

Commensalism and parasitic infestation in crayfish (*Astacus leptodactylus* Eschscholtz, 1823) of Aras Dam Reservoir, Iran

Yahyazadeh M.Y.^{1*}; Seidgar M.¹; Mehrabi M.R.²; Shiri S.¹

Received: March 2015

Accepted: February 2016

Abstract

The freshwater crayfish of the Aras Reservoir is an important economic fisheries resource of West Azarbaijan, Iran. This study was conducted to evaluate the prevalence of parasitic infestation of Crayfish seasonally in this area in 2010. Among 390 different sizes of *Astacus leptodactylus* which were examined, a range of ectocommensals or ectosymbionts from a number of different phyla including 9 orders and 11 classes infested the different anatomic units of the surface and appendages such as gills, head, thorax, abdomen, walking legs, uropod, telson, antennae and antennulae of freshwater crayfish. Common groups such as peritrich ciliates, suctorian ciliates, free living nematodes, branchiobdellids, and algae, copepods, rotifers and oligochaetes have also been observed in association with freshwater crayfish.

Keywords: *Astacus leptodactylus*, Parasitic and commensal infestation, Aras Dam, West Azarbaijan, Iran.

1- National Artemia Research Center, Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute (IFSRI), Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Urmia, Iran

2- Iranian Fisheries Science Research Institute, Agricultural Research Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author's Email: Yahyazadeh22002@yahoo.com

Introduction

Astacus leptodactylus of Aras Reservoir is considered as one of the important economic aquatic animal resources of Iran. It provides a luxurious and delicious but expensive meal in most countries. Regarding the increase of resource management and culture of freshwater crayfish in the USA and European countries, its economic values, health and disease status has become of great important recently. Though it has received worldwide attention, the health condition and pathogen agents of this valuable species especially from parasitic infestation have not been studied completely. The complexity, variety and abundance of opportunistic and pathogen factors in natural and culture environments of aquatic animals and their impact on survival, growth, reproduction of aquatic animals and human being health hazards are among restricting and hazardous factors including freshwater crayfish. Parasitic infestations of freshwater crayfish are varied all over the world regarding the geographical distribution, natural habitats and parasitic sites. They can cause infestations and even epidemic diseases depending on bioenvironmental conditions. Crayfish has an important role as a co existent among most invertebrates and may be a host for a variety of parasites (Lodge and Hill, 1994; Edgerton *et al.*, 2002; Evans and Edgerton, 2002). Parasitic infestation and diseases of fresh water crayfish are divided into protozoa, metazoans and

epicommensal organisms. This study was performed to determine and identify the parasitic organisms in *A. leptodactylus* of Aras Dam.

Materials and methods

390 samples of *A. leptodactylus* of various lengths and weights were captured randomly with conical traps in different seasons of 2010. All samples were transferred live to the laboratory of Iranian Artemia Research Center, Urmia in polyurethane boxes containing ice. In the laboratory, the samples were weighted, measured and examined. In order to determine protozoan and metazoan infestations, external parts of all samples were examined and wet mounts from carapace, branch, eye, intestine and hepatopancreas were prepared and examined by light and stereomicroscope. All collected parasitic agents were isolated, fixed, stained and classified using Moszynsky, 1938; Bykhovskaya-Pavlovskaya *et al.*, 1964; Alderman and Polglase, 1988; Hall, 2001. Then some external parts such as branch, telson and carapace were placed separately in petri dishes, NaCl solution was added to them and they were examined for external metazoan and parasites of internal organs using a stereomicroscope.

Results

Some biometrical characters of sampled *A. leptodactylus* are shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Some biometrical characters of sampled *Astacus leptodactylus*.

| <i>A. leptodactylus</i> | | Weight (g) | | | Length (mm) | | |
|-------------------------|--------|------------|---------|-------|-------------|---------|-------|
| Sex | Number | Maximum | Minimum | Mean | Maximum | Minimum | Mean |
| M | 232 | 118 | 4 | 31.39 | 155 | 60 | 82.9 |
| F | 157 | 78 | 7 | 28.97 | 150 | 75 | 36.10 |

In this study 9 phyla and 11 classes consisting 40 species of various opportunistic and epicomensal protozoa and metazoans were isolated from some parts of crayfish body. These included various ciliate (Prêtrich, Loricata), free living Nematodes, Annelids, Copepoda, Rotatoria, etc. the list of isolated parasites and commensal organisms' species were summarized and illustrated in Tables 2-4.

