

Research Article

# Multi-regression models to describe some effective parameters in the acute toxicity of silver nanoparticles on the *Artemia franciscana*

Ziaei-nejad S.<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Fisheries, Natural Resources Faculty, Behbahan Khatam Alanbia University of Technology, Behbahan, Iran

\* Correspondence: zbsaeed@yahoo.com

## Keywords

Nanotechnology,  
Silver nanoparticles,  
*Artemia franciscana*,  
Aquatic toxicity

## Abstract

The increasing use of consumer products containing nanomaterials (NMs) or utilizing nanotechnology has raised concerns about potential environmental risks associated with NMs. The toxicity of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) in saltwater microcrustaceans, specifically *Artemia franciscana*, was investigated in this study. This study focused on the key factors of AgNPs concentration and exposure time. To evaluate toxicity, instar I *Artemia* nauplii were exposed to various concentrations of AgNPs (ranging from 0 to 10 mg/L) following the ISO/TS 20787 guideline. Immobilization rates were recorded at 12, 24, and 48 h post-exposure, and the Probit test was used for the statistical analysis. The results indicated significant toxicity to *Artemia* with an EC<sub>50</sub> value of 4.18 mg/L after 48 hours. Significant relationships were found between immobility and the variables (exposure time and AgNPs concentration) through the use of multiple regression analysis for immobilization. The model explained a high percentage of immobilization variation (R-squared value: 97.74%). The study emphasizes the importance of 'exposure time' and 'concentration' in determining toxicity. The interaction effect between the parameters (concentration and exposure time) was significant. AgNPs concentration had a greater impact on increasing *Artemia* immobility compared to the exposure time. However, whether the same ranking applies to chronic toxicity or other organisms besides *Artemia* is uncertain. Understanding the relationship between exposure to NPs and their toxicity is crucial for the safe development of nanotechnology. Future research should address these questions to provide further insights and enable environmentally responsible risk assessments of AgNPs.

## Article info

Received: January 2024

Accepted: February 2024

Published: March 2024



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## Introduction

The use of nanoparticles (NPs) is steadily increasing worldwide, but their environmental impacts, particularly on aquatic organisms, remain largely unknown (Benn and Westerhoff., 2008). Silver element is one of the most widely used NPs with numerous desirable applications due to its antimicrobial properties (Farkas *et al.*, 2011). Although nanotechnology offers many positive benefits to the environment and aquaculture (*e.g.* findings of Ziaei-nejad *et al.*, 2020; 2021a; 2021b), it also has the potential for adverse effects (Chen and Schluesener, 2008), affecting various aspects of human life.

Toxicological studies have reported the effects of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on several marine organisms, including brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*) (Arulvasu *et al.*, 2014; An *et al.*, 2019; Demarchi *et al.*, 2020), Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) (Bouallegui *et al.*, 2018), Copepod (*Amphiascus tenuiremis*) (Sikder *et al.*, 2018), white leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) (Lam *et al.*, 2020), and brine shrimp (*Artemia parthenogenetica*) (Do *et al.*, 2023). In this context, several parameters play a role in the toxicity of NPs to *Artemia* (Asadi Dokht Lish *et al.*, 2019). Among them, the concentration of NPs and the exposure time are considered to be the most important factors (Arulvasu *et al.*, 2014; Vijayan *et al.*, 2014; An *et al.*, 2019). However, it has not been determined which of these parameters has a greater impact on the toxicity of NPs. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the contribution of the two main parameters, namely concentration and exposure time, on the toxicity of NPs using modeling and statistical methods.

