

Effect of different levels of dietary Betaine on growth performance, food efficiency and survival rate of pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*) fingerlings

Zakipour Rahimabadi E.^{1*}; Akbari M.¹; Arshadi A.¹; Effatpanah E.²

Received: June 2011 Accepted: March 2012

Abstract

A 6-week feeding experiments were carried out to determine the effects of different levels of dietary betaine on growth performance, food efficiency and survival rate of pike perch which has a critical period during transition feeding. Fingerlings of pike perch were fed with live food (treatment A), without betaine added to biomar (treatment B), 1% betaine added to biomar (treatment C) and 2% betaine added to biomar (treatment D) in 1000 l concreted tanks. 200 fingerlings (1.50 g, mean weight) were stocked in each tank and fed up to 7 meals per day. Higher increment in body weight (4.99 ± 0.73 g), specific growth rate (3.90 ± 0.06) and food efficiency (104.42 ± 4.27) were obtained with treatment D (2% betaine added to biomar). This treatment also showed significant ($P < 0.05$) decrease in food conversion ratio (0.93 ± 0.04) in comparison with other treatments. Highest survival rate was observed in treatment B (biomar without betaine) with a 34.5 % rate and greatest cannibalism (1.03 ± 0.01) was found in treatment D, respectively. It was concluded that betaine could increase the palatability and acceptability of food and could be suitable to weaning the pike perch fingerlings to artificial diet.

Keywords: *Sander lucioperca*, Betaine, Food attractants, Growth, Food efficiency

1- Department of Fisheries, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Zabol, 98615-538 Zabol, Iran.

2- Head of Yosefpour's Fish Breeding and Culture Center, (Guilan Province), 983290731, Iran

* Corresponding author's email: e_zakipour@yahoo.com

Introduction

Pike perch, *S. lucioperca*, is one of the most commercially important species of indigenous ichthyofauna of the Caspian Sea (Sattari et al., 2003). In past decades, the stocks of this fish in Iran have increasingly declined due to overfishing, degradation of spawning ground and etc (Kiabi et al., 1999). Kiabi et al. (1999) considered this fish as a vulnerable species in the southern Caspian Sea basin according to IUCN criteria. Artificial raising and releasing of pike perch fingerlings has done to restocking of this fish in Iran. However, because of high meat quality, fast growth and high acceptability, this species is highly demanded in recent years (Jankowska et al., 2003) and considered as an aquaculture species in European countries such as Hungary, Poland and etc (Steffens et al., 1996). But, there is a critical period in rearing of juvenile pike perch during converting from natural diet to commercial feed which is important to study for introducing it to aquaculture system. According to traditional culturing method, the fingerlings of pike perch rear for 4-6 weeks in nursery pond to reach the length of 3-5 cm, and then keep with carps to produce yearling fish (Antalfi, 1979). Actually, the larvae of pike perch starts feeding on zooplankton such as rotifers and then copepods (Verreth and Kleyn, 1987), switching to higher size foods such as chironomids, crustaceans, mollusks and leeches with growth (Steffens et al., 1996). The transition from planktonivorous to carnivorous habit of pike perch happens when they reach to 4 cm in length (Antalfi,

1979). This period is very critical to fingerlings, because it cause to differences in fish size in the absence of adequate prey fish. This problem leads to cannibalistic habits (Woynarowich, 1960; Steffens et al., 1996). Using dry feed in pike perch rearing is a new idea (Hilge, 1990) which makes possible the culture of this fish in intensive culture system (Zakes, 1997). Due to carnivorous feeding habit and little information of nutritional requirements of this fish, the current recommendations are using the formulated trout and salmon (Brown et al., 1996; Melard et al., 1996) and sparids (Fontaine et al., 1996) feeds. Adding the food attractants to formulated diet has been used to overcoming the problem of transition from natural to formulated diet. Chemo-attractants has been added to formulated diet to overcoming the problems of them rejection by fish (Fast and Lester, 1992), increasing the consumption of poor quality food (Costa-Pierce and Laws, 1985), changing the feeding behavior and making better food acceptance by stimulating the smell and taste systems (Hughes, 1990), minimizing the time that feed remains in water and increasing the possibility of replacement of plants proteins with fish meal and also minimizing the leaching of water soluble nutrients (Polat and Beklevik, 1998). It has been reported that betaine is one of most important food attractants could be used in transition feeding habits of pike perch (Yilmaz and Ablak, 2003). Due to importance of pike perch and little information on effect of food attractant in culture of this fish, this

study aims to investigate the effect of different levels of dietary betaine on growth performance, food efficiency and survival rate of pike perch fingerlings.

