Study on epilithic diatoms in the Balikli Tohma Creek (Darende/Malatya in Turkey)

Caglar M.¹; Pala G.¹; Selamoglu Z.^{2*}

Received: April 2017

Accepted: July 2016

1-Department of Fundamental Science, Faculty of Fisheries, Firat University, Elazig, Turkey 2-Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Arts and Science, Ömer Halisdemir University,

Nigde, Turkey

* Corresponding author's Email: zselamoglu@ohu.edu.tr

Keywords: Balikli Tohma Creek, Diatom, Epilithic, Algae

Introduction

Water, being the source of life, contains many life forms. The number and diversity of algae, which constitutes the first link of the food chain, affect all things within living the aquatic environment including fish. With its streams and lakes that cover an area of approximately 10000 km², Turkey has very important internal water resources. About 135 of the wetlands within the borders of Turkey have international importance. Twelve of those have been declared as Ramsar areas (Anonymous, 2009).

The structure of diatom communities has been directly linked to the physical and chemical state of water. Therefore, diatoms are used in water quality calculations and comparisons among rivers that have different morphodynamics (Allan, 1995). The Water Framework Directive has established diatom communities as an indicator for stream systems besides the physicochemical parameters (APHA, 1985). The inert algal species that typically cover stones and hard rocks, and exist in mucilaginous and filiform masses constitute the epilithic flora. There have been many studies in Turkey on epilithic and epiphytic diatoms. Some of those studies have been on rivers whereas some have been on creeks that periodically dry up or freeze (Altuner and Gurbuz, 1988; Dere and Sivaci, 1995; Yildiz and Atici, 1996; Pala and Caglar, 2006; Pala and Caglar, 2008; Cicek and Ertan, 2015).

The algal studies in the streams of Eastern Anatolia Region are quite limited (Pala and Caglar, 2008; Kivrak and Gurbuz, 2010; Fakioglu *et al.*, 2012). This study aims to examine the diatoms and certain water quality parameters in Balikli Tohma Creek. Furthermore, this study is significant in presenting initial data regarding the creek.

Materials and methods

Water samples were taken from three stations from Balikli Tohma Creek in Darende, Malatya Province in Turkey. A general view of the stations chosen from Balikli Tohma Creek is presented (Fig. 1).

The coordinates of the stations are given below;

Station 1: 38°31'23.82" N and 38°07'12.93"E

Station 2: 38°31'17.10" N and 38°07'23.43"E

Station 3: 38°31'08.72"N and 38°07'25.293"E

Sorensen Similarity Index was used to express the percentage of similarity among the three samples. This index was calculated using the following formula:

Q/S = 2j/a+b

Q/S: Index

a: Total number of species in the first sample

b: Total number of species in the second sample

j: Number of species common to both samples (Kazanci and Dugel, 2000).

Diatoms were identified in a Nikon microscope after permanent slides were prepared with Entellan following the procedures of boiling the water samples, which were first settled by dripping lugol solution, with nitric acid and sulphuric acid of same volumes and washing away the acid. Relevant sources (Husdeth, 1932; Patrick and Reimer, 1966; Bourelly, 1968; Patrick and Reimer, 1975; Germain, 1981) were used for the identification of the diatom species.

Results and discussion

In order to determine certain physical and chemical qualities of Balikli Tohma Creek, the temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen and hardness values of the surface water in all three stations were measured once a month from April 2015 to November 2015 and the results are given in Table 1.

All three stations of Balikli Tohma Creek have first class water quality according to the inland water resources criteria (Uslu and Turkman, 1987). According to the directive regarding waters intended for human consumption, pH values should be within 6.5-9.5 (Anonymous, 2005). For Balikli Tohma Creek, the pH values of the three stations were within 8.0-8.6. The pH was within the range of first class water quality levels according to the Water Pollution Control Directive, criteria for inland quality water resources (Anonymous, 2004).



Figure 1: A general view of the stations chosen from Balikli Tohma Creek (URL).

Table 1: Monthly temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH and total hardness value variations recorded at the 1st, 2nd and 3rd stations in Balikli Tohma Creek (Average: Avg. Standard deviation: ±SD).

	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	Mean	±SD
Temperature										
1 st station	9	10	11	13	14	13	13	12	11.875	1.72
2 nd station	8	10	12	13	13	12	10	11	11.125	1.64
3 rd station	8	13	16	15	16	14	13	14	13.625	2.55
Oxygen										
1 st station	9.9	9.7	9.7	9.5	9.6	9.8	9.8	9.9	9.737	0.140
2 nd station	9.8	9.7	9.7	9.6	9.5	9.3	9.4	9.7	9.587	0.172
3 rd station	8.6	8.4	8.0	7.9	7.6	7.4	7.6	7.0	7.812	0.524
pН										
1 st station	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.2	8.4	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.212	0.145
2 nd station	8.2	8.3	8.1	8.5	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.1	8.225	0.138
3 rd station	8.5	8.3	8.2	8.5	8.6	8.1	8.4	8.2	8.35	0.177
T. hardness										
1 st station	110	117	120	116	118	90	91	100	107.75	12.360
2 nd station	109	110	110	117	119	92	94	98	106.12	10.246
3 rd station	112	119	120	116	122	101	111	116	114.62	6.674

USEPA (1986) classified water in terms of degree of hardness stated based on which water with 0–75 mg/L degree of hardness classifies as soft, with 75–150

mg/L degree of hardness as slightly hard, with 150–300 mg/L degree of hardness as hard and with 300 mg/L and higher degree of hardness as very hard. According to this classification, it is determined that Balikli Tohma Creek has slightly hard water quality.

