S131) and S. parauberis (S124, S527)] and two Grampositive strains [S. iniae (S186 and S131)], respectively. The IZDs of Gram-negative bacteria ranged from 26 to 32 mm and the IZDs of Gram-positive bacteria ranged from

24 to 35 mm at 100 % (V/V) of OEO. The IZD of Gram-negative bacteria ranged from 30 to 34 mm at 100 % (V/V) of carvacrol and 28 to 30 at 100 % (V/V) of thymol while the IZD of Grampositive bacteria ranged from 36 to 42 mm for 100 % (V/V) of carvacrol and 26 to 40 mm for 100 % of thymol. In every tested fish pathogenic bacteria, the IZD increased in proportion the concentration and the maximum effect was found at 100 % (V/V) concentration of OEO, carvacrol and thymol. In comparison, carvacrol showed higher IZD values than thymol and OEO. In previous studies, carvacrol was also more active than its isomer thymol against several pathogenic bacteria even at lower concentrations (Xu et al., 2008; Mathela et al., 2010). OEOs can act on proteins bacterial with different mechanisms depending their different compositions (Betancourt et al., 2012).

Table 2: Inhibition zone diameter (IZD) and the percentage of relative inhibition zone diameter (RIZD %) values of Oregano essential oil (OEO) carvacrol and thymol against fish pathogenic bacteria.

Bacteria		Inhibition zone diameter (mm) and RIZD % b											
	Agent ^a	1 % (V/V)		5 % (V/V)		10 % (V/V)		25 % (V/V)		50 % (V/V)		100 % (V/V)	
		IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD
Vibrio harveyi (FP8370)	0	0	0	0	0	20	83.3	22	91.7	24	100	28	117
	C	0	0	0	0	15	62.5	21	87.5	25	104	30	125
	T	0	0	0	0	12	50	14	58.3	20	83.3	28	71.4
V. ichthyoenteri (FP4004)	O	0	0	0	0	18	47.4	20	52.6	24	63.2	26	68.4
	C	0	0	0	0	15	39.5	20	52.6	27	71.1	32	84.2
	T	0	0	0	0	11	28.9	16	42.1	25	65.8	30	78.9
Photobacterium													
damselae		0	0	0	0	0	0	16	59.3	20	74.1	26	
(FP4101)	O												96.3
	C	0	0	0	0	11	40.7	20	74.1	25	92.6	30	111

Table 2 Continued:

Bacteria			<u>In</u> l	nibition	zone	<u>diam</u> e	ter (m	<u>m) an</u> c	RIZ	D % b			
Agent a	1 % (V/V)		5 %	6 (V/V)	10 %	(V/V)	25 %	(V/V)	50 %	(V/V)	100 %	6 (V/V)	_
	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	IZD	RIZD	_
	T	0	0	0	0	11	40.7	17	63	22	81.5	30	
Edwardsiella tarda (FP5060)	0	0	0	0	0	14	51.9	23	85.2	25	92.6	28	
	C	0	0	0	0	11	40.7	20	74.1	25	92.6	30	
	T	0	0	0	0	10	37	15	55.6	24	88.9	30	
E. tarda (ED47)	0	0	0	0	0	20	83.3	24	100	28	116	32	
	\mathbf{C}	0	0	0	0	18	75	23	95.8	28	116	34	
	T	0	0	0	0	20	83.3	22	91.7	24	100	28	
E. tarda (Yoshida)	o	0	0	0	0	18	66.7	21	77.8	23	85.2	30	
	\mathbf{C}	0	0	0	0	16	59.3	20	74.1	24	88.9	30	
	T	0	0	0	0	14	51.9	17	63	22	81.5	28	
E. tarda (ED45)	O	0	0	0	0	16	66.6.6	24	100	26	108	30	
	C	0	0	0	0	18	75	22	91.7	28	116	32	
	T	0	0	0	0	20	83.3	24	100	25	104	28	
Lactococcus garvieae		0	0		0	15	60	28	112	30	120	34	
(FP5245)	0			0									
	C	0	0	0	0	11	44	22	88	30	120	36	
	T	0	0	0	0	10	40	18	72	20	80	28	
Streptococcus iniae (FP3287)	0	0	0	0	0	17	60.7	24	85.7	30	107	35	
	C	0	0	0	0	10	35.7	24	85.7	30	107	38	
	T	0	0	0	0	16	57.1	24	85.7	30	107	34	
S. iniae (S186)	0	0	0	0	0	17	48.6	20	57.1	23	65.7	32	ç
	C	0	0	10	28.6	30	85.7	32	91.4	34	97.1	42	
	T	0	0	12	34.3	20	57.1	26	74.3	34	97.1	40	
S. iniae (S530)	0	0	0	0	0	11	28.9	17	44.7	20	52.6	30	7
	C	0	0	10	26.3	12	31.6	24	63.2	32	84.2	34	8
	T	0	0	0	0	20	52.6	23	60.5	30	78.9	34	8
S. iniae (S131)	O	0	0	0	0	12	34.3	16	45.7	25	71.4	34	Ç
	C	0	0	14	40	17	48.6	24	68.6	30	85.7	38	
Streptococcus	T	0	0	10	28.6	12	34.3	20	57.1	32	91.4	35	
parauberis		0	0	0	_	0	0	14	50	17	60.7	30	
(FP5228)	0	0	0		0	, -					0		
	C	0	0	0	0	16	57.1	20	71.4	27	96.4	36	
S. parauberis	T	0	0	0	0	18	64.3	20	71.4	24	85.7	34	
S. parauberis (S124)	0	0	0	0	0	10	40	14	56	22	88	26	
	C	0	0	10	40	20	80	30	120	34	136	40	
g , ,	T	0	0	0	0	17	68	21	84	22	88	30	
S. parauberis (S527)	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	62.1	24	82.8	30	
	C	0	0	10	34.5	20	69	24	82.8	28	96.6	34	
_	T	0	0	0	0	12	41.4	13	44.8	16	55.2	26	8
S. parauberis (S1466)	o	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	59.3	25	92.6	30	
	C	0	0	0	0	18	66.7	20	74.1	26	96.3	34	
	T	0 Thurs	0	0 b 17D	0 Inhih	16	59.3	18	66.7	20	74.1	30	