Materials and methods

This study was conducted in Yosefpour's Fish Breeding and Culture Center, Seyahkal (Guilan, Iran) from May to July 2010. Experiment was performed with four treatments in triplicates, in 12 veniro tanks of 1000 l volume (with dimensions of 0.8 x 0.8 m) with completely randomized design (Baranek et al., 2007). Each veniro contained a volume of 400 l of water with proper aeration. The water inlet with a flow rate of 5-6 l/min, created a slight circulation current in the tank. The initial stocking rate was 2 fish/l, (same size and from same stock) (Bodis, 2007). Light intensity was 40-60 lux for 24 h in rearing saloon (Zakes, 1997). The pond cultured

pike perch fingerlings (initial mean weight of 1.49 g) were transported to veniro tanks and acclimated for 2 days. Then fish were feed by four diets as follows: Live food (frozen chironomids) (group 1), starter food of trout (SFT) with pellet size of 1.1 mm for first half of rearing period and 2.5 mm for second half of rearing period (group 2), SFT + 1% betaine (Arya Dalman, Germany) (group 3) and SFT (France) + 2% betaine (group 4) for 6 weeks. The fingerlings were hand-fed from 8:00 to 20:00 with formulated and live food every 2 and 4 h, respectively (Yilmaz and Ablak, 2003). Feeding rate was 8-9 % of actual fish biomass of group 1 and 3-4 % of actual fish biomass for other groups. The amount of trout pellets in the total diet increased from zero through 25, 50, 75 and 100 % in 3-day intervals (Bodis, 2007) Approximate Analytical SFT food is presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Formulation and proximate composition of experimental diets

Composition	Pellet size	
	0.8-1.1 (mm)	1.5-2.2 (mm)
Crude proteine (%)	56	54
Crude lipid (%)	18	18
Fiber (%)	0.4	1.5
Ash (%)	10.5	10.5
NFE ¹	9.5	10.0
Phosphorus (%)	1.6	1.58
Vitamin A (IU kg ⁻¹)	7500	7500
Vitamin E (mg kg ⁻¹)	400	400
Vitamin C (mg kg ⁻¹)	1000	500
Gross energy	22.1	22.2

1- Nitrogen-free extracts including crude fiber

Every 7 days 20 fish from each veniro (60 individuals/ treatment) were randomly captured and then length were measured individually, with 0.1 mm accuracy.

Fingerlings were weighted collectively from day 0 to day 14, and individually from day 21 to day 42 with 0.01 mg accuracy. The number of sampled

fingerlings was taken into account for survival calculation. Body weight index (BWI), average daily growth (ADG), specific growth rate (SGR), condition factor (CF), food conversion ratio (FCR), food efficiency (FE), survival rate (SR) and cannibalism were assessed as follows (El-Husseiny et al., 2008):

$$\text{Weight gain (g)} = (W_f - W_i)$$

$$\text{Average daily growth (ADG, \%)} = 100 \frac{(W_f - W_i)}{T} T^{-1}$$

$$\text{Specific growth rate (SGR, \% day}^{-1}\text{)} = 100 \frac{(\ln W_f - \ln W_i)}{\Delta T} \Delta T^{-1}$$

$$\text{Condition factor (CF)} = 100 \times W_f \times (L_f)^{-3}$$

$$\text{Feed conversion ratio (FCR)} = W_{TFS} \times AWG^{-1}$$

$$\text{Feed efficiency (FE)} = (FB - IB)TFC^{-1}$$

$$\text{Counted mortality (\%)} = 100 (N_d + N_c) N_i^{-1}$$

$$\text{Survival rate} = 100 (N_f - N_i)$$

$$\text{Cannibalism (\%)} = 100 (N_c + N_m) N_i^{-1}$$

Abbreviations in above-mentioned equations are as follow: where W_i and W_f are the initial and final body weights (g), W_{TFS} is the weight of the total feed supplied (g) T = duration of experiment (days), L = final body length (cm), N_i = initial number of fingerlings, N_f = final number of fingerlings, N_d = number of dead fish without signs of cannibalism, N_c =

number of dead fish due to cannibalism, N_m = number of missing fish at the counting (end of experiment),

C = Cannibalism,

ΔT = duration of the experiment (days),

TFC the total food consumption (g), IB the initial biomass (g), FB the final biomass (g).