In total, 40 taxa of diatoms were identified in the samples taken from the first station in Balikli Tohma Creek. The diatom taxa that were represented with the highest number of species in this station were Cymbella (6 taxa), Gomphonema (5 taxa), Navicula (5 taxa) and Nitzschia (5 taxa). The number of species of other diatoms ranged between one and 3 (Table 2). On the other hand, the diatom species that were recorded with the highest number of individuals in the first station during the study were Navicula tripunctata, Navicula radiosa, Encyonema minutum, Gomphonema parvulum and Gomphonema olivaceum. The total recorded number of individuals of these diatom species was over 200.

Taking Table 2 into consideration, the fact that some species were present in the environment and some were not, or that the frequency of appearance of some species and the number of their individuals were higher than other species' make us think that those diatoms must have made better use of the surrounding conditions than other diatoms. In other words, this situation may be taken as an indicator showing that the adaptability of these species to different habitats is better than that of other species.

In water samples taken from the second station in Balikli Tohma Creek, 23 taxa of diatoms were identified in total which were *Cymbella* (3 taxa),

Cymbopleura (1 taxon), Diatoma (1 taxon). Encyonema (2taxa). Encyonopsis (1 taxon), Fragilaria (1 taxon). Gomphonema (3 taxa). Halamphora (1 taxon), Mayamaea (1 taxon), Navicula (3 taxa), Nitzschia (2 taxa), Surirella (1 taxon), Tabellaria (1 taxon) and Ulnaria (1 taxon) (Table 2). The diatom species that were recorded with the highest number of individuals in the second station during the study, the other hand. on were Navicularadiosa, Naviculatripunctata, Gomphonema olivaceum and Encyonema minitum. The total number of individuals of these diatom species varied between 167 and 186 cells/mL.

Taking Table 2 into consideration, the fact that certain species were found in the first station but not in the second and third stations can be linked to the fact that the rocks collected from the second and 3^{rd} stations were in the flow direction of the creek. Diatoms might have therefore not held on to them.

In water samples collected from the third station in Balıklı Tohma Creek, 23 taxa of diatoms were identified in total which were Amphora (1 taxon) Cymbella (4 taxa), Cymbopleura (1 taxon), Diatoma (1 taxon), Encyonema (2 taxa), Encyonopsis (1 taxon), Fragilaria (1 taxon), Gomphonema (3 taxa), Halamphora (1 taxon), Navicula (3 taxa), Nitzschia (2 taxa), Surirella (1 *Tabellaria* (1 taxon) taxon). and Ulnaria (1 taxon) (Table 2).