^a O- OEO, C- Carvacrol, T- Thymol. ^b IZD- Inhibition zone diameter, RIZD-Relative inhibition zone diameter percentage.

RIZD % exhibited high values at higher concentration of OEO, carvacrol and thymol. The highest RIZD % for OEO was 136 against L. garvieae (FP5245) and for carvacrol and thymol it was 160 against S. parauberis (S124) and 124 against S. iniae (FP3287), respectively. It was observed that carvacrol and thymol had zero RIZD % against all Gram-negative strains at 1% and 5% (V/V) concentrations. Gram-positive strains exhibited higher RIZD % than Gram-negative bacteria and there was a significant difference between RIZD % of Gram-negative and Gram-positive strains (p < 0.05).

In the present study, the MIC of OEO for bacterial strains ranged from 0.031 to 0.062 % (V/V) (Table 3). Carvacrol and thymol showed lower MIC values than OEO against every bacterium which ranged from 0.001 to 0.007 % (V/V) and 0.001 to 0.062 % (V/V), respectively. OEO showed a minor inhibitory effect because carvacrol and thymol represent only a fraction of the entire essence and they interact in an additive rather than a synergistic way (Nostro *et al.* 2007). A

significant difference (p<0.05) was observed between Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria which is in agreement with the results of Choi et al. (2012). Carvacrol (MBC/MIC 1-4) and thymol (MBC/MIC 2-4) demonstrated only bactericidal activity against the bacteria while pathogenic **OEO** (MBC/MIC 2-8) was both bactericidal and bacteriostatic. In previous studies, the effect of OEO was both bactericidal and bacteriostatic for Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Listeria monocytogenes (Tsigarida et al., 2000; Lambert et al., 2001). Carvacrol and thymol demonstrated bactericidal activity at lower concentrations against Lactobacillus strains (Du et al., 2015). The antimicrobial activity of OEO is mostly attributed to the action of its principal phenolic components, carvacrol and thymol, which exhibited significant bactericidal activity when tested separately against Campylobacter jejuni, Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica typhi (Friedma et al., 2002; Nostro et al. 2004).

Table 3: Susceptibility pattern of oregano essential oil, carvacrol and thymol against fish pathogenic bacteria.