Statistical analysis:

Results are given as mean and standard deviations. The SPSS (version 13.0) was used for statistical analysis. One-way ANOVA with Duncan's test was used to test the effect of different feed treatments on growth performance, food efficiency and survival rate of pike perch fingerlings. Statistical difference was accepted when $P < 0.05$.

Results

Weekly monitored water quality parameters are presented in Table 2. Water temperature variations were high and ranged from 23.74 to 29.67 °C during experiment. Dissolved oxygen concentration was high during experiment and never fell below 6.61 mg O₂/l.

Table 2: Physical and chemical parameters during the experiment

Physico- chemical parameters	Duration of experiment (weeks)					
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Water temperature (°C)	23.74 ± 0.18	24.11 ± 0.83	26.19 ± 1.40	28.11 ± 0.59	29.65 ± 0.25	29.23 ± 0.31
DO (mg/l)	6.75 ± 0.39	7.48 ± 0.48	7.72 ± 0.53	7.27 ± 0.63	6.61 ± 1.19	7.51 ± 0.61
pH	8.43 ± 0.21	8.30 ± 0.06	8.32 ± 0.08	8.27 ± 0.10	8.29 ± 0.12	8.28 ± 0.10

Data are mean ± S.D.

The results of growth performance of pike perch fingerling were fed with four experimental diets during the first four weeks are shown in Table 3. At the end of week four, the stock of live food finished and from this point to end of experiment,

the fingerlings were fed by biomar without betaine (treatment B), 1% betaine added to biomar (treatment C) and 2% betaine added to biomar (treatment D) and comparisons are as presented in Table 4.

Table 3: Effects of different diets on growth indices of pike perch fingerling until week 4

Parameters	Treatments			
	live food (treatment A)	biomar (treatment B)	1% betaine + biomar (treatment C)	2 % betaine + biomar (treatment D)
Initial weight (g)	1.47 ± 0.18 ^a	1.50 ± 0.16 ^a	1.51 ± 0.17 ^a	1.53 ± 0.12 ^a
Final weight (g)	1.75 ± 0.62 ^b	3.44 ± 0.62 ^a	3.39 ± 0.66 ^a	3.84 ± 0.62 ^a
Weight gain (g)	0.37 ± 0.54 ^b	1.94 ± 1.18 ^a	1.88 ± 1.14 ^a	2.31 ± 1.21 ^a
ADG (%)	1.32 ± 0.63 ^c	6.92 ± 3.08 ^b	6.71 ± 2.95 ^b	8.25 ± 2.89 ^a
SGR (% per day)	3.90 ± 0.14 ^a	4.40 ± 0.35 ^a	4.15 ± 0.11 ^a	4.57 ± 0.15 ^a
Initial length (mm)	5.68 ± 0.30 ^a	5.85 ± 0.33 ^a	5.93 ± 0.21 ^a	5.96 ± 0.23 ^a
Final length (mm)	6.26 ± 0.60 ^b	7.31 ± 0.53 ^{ab}	7.25 ± 0.47 ^{ab}	7.64 ± 0.48 ^a
Initial CF	0.76 ± 0.05 ^a	0.75 ± 0.08 ^a	0.72 ± 0.04 ^a	0.72 ± 0.04 ^a
Final CF	0.54 ± 0.04 ^b	0.79 ± 0.06 ^a	0.86 ± 0.08 ^a	0.82 ± 0.06 ^a
FCR	3.44 ± 0.25 ^a	1.14 ± 0.15 ^b	1.23 ± 0.18 ^b	1.02 ± 0.18 ^b
FE	30.25 ± 5.79 ^c	86.42 ± 3.73 ^b	82.71 ± 4.25 ^b	104.42 ± 4.27 ^a
Survival rate (%)	8.69 ± 0.08 ^c	34.50 ± 0.07 ^a	31.88 ± 0.52 ^b	32.33 ± 0.04 ^b
Cannibalism (%)	0.83 ± 0.02 ^a	0.63 ± 0.02 ^{ab}	0.60 ± 0.03 ^b	0.73 ± 0.08 ^a