Таха	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
1st Station								
Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz.	20	25	30	25	18	13	11	9
Cymbella affinis Kütz.	26	30	41	34	22	16	9	0
Cymbella cistula (Ehr.)O.	14	17	25	17	13	11	0	0
Kirchner	14	17	23	1/	15	11	0	0
Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh	19	19	19	15	11	9	9	5
Cymbella helvetica Kütz.	28	35	47	31	25	17	7	4
Cymbella mesiana Cholnoky	9	11	18	14	10	7	0	0
Cymbella turgidula Grun.	26	31	31	26	20	16	8	5
Cymbopleura amphicephala	23	33	48	33	21	14	6	0
(Naegeli) Krammer								
Diatoma anceps (Ehr.)Kirchner	17	19	26	17	13	9	0	0
Diatomatenuis C. Agardh	13	15	18	13	9	6	0	0
Diatoma vulgaris Bory	24	26	34	29	24	19	13	0
Encyonema minutum (Hilse)	35	40	44	35	26	18	12	7
D.G. Mann	55	10		55	20	10	12	,
Encyonema prostratum	21	29	37	24	19	15	6	0
(Berkeley) Kütz.	21	2)	57	27	1)	15	0	0
Encyonopsis microcephala	30	38	49	31	24	13	5	0
(Grun.) Krammer	50	50	17	51	21	15	5	0
Fragilaria acus(Kütz.) Lange-	8	11	18	15	11	7	0	0
Bertalot	0	11	10	15	11	,	0	0
Fragilaria famelica(Kütz.)	12	14	19	14	11	8	0	0
Lange-Bertalot	12	11	17	11	11	0	0	0
Fragilariformabicapitata D.M.	0	9	15	12	9	5	0	0
Williams and Round								
Gomphonema affine Kütz.	14	18	21	13	9	8	0	0
Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)	29	34	40	26	20	15	11	9
Rabenhorst								
Gomphonema longiceps	17	19	23	14	7	4	0	0
Gomphonema olivaceum	32	38	48	32	24	15	10	6
(Hornemann)								
Gomphonema parvulum(Kütz.)	30	44	48	30	23	16	12	5
Kütz.			-		-			-
Halamphora veneta (Kütz.)	24	24	33	20	22	17	13	5
Levkov								
Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange	17	21	30	19	16	10	5	0
Bertalot	10	24	26	17	15	11	0	4
Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs	19	24	36	17	15	11	9	4
Navicula gregaria Donkin	14	19	24	15	12	8	0	0
Navicula radiosa Kütz.	25	45	51	35	27	18	13	9
Navicula tripunctata (O.F.	34	41	50	31	25	20	16	9
Müller) Bory	12	10	22	17	10	F	0	0
Navicula veneta Kütz.	13	19	22	17	10	5	0	0
Nitzschia constricta (Gregory)	19	21	28	22	18	13	9	5
Grun.	15	15	21	14	11	7	0	0
Nitzschia hybrida Grunow	15	15 20	21	14	11	7	0	0
Nitzschia palea (Kütz.) W. Smith	23	29	38	31	27	23	14	9
Nitzschia thermalis	11	14	26	21	17	11	5	0
(Ehr.) Auerswold	13	17	19	16	11	9	0	0
Nitzschia vitrea G. Norman Surirella angusta Kütz.	15 16	23	19 27	10 0	11	9 7	0	0
Surirella angusta Kuiz. Surirella minuta Brebisson	10 21		27 34		13 28	7 24	0 16	0 7
	<i>L</i> 1	0	54	0	20	∠4	10	1

 Table 2: Monthly variations in the individual numbers of diatom species in all stations in Balikli

 Tohma Creek from April 2015 to November 2015.

Table 2 (continued):