*Artemia* is a microcrustacean with a non-selective filter-feeding property that consumes particles smaller than 50 microns. Due to its crucial role in providing nutrition during the early life stages of aquatic animals, the absence of *Artemia* as live feed would make larviculture impossible for many aquatic species. Additionally, enriched *Artemia* is utilized as a source of food supplements, vitamins, and pharmaceuticals (Sharma *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, *Artemia* serves as a bioindicator in highly saline waters. *Artemia franciscana* is a non-indigenous and invasive species reported in Iran, specifically from Maharlo Lake and Nog Lake (Rodgers, 2006). This study aims to investigate the acute toxicity of AgNPs on *A. franciscana* at different concentrations and exposure times. Furthermore, it seeks to develop a regression model to assess the impact of these two parameters on the toxicity of this emerging contaminant (EC).

## Materials and methods

*Silver nanoparticles and characterizations*  
Colloidal silver nanoparticles, known as type L (L2000) and commercially available under the name "Nanocid," were supplied by Nano Nasb Pars Co. (Tehran, Iran). According to the manufacturer, this product is a water-based colloid containing spherical silver nanoparticles with a concentration of 4000 mg/L and an average size of 16.6 nanometers. The comprehensive specifications of this colloidal product have been subject to detailed analysis and previously documented in studies by Asghari *et al.* (2012), Johari *et al.* (2013), and Johari *et al.* (2015).

### Toxicity experiments

To obtain *Artemia* nauplii for the experiment, the cysts (Inve, Belgium) were hatched according to the method described by Sorgeloos *et al.* (2001). Hatching was performed at pH 7.8, temperature 27°C, and light intensity 2000 lux. Artificial saline water comprised of tap water and 30 g of sea salt was used as the hatching medium (Sorgeloos *et al.*, 2001).

The toxicity of AgNPs on *Artemia franciscana* nauplii was assessed according to ISO/TS 20787 (ISO, 2017) (Asadi Dokht Lish *et al.*, 2019). Newly hatched nauplii (instar I) were exposed to AgNPs at eight different concentrations (0, 0.2, 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 mg/L) in glass Petri dishes, with each dish containing 20 nauplii. Each concentration was replicated in triplicate. *Artemia* immobility was recorded at 12, 24, and 48 h (Rahnama *et al.*, 2018).

### Statistical and data analysis

Immobilization data were analyzed using Probit Analysis software (version 2.0) to calculate effective concentration values (EC<sub>10</sub>, EC<sub>50</sub>, and EC<sub>90</sub>) (Asadi Dokht Lish *et al.*, 2019). The normality of the data was assessed using a Normal Probability Plot. The Contour Plot diagram illustrating the relationship between *artemia* immobility, exposure time, and AgNPs concentration was generated using Minitab statistical software (version 21). The Poisson Regression Analysis in Minitab software (version 21) was used to obtain the regression model between the parameters. Finally, the model and the parameters involved were checked using multiple regression from various aspects such as the significance of the relationship between the


parameters at the 95% level, the percentage of the variables explained by the model, the incremental impact of variables, and the model building sequence.

### Results

The results of the toxicity assessment of AgNPs on *A. franciscana* instar I nauplii are presented in Table 1 and Figures 2 to 4. Concentrations causing 10%, 50%, and 90% immobilization in *Artemia* after 12, 24, and 48 hours of exposure to AgNPs (mg/L) have been shown in Table 1. The EC<sub>50</sub> after 48 hours of exposure to NPs was 4.18 mg/L. As seen, all effective concentrations at 48 hours show a significant reduction compared to 12 and 24 hours (Table 1). However, overlay the results indicated that immobility in each of the three time intervals increased by increasing in NPs concentration. That is to say, less concentration of AgNPs is needed to reach 50 percent immobilization by a longer exposure period. It means that an EC<sub>50</sub> value in the first 12 h of the experiment always was higher than EC<sub>50</sub> at 24h and 48 h.