Values (mean ± SD) with different letters in the same line indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4: Effects of different diets on growth indices of pike perch fingerlings at week 6

Parameters	Treatments			
	live food (treatment A)	biomar (treatment B)	1% betaine + biomar (treatment C)	2 % betaine + biomar (treatment D)
Initial weight (g)	1.47 ± 0.18 ^a	1.50 ± 0.16 ^a	1.51 ± 0.17 ^a	1.53 ± 0.12 ^a
Final weight (g)	0	5.72 ± 0.16 ^b	5.57 ± 0.38 ^b	6.52 ± 0.54 ^a
Weight gain (g)	0	4.22 ± 0.18 ^c	4.06 ± 0.47 ^b	4.99 ± 0.37 ^a
ADG (%)	0	10.04 ± 1.39 ^b	9.61 ± 1.74 ^b	11.88 ± 1.12 ^a
SGR (% per day)	0	3.46 ± 0.03 ^b	3.47 ± 0.13 ^b	3.90 ± 0.06 ^a
Initial length (mm)	5.68 ± 0.30 ^a	5.85 ± 0.33 ^a	5.93 ± 0.21 ^a	5.96 ± 0.23 ^a
Final length (mm)	0	8.55 ± 0.73 ^{ab}	7.57 ± 0.82 ^b	8.96 ± 0.44 ^a
Initial CF	0.76 ± 0.05 ^a	0.75 ± 0.08 ^a	0.72 ± 0.04 ^a	0.72 ± 0.04 ^a
Final CF	0	0.87 ± 0.07 ^a	0.75 ± 0.25 ^a	0.89 ± 0.10 ^a
FCR	0	1.16 ± 0.03 ^a	1.17 ± 0.05 ^a	0.93 ± 0.04 ^b
FE	0	85.92 ± 4.84 ^b	86.31 ± 5.20 ^b	104.42 ± 4.27 ^a
Survival rate (%)	0	34.50 ± 0.06 ^a	31.88 ± 0.52 ^b	32.23 ± 0.04 ^b
Cannibalism (%)	0.83 ± 0.02 ^b	0.63 ± 0.002 ^b	0.63 ± 0.003 ^b	1.03 ± 0.008 ^a

Values (mean ± SD) with different letters in the same line indicate significant differences ($P < 0.05$).

Average fish fingerlings weight were 1.75, 3.44, 3.39 and 3.84 g for treatments A, B, D and C, respectively, at the end of week 4 (Table 3). The weight was increased to 5.72, 5.57, 6.52 g for treatments B, D and C, respectively, at the end of feeding trial (Table 4). Daily specific growth rate was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher in treatment D than others at the end of experiment. SGR (% per day was higher in week for than week 6 for all treatments. The final condition factor at week 4, ranged from 0.54 for treatment A (live food) to 0.86 for treatment C (1% betaine + biomar), respectively. There was no significant ($P<0.05$) difference on CF of fingerlings fed different diet at the end of experiment. Food conversion ratio was significantly ($P<0.05$) higher in treatment A (live food). Treatment D showed the lowest FCR during experiment. Highest food efficiency was found in fingerlings fed biomar with 2% betaine (treatment D). Survival rate was significantly ($P<0.05$) increased in fingerlings fed formulated diets. The lowest SR was found in treatment A (live food) with 8.69 %, while highest SR was observed in treatment B with 34.5 %.

Discussion

In recent years, interest to culture of pike perch has increased due to high meat quality, fast growing and high acceptability (Jankowska et al., 2003). Recognizing the natural food requirement is necessary for successful culture of fish in intensive culture system (Higuera, 2001). At present, the formulated trout food is used as preferred food for pike perch culture. Chemo-attractants has used for different fish species and showed good

results to overcoming some problems such as food rejection by fish, increasing the consumption of poor quality food and etc (Kasumyan and Doving, 2003). Betaine is one of the most important food attractants which could be used in transition feeding habits of pike perch (Yilmaz and Ablak, 2003). Although these compounds did not increase the nutritional value of formulated diet but they are useful to increase the food palatability and acceptance and increase the consumption of poor quality food (Fekrandish et al., 2005).