Surriella ovalis Brebisson 0 11 17 20 15 11 5 0 Tabellaria flocculosa (Roth) 0 19 22 20 27 21 17 14 Tabularia fasciculata (C. Agardh) D.M. Williams and 0 7 10 16 13 9 6 0 Round Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 23 26 31 26 22 14 7 2nd Station Cymbella affinisKütz. 22 26 37 28 17 12 5 0 Cymbella drivisKütz. 22 26 37 28 17 12 5 0 Cymbella turgidula Gran. 24 29 22 17 12 5 0 Cymbolena amphitoephala 17 31 34 25 15 10 3 0 Diatoma vulgaris Bory 21 20 26 23 18 16 8 3 <th>Таха</th> <th>April</th> <th>May</th> <th>June</th> <th>July</th> <th>August</th> <th>September</th> <th>October</th> <th>November</th>	Таха	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
Kitz. 0 19 22 20 21 11 14 Tabularia fasciculata (C. Agardh) D.M. Williams and 0 7 10 16 13 9 6 0 Round Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 23 26 31 26 22 14 7 2nd Station C Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh 15 15 18 10 8 5 5 Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh 15 15 18 10 8 5 5 Cymbella rurgidula Grun. 24 29 29 22 17 12 5 0 Cymbella ulgaris Bory 21 20 26 23 18 16 8 0 Encyonema minutum (Hilse) 29 37 40 22 15 13 8 3 D.G. Mann Encyoneplata (Kütz.) 16 16 13 10 7 5 0 0 Gomphonema ofivaceum 26 30 37 21 14 10 <t< td=""><td>Surirella ovalis Brebisson</td><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0</td></t<>	Surirella ovalis Brebisson	-							0
Kutz Tabularia fasciculata (C. Agardh) D.M. Williams and O 707101613960Round Ulharia ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.02326312622147 2nd Station Cymbella affinis Kütz.22263728171250Cymbella affinis Kütz.22263728171250Cymbella affinis Kütz.22263728171250Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922151030Diatoma vulgaris Bory21202623181680Encyonema minutum (Hilse) D.G. Mann29374022151383D.G. Mann1321322014900Grun.) Krammer Recyonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst2631342620900Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst25364128181173Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst25364430181360Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst25364430181360Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst2536 <t< td=""><td>Tabellaria flocculosa (Roth)</td><td>0</td><td>10</td><td>22</td><td>20</td><td>27</td><td>21</td><td>17</td><td>14</td></t<>	Tabellaria flocculosa (Roth)	0	10	22	20	27	21	17	14
Agardh) D.M. Williams and Round07101613960RoundUlharia ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.02326312622147 2nd Station CCymbella affinis Kütz.2222263728171250Cymbella affinis Kütz.22263728171250Cymbella affinis Kütz.233624221460Cymbella urigidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbella urigidula Grun.24292922151380Diatoma vulgaris Bory21202623181680Encyonema minutum (Hilse)29374022151383D.G. Mann1321322014900Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.)161613107500Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)26303721141040Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)25364430181360Kütz.15222720161494Mabenhorst15222720161494Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)253644301813 </td <td>Kütz.</td> <td>0</td> <td>19</td> <td>LL</td> <td>20</td> <td>27</td> <td>21</td> <td>1/</td> <td>14</td>	Kütz.	0	19	LL	20	27	21	1/	14
Round Ulharia ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.02326312622147 2nd Station Cymbella affnisk Kütz.22263728171250Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh15151810855Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh15151810855Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh15151810855Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbopleur amphicephal (Acegeti) Krammer (Naegeti) Krammer17313425151030Diatoma vulgaris Bory (Berkeley Kütz.21202623181680Encyonema prostratum (Berkeley Kütz.1321322014900Encyonema grostratum (Guru.) Krammer2631342620900Encyonema angustatur (Kütz.) (Gomphonema angustatur (Kütz.) (Kätz.25364430181360Gomphonema alaystatur (Kütz.) (Kätz.25364430181360Gomphonema alaystatur (Kütz.) (Kätz.25364430181360Gomphonema alaystatur (Kütz.) (Kätz.25364430181360Gomphonema alaystatur (Kütz.) (Kät	Tabularia fasciculata (C.								
Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 23 26 31 26 22 14 7 2nd Station Cymbella affinisKütz. 22 26 37 28 17 12 5 0 Cymbella affinisKütz. 25 32 36 24 22 14 6 0 Cymbella turgidula Gran. 24 29 29 22 17 12 5 0 Cymbolle turgidula Gran. 24 29 29 22 17 12 5 0 Cymbolle turgidula Gran. 24 29 29 22 15 10 3 0 Diatoma vulgaris Bory 21 20 26 23 18 16 8 0 Encyonema minutum (Hilse) 29 37 40 22 15 13 8 3 D.G. Mann 13 21 32 20 14 9 0 0 Cymopsis microcephala 26 31 34 26 20 9 0 0 <th< td=""><td>Agardh) D.M. Williams and</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>10</td><td>16</td><td>13</td><td>9</td><td>6</td><td>0</td></th<>	Agardh) D.M. Williams and	0	7	10	16	13	9	6	0
2nd Station Cymbella affinis Kütz. 22 26 37 28 17 12 5 0 Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh 15 15 18 10 8 5 5 Cymbella tergidula Grun. 24 29 29 22 17 12 5 0 Cymbopleura amphicephala 17 31 34 25 15 10 3 0 Diatoma vulgaris Bory 21 20 26 23 18 16 8 0 Encyonema minutum (Hilse) 29 37 40 22 15 13 8 3 Encyonema prostratum 13 21 32 20 14 9 0 0 Encyonema prostratum 13 21 32 20 14 9 0 0 Encyonema grostratum 13 21 32 20 14 9 0 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 16 16 13 10 7 5 0 0 Gompho	Round								
Cymbella affinis Cymbella eymbiformis C. Agardh22263728171250Cymbella verica Cymbella turgidula Grun.242922171250Cymbopleura amphicephala (Naegeli) Krammer17313425151030Diatoma vulgaris Bory21202623181680Encyonema minutum (Hise) D.G. Mann29374022151383Encyonema prostratum (Grun.) Krammer1321322014900Encyonopsis (Grun.) Krammer2631342620900Fragilaria famelica (Kitz.) Lange-Bertalot1613107500Gomphonema angustatum (Kitz.) Levkov25364430181360Gomphonema parvulum (Kitz.) Levkov15222720161494Mayameeaatomus (Kitz.) Levkov15222720161494Mayameeaatomus (Kitz.) Levkov15222720161494Mayameeaatomus (Kitz.) Levkov15222720161494Mayameeaatomus (Kitz.) Levkov15222720161494Mayamaeeaatomus (Kit	Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.	0	23	26	31	26	22	14	7
Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh15151810855Cymbella helvetica Kütz.25323624221460Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922151030Diatoma vulgaris Bory21202623181680Encyonema prostratum1321322014900Encyonopsis microcephala2631342620900Grun.) Krammer26303721141040Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)26303721141040Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)25364430181360Kütz.15222720161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.)15222710161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.)1321321710750Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula cincta (2nd Station								
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Cymbella affinisKütz.	22	26	37	28	17	12	5	0
Cymbella helvetica Kütz.25323624221460Cymbella turgidula Grun.24292922171250Cymbopleura amphicephala17313425151030Diatoma vulgaris Bory21202623181680Encyonema minutum (Hilse)29374022151383D.G. Man2631342620900Encyonema prostratum1321322014900(Berkeley)Kitz.161613107500Comphonema angustatum (Kütz.)26303721141040Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)26303721141040Gomphonema olivaceum28354128181173(Hornemann) Brebisson25364430181360Kitz.15222720161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.)15222710161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.)1321321710750Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula cincta (Gregory)	Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh	15	15	18	10	8	5		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		25	32	36	24	22	14	6	0
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		24	29	29	22	17	12	5	0
(Naegeli) Krammer17313423131030Diatoma vulgaris Bory21202623181680Encyonema minutum (Hilse)29374022151383D.G. Mann29374022151383Encyonema prostratum1321322014900(Berkeley)Kütz.161613107500Corun, Krammer2631342620900Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.)161613107500Lange-Bertalot161613107500Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.)26303721141040Gomphonema olivaceum28354128181173Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.)25364430181360Kitz.15222720161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.)Lange1016241912900Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula ripunctata (O.F.303440271915127Nitzschia constricta (Gregory)12<		17	21	24	25	15	10	2	0