**Table 1: Concentrations (mg/L) causing 10%, 50%, and 90% immobilization of instar I nauplii of *Artemia franciscana* after 12, 24 and 48 hours of exposure to AgNPs. (EC: effective concentration).**


ECs	Time (hours)		
	12	24	48
EC <sub>10</sub>	3.12	2.69	1.30
EC <sub>50</sub>	8.65	7.25	4.18
EC <sub>90</sub>	20.89	19.48	13.44



**Figure 1: Normal Probability Plot (response is immobility) of variables (exposure time and AgNPs concentration).**

The normal probability plot (Fig. 1) indicates that the majority of the research data align closely with the normal probability line (red line), suggesting that the data follow a normal distribution. The regression model and the contour plot (Fig. 2) demonstrate a positive correlation

between *Artemia* immobilization and both exposure time and AgNPs concentration. *Artemia* immobility increased as the exposure time and AgNPs concentration increased.




**Figure 2: Contour Plot of *A. franciscana* immobility vs exposure time and AgNPs concentration.**

The summary report of multiple regression for immobility reveals a significant relationship between immobilization rate and the variables "exposure time" and

"AgNPs concentration". Furthermore, the model explains a high percentage of the variation, as indicated by an R-squared value of 97.74%. This suggests that 97.74%

of the variation in immobilization can be explained by the regression model. The final *P*-value, less than 0.001, indicates a statistically significant relationship

between the parameters and *Artemia* immobilization (Fig. 3).



**Figure 3: Summary report of multiple regression for *Artemia* immobilization rate vs exposure time and AgNPs concentration.**

According to the regression analysis results, the following model explains the *Artemia* immobilization in different AgNPs concentrations and at different times:


$$Y = 0.224 + 0.1939 * X1 + 0.02223 * X2$$

Where, Y is the immobilization rate, X1 is AgNPs concentration, and X2 is exposure time (hours).

The model highlights that the interaction effect between exposure time and AgNPs concentration is significant, but the AgNPs

concentration factor has a greater impact on increasing *artemia* immobilization.

Figure 4 illustrates the contribution of each parameter. The incremental impact of variables (Fig. 4, right-hand graph) indicates that AgNPs concentration (represented by the longer bar) provides the most significant information to the model, contributing up to 80.12%. In contrast, the contribution of exposure time (hours) amounts to 21.46%.



**Figure 4: Model Building Report of multiple regression for *Artemia* immobilization rate vs exposure time and AgNPs concentration (X1: AgNPs concentration, X2: exposure time (hours)). Incremental Impact of Variables (Right Hand) and Model Building Sequence (Left Hand).**

Model Building Sequence (Fig. 4, left-hand graph) illustrates the order in which terms were added or removed based on adjusted R-squared. Adjusted R-squared is used when comparing models with different numbers of predictors. The graph indicates that in the first stage when the "exposure time" variable is included alone, the adjusted R-squared exceeds 75.40%. In the second stage, when the model solely includes the "concentration of AgNPs" variable, the adjusted R-squared increases to over 93.73%. Finally, in the third stage, when both variables are incorporated into the model together, the adjusted R-squared further improves to 97.39%. These results demonstrate that both "exposure time" and "concentration of AgNPs" contribute to the model's performance and improve it.

## Discussion

The findings of this study provide important insights into the acute toxicity of silver nanoparticles on brine shrimp (*Artemia franciscana*). The  $EC_{50}$  value obtained after 48 hours of exposure to AgNPs was determined to be 4.18 mg/L, indicating that the colloid of AgNPs can be classified as a toxic substance for this aquatic species. According to European Union regulations (EC, 1999), substances with  $EC_{50}$  values falling between 1 and 10 mg/L are considered toxic and can have adverse effects on aquatic ecosystems.