Bacteria	O	– MBC/MIC	
	MIC % (V/V)	MBC % (V/V)	- MBC/MIC
Vibrio harveyi (FP8370)	0.062	0.125	2
V. ichthyoenteri (FP4004)	0.062	0.125	2
Photobacterium damselae (FP4101)	0.031	0.25	8
Edwardsiella tarda (FP5060)	0.062	0.25	4
E. tarda (ED47)	0.062	0.25	4
E. tarda (Yoshida)	0.062	0.125	2
E. tarda (ED45)	0.125	0.5	4

Table 3 Continued:

Bacteria	O	– MBC/MIC		
	MIC % (V/V)	MBC % (V/V))	
Lactococcus garvieae (FP5245)	0.062	0.125	2	
Streptococcus iniae (FP3287)	0.062	0.25	4	
S. iniae (S186)	0.062	0.125	2	
S. iniae (S530)	0.062	0.125	2	
S. iniae (S131)	0.031	0.125	4	
S. parauberis (FP5228)	0.062	0.125	2	
S. parauberis (S124)	0.062	0.125	2	
S. parauberis (S527)	0.062	0.125	2	
S. parauberis (S1466)	0.031	0.25	8	
Bacteria	Ca	rvacrol		
Vibrio harveyi (FP8370)	0.007	0.015	2	
V. ichthyoenteri (FP4004)	0.007	0.015	2	
Photobacterium damselae (FP4101)	0.015	0.062	4	
Edwardsiella tarda (FP5060)	0.007	0.015	2	
E. tarda (ED47)	0.007	0.015	2	
E. tarda (Yoshida)	0.003	0.015	4	
E. tarda (ED45)	0.003	0.015	4	
Lactococcus garvieae (FP5245)	0.007	0.007	1	
Streptococcus iniae (FP3287)	0.003	0.007	2	
S. iniae (S186)	0.007	0.015	2	
S. iniae (S530)	0.007	0.015	2	
S. iniae (S131)	0.001	0.007	4	
S. parauberis (FP5228)	0.007	0.015	2	
S. parauberis (S124)	0.015	0.015	1	
S. parauberis (S527)	0.001	0.003	2	
S. parauberis (S1466)	0.001	0.007	4	
Bacteria	T	hymol		
Vibrio harveyi (FP8370)	0.031	0.062	2	
V. ichthyoenteri (FP4004)	0.031	0.125	4	
Photobacterium damselae (FP4101)	0.015	0.031	2	
Edwardsiella tarda (FP5060)	0.007	0.031	4	
E. tarda (ED47)	0.015	0.031	2	
E. tarda (Yoshida)	0.015	0.062	4	
E. tarda (ED45)	0.015	0.062	4	
Lactococcus garvieae (FP5245)	0.031	0.062	2	
Streptococcus iniae (FP3287)	0.031	0.125	4	
S. iniae (S186)	0.031	0.062	2	
S. iniae (S530)	0.001	0.007	4	
S. iniae (S131)	0.001	0.007	4	

Table 3 Continued:

Bacteria	O	– MBC/MIC	
	MIC % (V/V)	MBC % (V/V)	- MBC/MIC
Streptococcus parauberis (FP5228)	0.015	0.062	4
S. parauberis (S124)	0.007	0.015	2
S. parauberis (S527)	0.031	0.062	2
S. parauberis (S1466)	0.007	0.031	4

The antibiogram pattern indicated that all the bacterial strains excluding three strains of *S. iniae* (S186, S530, and S131) showed resistance to one or more antibiotics (Table 4). The MRI % of the isolates ranged between 0-57.1. *E. tarda* (ED45 and ED47) showed the highest MRI % (57.1), followed by both *L. garvieae* (FP5245) and *S. iniae* (FP3287) (35.7). *S. iniae* (S186, S530 and S131) showed the lowest MRI % which in turn reflects its susceptibility

towards the antibiotics. It is important to mention that the degree of inhibition (in terms of zone size) by OEO, carvacrol or thymol was distinct compared to the standard antibiotics. In some strains the zones of inhibition by the antibiotics were smaller with the zones of inhibition represented by the OEO and thymol, while IZD of all Gram-positive strains at 100% (V/V) of carvacrol were larger than zones of inhibition by the antibiotics.

Table 4: Antibiogram pattern of the fish pathogenic bacteria.