Encyonema minutum (Hilse) D.G. Mann29374022151383Encyonema prostratum (Berkeley)Kitz.1321322014900Encyonopsis microcephala (Grun.) Krammer2631342620900Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.) Lange-Bertalot161613107500Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst26303721141040Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson28354128181173Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) (Kütz.)25364430181360Kütz. Levkov15222720161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot16241912900Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula radiosa Kütz. Urgun.193645312316106Navicula radiosa Kütz. Urgun.193645312316106Navicula ripunctata (O.F. Surinella minuta Brebisson150250211594Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) Urgun.1502429211674Surinella minuta Brebisson To15		1/	31	54	25	15	10	3	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diatoma vulgaris Bory	21	20	26	23	18	16	8	0
D.G. Mann Encyonema prostratum 13 21 32 20 14 9 0 0 Bercyonopsis microcephala 26 31 34 26 20 9 0 0 Gencynopsis microcephala 26 31 34 26 20 9 0 0 Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.) 16 16 13 10 7 5 0 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 10 16 24 19 12 9 <		20	27	40	22	15	12	0	2
(Berkeley)Kütz.1521522014900Encyonopsis microcephala (Grun.) Krammer2631342620900Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.) Lange-Bertalot161613107500Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst26303721141040Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson28354128181173Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) Kütz.25364430181360Kütz.25364430181360Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot1016241912900Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula rinducasa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula rinducasa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula rinducasa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula rinducasa Kütz.19223429211674Suricula rinducasa Kütz.19250211594Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz.01417182518153Ultaria ulna (Nitzsch.) E	D.G. Mann	29	37	40	22	15	15	8	3
(Berkeley)Kütz.1521522014900Encyonopsis microcephala (Grun.) Krammer2631342620900Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.) Lange-Bertalot161613107500Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst26303721141040Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson28354128181173Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) Kütz.25364430181360Kütz.25364430181360Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot1016241912900Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula rinducasa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula rinducasa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula rinducasa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula rinducasa Kütz.19223429211674Suricula rinducasa Kütz.19250211594Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz.01417182518153Ultaria ulna (Nitzsch.) E	Encyonema prostratum	12	01	22	20	1.4	0	0	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		13	21	32	20	14	9	0	0
Gran.) Krammer Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.) 16 16 13 10 7 5 0 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema olivaceum 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Kütz. 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula ardiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10		26	21	24	26	20	0	0	0
Fragilaria famelica (Kütz.) 16 16 13 10 7 5 0 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema olivaceum 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Kütz. 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula ritipunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nit		26	31	34	26	20	9	0	0
Lange-Bertalot 16 16 13 10 7 3 0 0 Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema olivaceum 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Kütz. 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory		16	16	10	10	7	-	0	0
Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Rabenhorst 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Kütz. 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschi		16	16	13	10	/	5	0	0
Rabenhorst 26 30 37 21 14 10 4 0 Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) Kütz. 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) Levkov 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula ripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 3 <t< td=""><td>•</td><td>0.6</td><td>20</td><td>27</td><td>A1</td><td></td><td>10</td><td></td><td>0</td></t<>	•	0.6	20	27	A 1		10		0
Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 3 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) Kütz. 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) Levkov 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Levkov 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7		26	30	37	21	14	10	4	0
(Hornemann) Brebisson 28 35 41 28 18 11 7 5 Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Kütz. 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta B		•	~ ~		•	10		-	2
Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) 25 36 44 30 18 13 6 0 Kütz. 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Levkov 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Junaria ulna (Nitzsch.)		28	35	41	28	18	11	7	3
Kütz. 23 30 44 30 18 13 0 0 Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) 15 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nütler) Bory 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.		~-			•	10	10		0
Halamphora veneta (Kütz.) Levkov15222720161494Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot1016241912900Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs1321321710750Navicula radiosa Kütz.193645312316106Navicula tripunctata (O.F. Willer) Bory303440271915127Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) Grun.1217241916942Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith19223429211674Surirella minuta Brebbisson150250211594Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz.01417182518153Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.02024232319110Srd StationAmphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz.14172119151080		25	36	44	30	18	13	6	0
Levkov 13 22 27 20 16 14 9 4 Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Junaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 <					•			0	
Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange Bertalot 10 16 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Junaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 <td></td> <td>15</td> <td>22</td> <td>27</td> <td>20</td> <td>16</td> <td>14</td> <td>9</td> <td>4</td>		15	22	27	20	16	14	9	4
Bertalot 10 10 10 24 19 12 9 0 0 Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Junaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0<	Mayamaeaatomus (Kütz.) Lange	10	1.0	24	10	10	0	0	0
Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs 13 21 32 17 10 7 5 0 Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Identification 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 Stration 15 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 Station 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 0 Maphora ovalis (Kütz.)		10	16	24	19	12	9	0	0
Navicula radiosa Kütz. 19 36 45 31 23 16 10 6 Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Grun. 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0 </td <td></td> <td>13</td> <td>21</td> <td>32</td> <td>17</td> <td>10</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td>		13	21	32	17	10	7	5	0
Navicula tripunctata (O.F. 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Müller) Bory Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 Srd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0		19	36	45	31	23	16	10	6
Müller) Bory 30 34 40 27 19 15 12 7 Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0	Navicula tripunctata (O.F.		24	10	27	10	15	10	7
Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Grun. Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0		30	34	40	27	19	15	12	/
Grun. 12 17 24 19 16 9 4 2 Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith 19 22 34 29 21 16 7 4 Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0		10	17	24	10	16	0	4	2
Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0		12	1/	24	19	16	9	4	2
Surirella minuta Brebbisson 15 0 25 0 21 15 9 4 Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0	Nitzschia palea(Kütz.) W. Smith	19	22	34	29	21	16	7	4
Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz. 0 14 17 18 25 18 15 3 Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0			0	25				9	4
Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr. 0 20 24 23 23 19 11 0 3rd Station Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0	Tabellaria flocculosa(Roth) Kütz.	0	14			25		15	3
Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0									
Amphora ovalis (Kütz.) Kütz. 14 17 21 19 15 10 8 0	3rd Station								
		14	17	21	19	15	10	8	0
Cymbella affinis Kulz. 19 21 25 21 15 10 0 0	Cymbella affinis Kütz.	19	21	25	21	13	10	0	0
Cymbella cymbiformis C. Agardh 10 10 10 7 5 3 3 0									
<i>Cymbella helvetica Kütz.</i> 19 28 30 16 12 9 0 0									
<i>Cymbella turgidula Grun.</i> 21 24 24 15 10 8 3 0									
Cymbonlaura amphicaphala									
(Naegeli) Krammer 14 26 27 17 12 6 0 0		14	26	27	17	12	6	0	0