The present study demonstrated that the addition of betaine in different level to trout starter food (biomar) improve the growth performance and best results found in treatment D (2 % betaine + biomar). Similarly, betaine improved the growth performance (weight gain, specific growth rate and condition factor) in rainbow trout at 3 % level (Sadeghi, 2004), on salmon at 1.5 and 2.0 % level (Polat and Beklevik, 1998) and on yearling Chinook salmon (Clarke et al., 1994). In contrast, several other studies reported that betaine did not improve the growth performance in *Oreochromis aureus* (Genc et al., 2006). The effect of betaine-supplemented food on growth improvement in some fish has just observed in food transition period, when increased palatability of food could be an important factor for increasing appetite (Kasumyan and Doving, 2003).

Highest survival rate was found in fingerlings fed biomar without betaine (treatment B) and showed that addition of betaine had not effect on survival rate. The study of changes in survival rate showed that mortality of pike perch fingerlings

was high in first and third weeks. This could be due to changing the feeding behavior at first week and probably to non consumption period and increasing in water temperature, respectively. The pike perch fingerling is very sensitive to higher temperature.

It has been reported that the mortality of fish is increased if there is not enough food in transition period (Hamza et al., 2008). Highest mortality has also been reported for pike perch fish during first week of experiment (Kestemont et al., 2007). In current work, the fluctuation of water temperature was high, which affects on metabolism rate, food consumption, growth performance and survival rate. In our experiment, cannibalism behavior was found in second week in treatments A, B and C and in third week in treatment D. The highest cannibalism was found in treatment A at week four. This ratio was increased in treatment D at the end of experiment. It has been demonstrated that cannibalism is influenced by food type, food availability, difference in fish size (Kestemont et al., 2007), difference in food particle size (Szkudlarek and Zakes, 2007) and improper fish fingerling stocking in pond (Adams et al., 2000).

References

- Adams, C., Huntingford, F., Turnbull, J., Arnott, S. and Bell, A., 2000. Size heterogeneity can reduce aggression and promote growth in Atlantic salmon parr. *Aquacult Int*, 8, 543–549.
- Antalfi, A., 1979. Propagation and rearing of pike perch in pond culture. *EIFAC Technical Paper*, 35, 120-125.
- Baranek, V., Dvorak, J., Kalenda, V., Mares, J., Zrustova, J. and Spurny, P., 2007. Comparison of two weaning methods of juvenile pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*) from natural diet to commercial feed. *Ustva Zoologie*, 1, 6-13.
- Bodis, M., Kucska, B. and Bercsenyi, M., 2007. The effect of different diets on the growth and mortality of juvenile pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*) in the transition from live food to formulated feed. *Aquacult. Int*, 15, 83-90.
- Brown, P. B., Dabrowski, K. and Garling, D. L., 1996. Nutrition and feeding of yellow perch (*Perca flavescens*). *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 12, 171–174.
- Clarke, W. C., Virtanen, E., Blackburn, J. and Higgs, D. A., 1994. Effects of dietary betaine/amino acid additive on growth and seawater adaptation in yearling Chinook salmon. *Aquaculture*, 121, 137-145.
- Costa – Pierce, A. M. and Laws, E. A., 1985. Chemotactically active feed additive for prawn (*Machrobrachium rosenbergii*). *Prog. Fish – cult*, 47, 59 – 61.
- El-Husseiny, O. M., El-Din, G., Abdul-Aziz, M. and Mabroke, R. S., 2008. Effect of mixed protein schedules combined with choline and betaine on the growth performance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquaculture Research*, 39, 291-300.
- Fast, A. W. and Lester, L. J., 1992. Marine shrimp culture: principles and practices. Elsevier Science. p. 18.