Bacteria	Antibi	– MRI %	
Dacteria	Sensitive	Resistant	- WIKI /0
Vibrio harveyi			
(FP8370)	AMX, AMP,CTX.CRO,TCI,CHL,	VA, NAL, CN	21.4
	OFX, IMI,SXT, E, DA		
V. ichthyoenteri			
(FP4004)	AMX, AMP,CTX.CRO,TCI,CHL,	VA,	7.1
	OFX, NAL, CN, IMI,SXT, E, DA		
Photobacterium			
damselae (FP4101)	AMX, AMP,CTX.CRO,TCI,CHL,	VA,	7.1
	OFX, NAL, CN,IMI,SXT, E, DA		
Edwardsiella tarda			21.4
(FP5060)	AMX,CTX.CRO,TCI,CHL,	AMP, CN, VAN,	21.4
	OFX,NAL, IMI,SXT, E, DA		
E. tarda (ED47)	AMX, CTX, CRO,IMI, E, DA	AMP, TCI, CHL, VA, NAL	57.1
		SXT, OFX,CN	
E. tarda (Yoshida)	AMX, AMP,CTX.CRO,TCI,CHL,	VA,	7.1
	OFX, NAL,CN, IMI, SXT, E, DA,		
E. tarda (ED45)	AMX, CTX, CRO, IMI, E, DA	AMP,TC,CHL, VA, NAL,	57.1
		SXT, OFX, CN	
Lactococcus garvieae			
(FP5245)	AMX, TC,DA, E, VA, NAL, CN	AMP, CTX, CRO, CHL OFX	35.7
	IMI, SXT		

Table 4 Continued:

Bacteria	Antibiotics ^a					
Dacteria	Sensitive	Resistant	— MRI %			
Streptococcus iniae						
(FP3287)	AMX, TC, CHL, E, VA, NAL, CN	AMP, CTX, CRO, DA, OFX	35.7			
	IMI, SXT					
S. iniae (S186)	AMX, AMP ,CTX, CRO, TC, CHL	-	0			
	E, DA, VA,OFX,NAL,CN,IMI,SXT					
S. iniae (S530)	AMX, AMP ,CTX, CRO, TC, CHL	-	0			
	E, DA, VA,OFX,NAL,CN,IMI,SXT					
S. iniae (S131)	AMX, AMP ,CTX, CRO, TC, CHL, E	-	0			
	DA, VA,OFX, NAL,CN, IMI, SXT					
Streptococcus						
parauberis (FP5228)	AMX, CTX, CRO, TC E DA VA	AMP, CHL	14.3			
	OFX,NAL,CN, IMI, SXT					
S. parauberis (S124)	AMX, CTX,CRO,VA, CHL, OFX	AMP, TC, E, DA	28.6			
	NAL, CN, IMI, SXT					
S. parauberis (S527)	AMX, CTX, CRO, TC, CHL, SXT	AMP	7.1			
	E, DA, VA, OFX, NAL, CN, IMI					
S. parauberis (S1466)	AMX,CTX, CRO, TC, CHL,NAL	AMP, E	14.3			
	DA, VA, OFX, CN, IMI, SXT					

^a Antibiotics- AMP10=ampicillin (10 μg), CTX30=cefotaxime (30 μg), CRO30=ceftriaxone (30 μg), TC15=tetracycline (15 μg), CHL30=chloramphenicol (30 μg), E15=erythromycin (15 μg), DA10=clindamycin (10 μg), VA30=vancomycin (30 μg), OFX5=ofloxacin (5 μg), NAL30=nalidixic acid (30 μg), CN10=gentamicin (10 μg), IMI10=imipenem (10 μg) and SXT25= trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (25 μg), AMX30=amoxicillin (30 μg).

Antimicrobial activity of the OEO, carvacrol and thymol may be due to inhibition of cell membrane synthesis, specifically due to their hydrophobic nature. Carvacrol and thymol interact with the lipid bilayer of cytoplasmic membranes causing loss of integrity and leakage of cellular material such as ions, ATP and nucleic acid (Trombetta, et al., 2005). A previous study reported the antibacterial activity of OEO against both S. aureus and P. aeruginosa suggesting that OEO may inhibit the cell membrane synthesis of bacteria, mostly by potassium and phosphate leakage (Lambert et al., 2001).

Although, OEO, carvacrol and thymol inhibited the growth of tested fish pathogenic bacteria isolated from olive flounder, carvacrol could inhibit the of growth bacteria at lower concentrations than OEO and thymol. According to the findings of present study OEO, carvacrol and thymol are good candidates for further research to develop a new alternative antibacterial drug against fish pathogenic bacteria. Studies about pharmacokinetics of carvacrol and thymol, and acute and short-term in vivo effects suggested that they may not pose a risk for human and animal health (De Vincenzi et al., 2004; Chami *et al.* 2005). Therefore, in order to apply OEO, carvacrol and thymol for treatment of bacterial diseases in aquaculture, the stability in the aquatic environment, and the palatability and absorption rate in fish should be further investigated.