Table 2 (continued):

Таха	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
Diatoma vulgaris Bory	19	17	24	19	14	11	7	0
<i>Encyonema minutum(Hilse)</i> D.G. Mann	26	32	34	17	11	9	5	0
Encyonema prostratum(Berkeley)Kütz.	11	18	26	18	11	6	0	0
Encyonopsis microcephala (Grun.) Krammer	22	26	31	14	9	3	0	0
Gomphonema angustatum (Kütz.) Rabenhorst	22	26	29	16	12	6	0	0
Gomphonema olivaceum (Hornemann) Brebisson	25	29	37	20	16	8	4	0
Gomphonema parvulum (Kütz.) Kütz.	23	30	40	24	13	7	0	0
<i>Halamphora veneta (Kütz.)</i> Levkov	10	16	20	16	13	11	6	0
Navicula cincta (Ehr.) Ralfs	9	14	24	13	9	4	0	0
Navicula radiosa Kütz.	14	30	37	24	17	12	8	0
<i>Navicula tripunctata (</i> O.F. Müller) Bory	28	26	35	20	12	7	9	3
Nitzschia constricta (Gregory) Grun.	10	13	18	10	7	5	0	0
Nitzschia palea (Kütz.) W. Smith	15	19	30	26	17	14	0	0
Surirella minuta Brebisson	13	0	22	0	16	12	6	0
Tabellaria flocculosa (Roth) Kütz.	0	10	13	0	14	10	8	3
Ulnaria ulna (Nitzsch.) Ehr.	0	17	19	17	16	13	7	0

The diatom species that were recorded with the highest number of individuals in the third station during the study, on the other hand, were *Navicula radiosa*, *Navicula tripunctata*, *Gomphonema olivaceum*, *Gomphonema parvulum* and *Encyonema minitum*. The total number of individuals of these diatom species varied between 134 and 142 cells/mL.

According to the Sorenson Similarity Index, the similarity index between the first and second stations was 66.66; between the first and third stations, it was 67.74 and between the second and third stations, it was 97.67.

Taking Table 2 into consideration, the fact that the most significant species in terms of frequency of appearance and number of individuals were pretty much the same ones in all three stations can be a sign showing that those diatom species make better use of their surrounding conditions than other diatom species.

According to the results of physical and chemical examinations of water, Balikli Tohma Creek has first class water quality.

Cox (1984) stated that light is the most important factor in the seasonal distribution of diatoms and Round (1973) stated that among phytoplankton, diatom growth is good during early spring and summer. Epilithic diatoms were recorded more during the spring and summer months compared to the autumn months in Balikli Tohma Creek, as well. Lund (1965) wrote that temperature and light have important effects on the growth of algae. This was found in Balikli Tohma Creek, as well, that there was a striking relationship between the water temperatures of all the stations and the growth of diatoms in them.