- Fekrandish, H., Abedian kenari, A. M., Matinfar, A. and Dehqhani, A., 2005.** Influence of betaine and methionine in the diet for stimulating food intake of Indian white shrimp (*Fenneropenaeus indicus*). *Pajouhesh and Sazandegi*, 73, 136-147.
- Fontaine, P., Tamazouzt, L. and Capdeville, B., 1996.** Growth of the Eurasian perch (*Perca fluviatilis* L.) reared in floating cages and in water recirculated system: first results. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 12, 181-184.
- Genc, M. A., Tekeliogluu, N., Yilmaz, E., Hunt, A. O. and Yanar, Y., 2006.** Effect of dietary betaine on growth performance and body composition of *Oreochromis aureus* reared in fresh and sea water: a comparative study. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 5(12), 1185-1188.
- Hamza, N., Mhetli, M., Khemis, I. B., Cahu, C. and Kestemont, P., 2008.** Effect of dietary phospholipid levels on performance, enzyme activities and fatty acid composition of pike perch (*Sander lucioperca*) larvae. *Aquaculture*, 275, 274-282.
- Higuera, M., 2001.** Effects of nutritional factors and feed characteristics on feed intake. In: Houlihan D., Boujard T., Jobling M. (eds): Food Intake in Fish. Blackwell Science Ltd., Oxford. 250-268.
- Hilge, V., 1990.** Beobachtungen zur aufzucht von zandern (*Stizostedion lucioperca*) im Labor. *Arch Fischereiwissenschaft*, 40, 167-173.
- Hughes, S. G., 1990.** Aqueous amino acid solutions can alter the feeding of glass eel, *Anguilla anguilla*. *L. Aquacult. Fish Mgmt.*, 22, 47 - 56.
- Jankowska, B., Zakes, Z., Zmijewski, T. and Szczepkowski, M., 2003.** Fatty acid profile and meat utility of wild and cultured zander, *Sander lucioperca* (L.). *Electronic Journal of Polish Agricultural Universities*, 6, 1-7.
- Kasumyan, A. O. and Doving, K. B., 2003.** Taste preferences in fishes. *Fish and Fisheries*, 4, 289-347.
- Kestemont, P., Xueliang, X., Hamza, N., Maboudou, J. and Toko, I. I., 2007.** Effect of weaning age and diet on pike perch larviculture. *Aquaculture*, 264, 197-204.
- Kiabi, B. H., Abdoli, A. and Naderi, M., 1999.** Status of the fish fauna in the South Caspian Basin of Iran. *Zoology in the Middle East*, 18, 57-65.
- Melard, C., Kestemont, P. and Grignard, J.C., 1996.** Intensive culture of juvenile and adult Eurasian perch (*Perca fluviatilis*): effect of major biotic and abiotic factors on growth. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 12, 175-180.
- Polat, A. and Beklevik, G., 1998.** The importance of betaine and some attractive substances as fish feed additives. *Feed Manufacturing in the Mediterranean Region Recent Advances in Research and Technology, Spain*, 217-220.
- Sadeqhi, H., 2004.** Effect of different levels of betaine instead of choline kolorid in the diet for Comparison

- of growth performance, body composition and survival rate of (*oncorhynchus mykiss*). PhD thesis in Veterinary Public. Tehran University Faculty of Veterinary Medicine. 15-29.
- Sattari, M., Shahsevandi, D. and Shafiee, S., 2003.** Ichthyology 2 (systematic). 1st ed. Haq shenas. pp. 54-68.
- Steffens, W., Geldhauser, F., Gerstner, P. and Hilge, V., 1996.** German experiences in the propagation and rearing of fingerling pike perch (*Stizostedion lucioperca*). *Ann. Zool. Fennici.*, 33, 627 - 634.
- Szkudlarek, M. and Zakes, Z. 2007.** Effect of stocking density on survival and growth performance of pikeperch, *Sander lucioperca* (L.), larvae under controlled conditions. *Aquacult. Int*, 15, 67-81.
- Verreth, J. and Kleyn, K., 1987.** The effect of biomanipulation of the zooplankton on the growth, feeding and survival of pike perch (*Stizostedion lucioperca*) in nursing ponds. *Journal of Applied Ichthyology*, 3, 13-23.
- Woynarowich, E., 1960.** Aufzucht der Zanderlarven bis zum Raubfischalter. *Zeitschrift für Fischerei*, 9, 73-83.
- Yilmaz, M. and Ablak, O., 2003.** The feeding behavior of pike perch (*Sander lucioperca* (L. 1758)) living in Hirfanli dam lake. *Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci*, 27, 1159-1165.
- Zakes, Z., 1997.** Converting pond-reared pike perch fingerlings, *Stizostedion lucioperca* (L.) to artificial food-effect of water temperature. *Arch Polish Fish*, 5, 313-324.