Comparing our findings with the previous study (Zhao and Wang, 2012), it can be inferred that AgNPs exhibit lower toxicity in saltwater environments than in freshwater. *Artemia*, serving as an indicator species for highly saline waters, exhibits greater resilience to AgNPs in comparison

to freshwater aquatic organisms. The presence of high ionic attraction forces, particularly with chlorine ions, in saltwater significantly mitigates the toxicity of silver ions (Hogstrand and Wood, 1998). Numerous studies have reported the reduction of silver ion toxicity with increasing salinity (Ferguson and Hogstrand, 1998). In saltwater environments, the concentration of chlorine ions naturally rises, leading to the formation of silver chloride compounds, such as silver chloride (Webb and Wood, 2000). These compounds precipitate and are thereby removed from the reach of aquatic organisms inhabiting the water column. Conversely, in freshwater environments, the low concentration of chlorine ions prevents the formation of silver chloride compounds. Consequently, if silver ions enter freshwater, they persist as free ions within the water column, representing the most toxic form of silver that can cause severe toxicity in aquatic organisms. In the case of freshwater fish, silver ions disrupt the activity of the  $Na^+/K^+$ ATPase enzyme and reduce the activity of the carbonic anhydrase enzyme in the gills, impeding the absorption of chloride and sodium ions through the gills and leading to blood acidification (Klaire *et al.*, 2008).

Silver nanoparticles are renowned for their antibacterial effects, and their potential application in aquatic health has been investigated. Consequently, it is crucial to ascertain the lethal/effective/inhibitory concentrations and maximum permissible concentration of these substances in different aquatic

species, including *Artemia*, which serves as a primary food source for fish larvae.

Toxicological studies have been conducted to evaluate the impacts of silver nanoparticles on various marine organisms, such as the Mediterranean mussel (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) (Bouallegui *et al.*, 2018), Copepoda (*Amphiascus tenuiremis*) (Sikder *et al.*, 2018), white leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) (Lam *et al.*, 2020), and *Artemia* (Kumar *et al.*, 2012; Vijayan *et al.*, 2014; Arulvasu *et al.*, 2014; An *et al.*, 2019; Do *et al.*, 2023). Moreover, several studies have highlighted the significant role of concentration and exposure time in determining the toxicity of AgNPs. For instance, Arulvasu *et al.*, (2014) and Demarchi *et al.*, (2020) investigated the toxicity of AgNPs in *Artemia salina* and observed increased immobility with higher concentrations and longer exposure times to the NPs. However, to date, there has been a lack of scientific investigations determining the relative significance of various parameters in inducing the toxicity of AgNPs. In fact, it is necessary to study which parameter is more influential in the toxicity of these substances.

Our study's findings indicate that *Artemia* immobilization rate increased with higher concentrations and longer durations of exposure to AgNPs. Through the analysis of regression model indices, we have established that the model fits the data well and can be utilized to predict *Artemia* immobility for specific values of exposure time and concentration of AgNPs. This study suggests that concentration plays a stronger role in the acute toxicity of AgNPs on *Artemia* compared to the exposure duration. Nonetheless, it remains uncertain

whether the same ranking applies to chronic toxicity or other organisms beyond *artemia*. Future research endeavors should focus on addressing these questions to provide deeper insights into the toxicity mechanisms of silver nanoparticles.

### Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a grant from Behbahan Khatam Alanbia University of Technology, Iran (research project number 6613/2/3 BKATU). The author would like to especially thank Dr. Seyed Ali Johari for editing the paper.

### Conflicts of interest

The author declares no conflict of interest.

### References

- An, H.J., Sarkheil, M., Park, H.S., Yu, I.J. and Johari, S.A., 2019. Comparative toxicity of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) and silver nanowires (AgNWs) on saltwater microcrustacean, *Artemia salina*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology*, 218, 62–69. DOI:10.1016/j.cbpc.2019.01.002
- Arulvasu, C., Jennifer, S.M., Prabhu, D. and Chandhirasekar, D., 2014. Toxicity effect of silver nanoparticles in brine shrimp *Artemia*. *The Scientific World Journal*, 256919. DOI:10.1155/2014/256919
- Asadi Dokht Lish, R., Johari, S.A., Sarkheil, M. and Yu, I.J., 2019. On how environmental and experimental conditions affect the results of aquatic nanotoxicology on brine shrimp (*Artemia salina*): A case of silver