In this study conducted on Balikli Tohma Creek, 40 species of epilithic diatoms were recorded in total, all of which belong to the order pennales. Obali (1984)and Kairesalo and Koskimes (1985) suggest that the members of the order pennales are not real planktonic species and state that the pennate diatoms are more likely to be found in abundance among phytoplankton during times when lakes and streams are rough. Round (1981) suggests that pennate diatoms are essentially benthic forms, and that they rise to become phytoplankton through water mixtures. This finding supports the findings of Balikli Tohma Creek. It has been stated that the species of Cymbella, Diatoma, Navicula and Nitzschia are among the most encountered species in Turkey's inland waters and that Nitzschia is the richest species in nutrient rich waters (Cetin, 2011: Solak. 2011: Tokatli and Davioglu, 2011).

In the study conducted by Fakioglu et al. (2012) in Pulur Creek, which is one of the important tributaries that drains into Karasu River, it was pointed out that the relative density of *Diatoma vulgaris* (40.67%) constitutes almost half of all species and *Navicula cryptocephala* (13.23%), *Cymbella* affinis (7.27%), Aulacoseria granulata (7.13%), Nitzschia sigmoidea (6.30%) and Gomphonema olivaceum (5.62%) come after this species, respectively. In Balikli Tohma Creek, as well, the most important diatoms in terms of frequency number of appearance and of individuals were Cymbella, Gomphonema. Navicula, Nitzschia, Encyonema and Diatoma. Due to the fact that diatoms are the dominant species among epilithic algae, other algae were dismissed in this study conducted on Balikli Tohma Creek. This finding is also supported by the studies of other researchers (Yildiz, 1987: Altuner and Gurbuz, 1989: Gonulol and Arslan, 1992; Pala and Caglar, 2008).

In the study Altuner and Gurbuz conducted on the epipelic algal flora of Karasu (Euphrates) River, *Navicula cryptocephala, Cymbella affinis, Cymbella ventricosa, Amphora ovalis, Nitzschia palea* and *Synedra ulna* were observed as the dominant species. The dominant species among the epilithic diatoms of Balikli Tohma Creek were *Navicula tripunctata, Navicula radiosa, Encyonema minitum* and *Gomphonema olivaceum.*

Chessman (1986) stated that Navicula and Nitzschia species are cosmopolitan. The fact that Navicula and Nitzschia were found in various studies in Turkey (Yildiz, 1987; Altuner and Gurbuz, 1989; Sahin, 1998; Pala and Caglar, 2006; Pala and Caglar, 2008) supports this idea. These species were also encountered in all of the stations in the Balikli Tohma Creek.

In conclusion, the epilithic diatoms of Balikli Tohma Creek were examined in this study and it was found that the number of species at the first station was higher than the number of species at the second and third stations. This was because the rocks collected from the second and third stations were in the water flow direction. There was not much difference between the second and third stations in terms of species numbers. This study reveals the first data regarding Balikli Tohma Creek. It is very important to present the ecological characteristics of our country's water resources. and to develop monitoring programs for their sustainable use by researching water resources in different regions.

Acknowledgement

Our gratitude goes to Dr. Metin Calta at Firat University in Turkey.

References

- Allan, J.D., 1995. Stream ecology. Kluwer Academic Publishers. The Netherlands, pp. 388.
- Altuner Z. and Gurbuz H, 1988. Karasu Nehrinin epilitik diyatomeleri. IX. Ulusal Biyoloji Kongresi, Sivas, 3(1), 223-230.
- Altuner Z. and Gürbüz, H., 1989. Karasu (Fırat) Nehrifito plankton üzerind bir araştırma. İstanbul Üniversitesi Su Ürünleri Dergisi, 3(1-2), 151-176.

- Anonymous, 2003. Su Çerçeve Direktifi'nin Türkiye'de Uygulanması Uygulama El Kitabı. Grantmij Advies and Techniekbv Vestiging Utrect, Houten, 59.
- Anonymous, 2004. Su Kirliliği Kontrolü Yönetmeliği. 31 Aralık 2004 tarihve 25687 sayılıResmiGazete, Ankara.
- Anonymous, 2005. İnsani tüketim amaçlı sular hakkında yönetmelik. 17.02.2005 tarihve 25730 sayılı Resmi Gazete, Ankara.
- Anonymous, 2009. Çevreve Toplum. 12. Bölüm. Web site. Http://egitek.meb.gov.tr.
- APHA, AWWA and WEF, 1985. Standard methods for the examination of Water and Wastewater. 16 th Edition. American Public Health Association, Washington, 1268.
- **Bourelly, P., 1968.** Les Algues D' eau DouceAlguesJaunes Et Brunes, N. Baues. Paris, 439.
- Cetin, A.K., 2011. Epilithic, epipelic, and epiphytic diatoms in the Göksu stream: Community relationships and habitat preferences. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*, 23(1), 143-149.
- Chessman, B.C., 1986. Diatom flora of an Australian river system: Spatial patterns and environmental relationship. *Freshwater Biology*, 16, 805-819.
- Cicek, L.N. and Ertan, O.O., 2015. Determination of water quality by epilithic diatome in Köprüçay river (Antalya). *Ege Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 32(2), 65-78.