Acknowledgements

Authors are thankful to Professor Tae-Sung Jung of Institute of Animal Medicine, College of Veterinary Medicine, Gyeongsang National University (Jinju, Korea) and National Institute of Fisheries Science (Busan, Korea) for providing the bacterial strains used in this study.

References

- Aligiannis, N., Kalpoutzakis, E., Mitaku, S. and Chinou, I.B., 2001.

 Composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils of two *Origanum* species. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49(9), 4168-4170.

 DOI:10.1021/jf00494m.
- Patino, R., Ariza-Neito, C. and Afanador-Téllez, G., 2012. Composition and bactericidal activity against beneficial and pathogenic bacteria of oregano essential oils from four chemo types of *Origanum* and *Lippia* genus. *Revista de la Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y de Zootecnia*, 59(1), 21-32.
- Boskovic, M., Zdravkovic, N., Ivanovic, J.M., Janjic J., Djordjevic, J., Starcevic, M. and Baltic, M.Z., 2015. Antimicrobial

- activity of Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) and Oregano (*Origanum vulgare*) essential oils against some foodborne microorganisms. *Procedia Food Science*, 5, 18-21. DOI:10.1016/j.profoo.2015.09.005.
- Burt, S., 2004. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential applications in foods a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 94(3), 223-253. DOI:j.ijfoodmicro.2004.03.022.
- Chami, N., Bennis, S., Chami, F., Aboussekhra, A. and Remmal, A., 2005. Study of anticandidal activity of carvacrol and eugenol in vitro and in vivo. *Oral Microbiology Immunology*, 20(2), 106-111. DOI:10.1111/j.1399-302x.2004.00202.x.
- Choi, J.Y., Tassew D.D., Lee, S.J., Kim, J. and Park, S.C., 2012.

 Antimicrobial activity of lemongrass and oregano essential oil against standard antibiotic resistant Staphylococcus aureus and field isolates from chronic mastitis cow. International Journal of Phytomedicine, 4(1), 134-139.
- CLSI, 2014. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of isolated bacteria from aquatic animals; second informational supplement. **CLSI** document VET03/VET04-S2. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- **Das, M., Rath, C.C. and Mohapatra U.B., 2012.** Bacteriology of a most popular street food (Panipuri) and inhibitory effect of essential oils on

bacterial growth. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 49(**5**), 564-571. DOI:10.1007/s13197-010-0202-2.

De Vincenzi, M., Stammati, A., De Vincenzi, A. and Silano, M., 2004. Constituents of aromatic plants: carvacrol. *Fitoterapia*, 75(7-8), 801-804.

DOI:10.1016/j.fitote.2004.05.002.

- Du, E., Gan, L., Li, Z., Wang, W., Liu, D. and Guo, Y., 2015. In vitro antibacterial activity of thymol and carvacrol and their effects on broiler chickens challenged with Clostridium perfringens. Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology, 6, 58. DOI:10.1186/s40104-015-0055-7.
- Friedman, M., Henika, P.R. and Mandrell, R.E., 2002. Bactericidal activities of plant essential oils and some of their isolated constituents Campylobacter against jejuni, Escherichia coli, Listeria monocytogenes, and Salmonella enterica. Journal of Food Protection, 1545-1560. 65(10), DOI:10.4315/0362-028x-65.10.1545.
- Garvic, N., Mozina, S.S., Kladar, N. and Bozin, B., 2015. Chemical profile, antioxidant and antibacterial activity of thyme and oregano essential oils, thymol and carvacrol and their possible synergism. *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants*, 18(4), 1013-1021.

9. Hammer, K.A., Carson C.F. and Riley, T.V., 1999. Antimicrobial activity of

essential oils and other plant extracts.

DOI:10.1080/0972060x.2014.97106

Journal of Applied Microbiology, 86(**6**), 985-990. DOI:10.1046/j.1365-2672.1999.00780.x.

- Jee, B.Y., Shin, K.W., Lee, D.W., Kim, Y.J. and Lee, M.K., 2014.

 Monitoring of the mortalities and medications in the inland farms of olive flounder. *Paralichthys olivaceus*, in South Korea. *Journal of Fish Pathology*, 27(1), 77-83.

 DOI:10.7847/jfp.2014.27.1.077.
- Kim, S.M., Jun, L.J., Park, M.A., Jung, S.H., Jeong, H.D. and Jeong, J.B., 2015. Monitoring of emaciation disease in cultured olive flounder *Paralichthys olivaceus* in Jeju (2010-2013), Korea. *Korean Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Science*, 48(5), 719-724.