- nanoparticles toxicity. *Environmental Pollution*, 255, 113358. DOI:10.1016/j.envpol.2019.113358
- Asghari S., Johari S.A., Lee J.H., Kim Y.S., Jeon Y.B., Choi H.J., Moon M.C. and Yu I.J., 2012.** Toxicity of various silver nanoparticles compared to silver ions in *Daphnia magna*. *Journal of Nanobiotechnology*, 10, 14. DOI:10.1186/1477-3155-10-14
- Benn, T.M. and Westerhoff, P., 2008.** Nanoparticle silver released into water from commercially available sock fabrics. *Environmental Science Technology*, 42, 4133–4139. DOI:10.1021/es7032718
- Bouallegui, Y., Ben Younes, R., Bellamine, H. and Oueslati, R., 2018.** Histopathological indices and inflammatory response in the digestive gland of the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* as biomarker of immunotoxicity to silver nanoparticles. *Biomarkers*, 23, 277–287. DOI:10.1080/1354750X.2017.1409803
- Chen, X. and Schluesener, H.J., 2008.** Nanosilver: a nanoparticle in medical application. *Toxicological Letter*. 176, 1–12. DOI:10.1016/j.toxlet.2007.10.004
- Demarchi, C.A., da Silva, L.M., Niedźwiecka, A., Ślowska-Waniewska, A., Lewińska, S., Dal Magro, J., Fossá Calisto, J.F., Martello, R. and Rodrigues, C.A., 2020.** Nanoecotoxicology study of the response of magnetic O-Carboxymethylchitosan loaded silver nanoparticles on *Artemia salina*. *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 74, 103298. DOI:10.1016/j.etap.2019.103298
- Do M.A., Dang H.T., Doan N.T., Pham H.L.T., Tran T.A., Le V.C.T., Young T. and Le D.V., 2023.** Silver nanoparticle toxicity on *Artemia parthenogenetica* nauplii hatched on axenic tryptic soy agar solid medium. *Scientific Reports*, 13, 6365. DOI:10.1038/s41598-023-33626-w
- EC: Annex VI of Directive 1999/45/EC** to consolidated version of directive 67/548/EEC. General classification and labeling requirements for dangerous substances and preparations. 1999
- Farkas, J., Christian, P., Gallego-Urrea, J. A., Roos, N., Hasselov, M., Tollefsen, K.E. and Thomas, K.V., 2011.** Uptake and effects of manufactured silver nanoparticles in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) gill cells. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 101, 117–125. DOI:10.1016/j.aquatox.2010.09.010
- Ferguson, E.A. and Hogstrand, C., 1998.** Acute silver toxicity to seawater-acclimated rainbow trout: Influence of salinity on toxicity and silver speciation. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 17(4), 589–93. DOI:10.1002/etc.5620170409
- Hogstrand, C. and Wood, C.M., 1998.** Toward a better understanding of the bioavailability, physiology, and toxicity of silver in fish: implications for water quality criteria. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 17(4), 547–61. DOI:10.1002/etc.5620170405
- ISO/TS 20787, 2017.** Nanotechnologies - Aquatic Toxicity Assessment of