- Cox, E.J., 1984. Observations on some benthic diatoms from North German lakes: The effect of substratum and light regime. Verhandlungen des Internationalen Verein Limnologie, 22, 924-928.
- Dere U.S. and Sivaci R., 1995. Kızılırmak (Sivas, Giriş-Çıkış) epipelik, epifitik, epilitik algflorası. XII. Ulusal Biyoloji Kongresi, Hidrobiyoloji Seksiyonu, Edirne, IV, 180-188.
- Fakioglu, O., Atamanalp, M., Senel,
 M., Sensurat, T. and Arslan, H.,
 2012. Pulur Çayı (Erzurum)
 Epilitikve Epifitik Diyatomeleri.
 Eğirdir Su Ürünleri Fakültesi
 Dergisi, 8(1), 1-8.
- Germain, H., 1981. Flora des diatomees diatomophycees. Societe Nouvelle Des Editions Boubee, Paris.
- Gonulol, A. and Arslan, N., 1992. Samsun-incesu deresi' nin alg florası üzerinde araştırmalar. *Doğa Turkish Journal of Botany*, 16, 311-314.
- Husdeth, F., 1932. Bacillariophyta (diatome) heft. 10 in pascher, Die Süsswasser Flora Mitteleuropas, Gustav Fischer Pub, Jena, Germany.
- Kairesalo, T. and Koskimies, I., 1985. Vernal succession of littoral and near shore phytoplankton: Significance of interchange between the two communities, *Aqua Fennica*, 15(1), 115-126.
- **Kazanci, N. and Dugel M., 2000.** An evaluation of water quality of Yuvarlakçay stream in Köyceğiz-Dalyan protected area, SW Turkey.

Turkish Journal of Zoology, 24, 69-80.

- Kivrak, E. and Gurbuz, H., 2010. Tortum Çayı'nın (Erzurum) Epipelik Diyatomelerive Bazı Fizikokimyasal Özellikleriile İlişkisi. *Ekoloji*, 19(72), 102-109.
- Lund, J.W. G., 1965. The ecology of the freshwater phytoplankton, *Biological Reviews*, 40, 231-293.
- **Obali, O., 1984.** Mogan Gölü fitoplanktonunun mevsimsel değişimi. *Doğa Bilim Dergisi*, A2(**8**), 91-104.
- Pala T.G. and Caglar, M., 2006. Keban Baraj Gölü Epilitik Diyatomelerive Mevsimsel Değişimleri. *Fırat Üniversitesi Fen ve Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi*, 18(3), 323-329.
- Pala T.G. and Caglar, M., 2008. Peri Çayı (Tunceli/ Türkiye) Epilitik Diyatomelerive Mevsimsel Değişimleri. *Fırat Üniversitesi Fen* ve Mühendislik Bilimleri Dergisi, 20(4), 557-562.
- Patrick, R. and Reimer, C.W., 1966. The diatoms of the United States, exclusive of Alaska and Hawaii. Monographs of the Academy of National Sciens of Philandephia. No: 13. Pennyslyvania, USA, 688.
- Patrick, R. and Reimer, C.W., 1975. The diatoms of the United States. Volum II. Academy Sciences, Phyladelphia.
- Round, F.E., 1973. The biology of the algae. Edward Arnold, London.

- Round, F.E., 1981. The ecology of algae, Cambridge University Press, USA.
- Sahin, B., 1998. A study on the benthic algae of Uzungöl (Trabzon), Doğa Turkish Journal of Botany, 22, 171-189.
- Solak C.N., 2011. The application of diatom indices in the upper Porsuk Creek Kütahya-Turkey. *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 11, 31-36.
- Tokatli, C. and Dayioglu, H., 2011. Murat Çayı (Kütahya) Epilitik Diyatomeleri. Dumlupınar Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, 25,1-12.
- URL. https://www.google.com.tr/ search?
- USEPA, 1986. Quality criteria for water U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water, EPA 440/5-86-001, Washington, D.C.

- Uslu, O. and Turkman, A., 1987. Su Kirliliğive Kontrolü, T.C. Başbakanlık Çevre Genel Müdürlüğü Yayınları Eğitim Dizisi, Ankara, 364.
- Yildiz, K. and Atici, T., 1996. Ankara Çayı Diyatomeleri. *Gazi Üniversitesi Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, 6, 59-87.
- Yildiz, K., 1987. Altınapa Baraj Gölüvebugöldençıkan Meram Çayı alg toplulukları üzerinde bir araştırma. Cumhuriyet Üniversitesi Fen Edebiyat Fakültesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, 5, 191-207.