DOI:10.5657/kfas.2015.0719.

- Lambert, R.J.W., Skandamis, P.N., Coote, P.J. and Nychas, G.J., 2001.

 A study of the minimum inhibitory concentration and mode of action of oregano essential oil, thymol and carvacrol. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 91(3), 453-462. DOI:10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01428.x.
- Manohar, V., Ingram, C., Gray, J., Talpur, N.A., Echard, B.W., Bagchi, D. and Preuss, H.G., 2001.

 Anti-fungal activities of Origanum oil against *Candida albicans*.

 Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry, 228(1), 111-117.

 DOI:10.1023/A:1013311632207.
- Mathela, C.S., Singh. K.K. and Gupta, V.K., 2010. Synthesis and in vitro antibacterial activity of thymol and

- carvacrol derivatives. *Acta Poloniae Pharmaceutica*, 67(4), 375-380.
- Nho, S.W., Shin, G.W., Park, S.B., Jang, H.O., Cha, I.S., Ha, M.A., Kim, R.Y., Park, Y.K., Dalvi, R.S., Kang, J.B., Joh, S.J. and Jung, T.S., 2009. Phenotypic characteristics of Streptococcus iniae and Streptococcus parauberis isolated from olive flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus). **FEMS** Microbiology 293(1), 20-27. Letters, DOI:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01491.x.
- Njau, E.F., Alcorn, J., Ndakidemi, P., Treijo, M.C. and Buzza, J.J., 2014. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of crude extracts of *Rauvolfia caffra var. caffra* (Apocynaceae) from Tanzania. *International Journal of Biology*, 6(4), 156-167. DOI:10.5539/ijb.v6n4p156.
- Nostro, A., Blanco, A.R., Cannatelli, M.A., Enea, V., Flamini, G., Morelli, I., Roccaro, A.S. and Alonzo, V., 2004. Susceptibility of methicillinresistant staphylococci to oregano essential oil, carvacrol and thymol. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 230(2), 191-195. DOI:10.1016/s0378-1097(03)00890-5.
- Nostro, A., Roccaro, A.S., Bisignano, G., Marino, A., Cannatelli, M.A., Pizzimenti, F.C., Cioni, P.L., Procopio, F. and Blanco, A.R., 2007. Effects of oregano, carvacrol and thymol on Staphylococcus aureus Staphylococcus and epidermidis biofilms. Journal Medical of Microbiology, 56(**Pt4**), 519-523. DOI:10.1099/jmm.0.46804-0.

- Soleimani Sarghashk, M., Khammari, M., Khammari, D. and Bazi, S., 2016. Antimicrobial activity of essential oils of cultivated oregano (Origanum vulgare), against clinical isolates of Escherichia coli. International Journal of Advanced Biological and Biomedical Research, 4(3), 252-256.
- Trombetta, D., Castelli, F., Sarpietro, M.G., Venuti, V., Cristani, M., Daniele, C., Saija, A., Mazzanti, G. and Bisignano, G., 2005. Mechanisms of antibacterial action of three monoterpenes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 49(6), 2474-2478. DOI:10.1128/aac.49.6.2474-2478.2005.
- **Tsigarida, E., Skandamis, P. and Nychas, G.J.E., 2000.** Behavior of *Listeria monocytogenes* and autochthonous flora on meat stored under aerobic, vacuum and modified atmosphere packaging conditions with or without the presence of oregano essential oil at 5 degrees C. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 89(6), 901-909. DOI:10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.01170.x.
- Ultee, A., Bennik, M.H.J. and Moezelaar, R., 2002. The phenolic hydroxyl group of carvacrol is essential for action against the foodborne pathogen *Bacillus cereus*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 68(4), 1561-1568. DOI:10.1128/aem.68.4.1561-1568.2002.
- Wei, L.S. and Wee, W., 2013.
 Chemical composition and

antimicrobial activity of *Cymbopogon nardus* citronella essential oil against systemic bacteria of aquatic animals. *Iranian Journal of Microbiology*, 5(2), 147-152.

Xu, J., Zhou, F., Ji, B.P., Pei, R.S. and Xu, N., 2008. The antibacterial

mechanism of carvacrol and thymol against *Escherichia coli*. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 47(**3**), 174-179. DOI:10.1111/j.1472-765x.2008.02407.x.