- Manufactured Nanomaterials in Saltwater Lakes Using *Artemia* sp. Geneva: nauplii, ISO.
- Johari, S.A., Kalbassi, M. R., Soltani, M. and Yu, I. J., 2013.** Toxicity comparison of colloidal silver nanoparticles in various life stages of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 12(1), 76-95. DOI: 20.1001.1.15622916.2013.12.1.7.0
- Johari, S.A., Kalbassi, M. R., Soltani, M. and Yu, I.J., 2015.** Study of fungicidal properties of colloidal silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) on trout egg pathogen, *Saprolegnia* sp. *International Journal of Aquatic Biology*, 3(3), 191–198. DOI:10.22034/ijab.v3i3.97
- Klaine, S.J., Alvarez, P.J.J., Batley, G.E., Fernandes, T.F., Handy, R.D. and Lyon, D.Y., 2008.** Nanomaterials in the environment: behavior, fate, bioavailability, and effects. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 27(9), 1825-51. DOI:10.1897/08-090.1
- Kumar, P., Selvi, S.S., Praba, A.L., Selvaraj, M., Rani, L.M., Suganthi, P. and Govindaraju, M., 2012.** Antibacterial activity and in-vitro cytotoxicity assay against brine shrimp using silver nanoparticles synthesized from *Sargassum ilicifolium*. *Digest Journal of Nanomaterials and Biostructures*, 7(4), 1447-1455.
- Lam, P.H., Le, M.T., Dang, D.M.T., Doan, T.C.D., Tu, N.P.C. and Dang, C.M., 2020.** Safe concentration of silver nanoparticles in solution for white leg shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) farming. *Biological and Chemical Research*, 7, 35-45.
- Rahnama, R., Tulaby Dezfuly Z. and Alishahi, M., 2018.** Acute Toxicity of Herbicides on the Survival of Adult Shrimp, *Artemia franciscana*. *Iranian Journal of Toxicology*, 12(6), 45-51. DOI:10.32598/IJT.12.6.557.1
- Rodgers, P., 2006.** Nanofabrication: Top down, bottom up. *Nature Nanotechnology*, DOI:10.1038/nnano.2006.87.
- Sharma, K., Yngard, R.A. and Lin, Y., 2009.** Silver nanoparticles: Green synthesis and their antimicrobial activities. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 145, 83-96. DOI:10.1016/j.cis.2008.09.002
- Sikder, M., Eudy, E., Chandler, G.T. and Baalousha, M., 2018.** Comparative study of dissolved and nanoparticulate Ag effects on the life cycle of an estuarine meiobenthic copepod, *Amphiascus tenuiremis*. *Nanotoxicology*, 12, 375–389. DOI:10.1080/17435390.2018.1451568
- Sorgeloos, P., Dehert, P. and Candreva, P., 2001.** Use of the brine shrimp, *Artemia* spp. in marine fish larviculture. *Aquaculture*, 200, 147-159. DOI:10.1016/S0044-8486(01)00698-6
- Vijayan, S. R., Santhiyagu, P., Singamuthu, M., Ahila, N.K., Jayaraman, R. and Ethiraj, K., 2014.** Synthesis and characterization of silver and gold nanoparticles using aqueous extract of seaweed, *Turbinaria conoides*, and their antimicrofouling activity, *The Scientific World Journal*, 938272. DOI:10.1155/2014/938272

- Webb, N.A. and Wood, C.M., 2000.** Bioaccumulation and distribution of silver in four marine teleosts and two marine elasmobranchs: influence of exposure duration, concentration, and salinity. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 49(1-2), 111-29. DOI:10.1016/s0166-445x(99)00063-6
- Zhao, C.M. and Wang, W.X., 2012.** Importance of surface coatings and soluble silver in silver nanoparticles toxicity to *Daphnia magna*. *Nanotoxicology*, 6(4), 361-70. DOI:10.3109/17435390.2011.579632
- Ziaei-nejad, S., Shojaei, S. and Amini Chermahini, M., 2020.** Effects of enriched artemia with selenium nanoparticles on growth, survival and biochemical factors of guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Science*, 19(5), 2593-2607. DOI:20.1001.1.15622916.2020.19.5.37.7
- Ziaei-nejad, S., Karami Abaei, N., Nemat Doost, B. and Johari S.A., 2021a.** Effects of supplemental feeding of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) with iron nanoparticles and probiotic *Lactobacillus* on blood biochemical factors. *Biology Bulletin*, 48, 177–184. DOI:10.1134/S1062359021020163
- Ziaei-nejad, S., Hosseini, S.M. and Seyed Mortezaei, S.R., 2021b.** Effects of selenium nanoparticles supplemented feed on biochemical indices, growth and survival of yellow-tail seabream (*Acanthopagrus latus*). *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 23(5), 1001-1011. DOI:20.1001.1.16807073.2021.23.5.16.7