In this study, parasitic infestation of internal organs was not observed.

Most of these organisms live as epibiont on cephalothoraxes, branch, pereopods, antennules, rostrum and eyes of *A. leptodactylus*. Ciliophora and Arthropoda, Prorodontidae, Adenophorea, Naviculaceae, Gastrotricha, Tubulinea had the highest and lowest abundance, respectively.

Table2: Isolated parasites and species of commensal organisms from the Aras Dam crayfish.

| Kingdom | Phylum | Class | Family | Genus/ Species |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| <i>Animalia</i> | <i>Rotifera</i> | <i>Monogonta</i> | <i>lepadelilidae</i> | <i>Lepadella patella</i> |
| " | " | <i>Monogonta</i> | <i>Trichocercidae</i> | <i>Trichocerca cylindrical</i> |
| " | " | <i>Monogonta</i> | <i>Notommatidae</i> | <i>Monommata grandis</i> |
| " | " | <i>Monogonta</i> | <i>Brachionidae</i> | <i>Brachionus plicatilis</i> |
| " | " | <i>Bdelloidea</i> | <i>Philodinidae</i> | <i>Philodina roseola</i> |
| " | " | <i>Monogonta</i> | <i>Epiphanidae</i> | <i>Epiphanes senta</i> |
| " | <i>Annelida</i> | <i>Clitellata</i> | <i>Branchiobdellidae</i> | <i>Branchiobdella sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Oligochaeta</i> | <i>Naididae</i> | <i>Nais sp.</i> |
| " | <i>Gastrotricha</i> | <i>Gastrotriches</i> | <i>Chaetonotidae</i> | <i>Chaetonotus sp.</i> |
| " | <i>Arthropoda</i> | <i>Maxillopoda</i> | <i>Cyclopidae</i> | <i>Mesocyclops sp</i> |
| " | <i>Nematoda</i> | <i>Chromadorea</i> | - | <i>Nematod sp.</i> |
| <i>protozoa</i> | <i>Ciliophora</i> | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Parameciidae</i> | <i>Paramecium sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Vaginicolidae</i> | <i>Pyxicola sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Halteriidae</i> | <i>Halteria sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Cyclidiidae</i> | <i>Cyclidium sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Urostylidae</i> | <i>Urostyla sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Amphileptidae</i> | <i>Amphileptus sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Dendrosomatidae</i> | <i>Tokophrya sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Chilododontidae</i> | <i>Chilodonella sp.</i> |
| " | " | <i>Ciliatea</i> | <i>Vorticellidae</i> | <i>Vorticella sp</i> |

Table 2 (continued):

| Kingdom | Phylum | Class | Family | Genus/ Species |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Vorticellidae</i> | <i>Zoothamnium</i> sp.. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Strombidiidae</i> | <i>Strombidium</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Tetrahymenidae</i> | <i>Tetrahymena</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Acinetidae</i> | <i>Acineta</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Colepidae</i> | <i>Coleps</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Operculariidae</i> | <i>Opercularia</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Didiniidae</i> | <i>Didinium</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Oxytrichidae</i> | <i>Stylonychia</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Tracheliidae</i> | <i>Trachelius</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Tracheliidae</i> | <i>Dileptus</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Euplotidae</i> | <i>Euplotes</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>ciliata</i> | <i>Podophryidae</i> | <i>Paracineta</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Podophryidae</i> | <i>Podophrya</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Prorodontidae</i> | <i>Prorodon</i> sp |
| " | " | <i>Ciliata</i> | <i>Uronematidae</i> | <i>Uronema</i> sp |
| " | Protozoa | <i>Heliozoa</i> | <i>Actinophryidae</i> | <i>Actinophrys</i> sp. |
| " | " | <i>Lobosa</i> | <i>Amoebidae</i> | <i>Amoebae</i> sp. |
| Chromista | Heterokonta | <i>Bacillariophyceae</i> | <i>Naviculaceae</i> | <i>Navicula</i> sp. |
| Chromista | " | <i>Bacillariophyceae</i> | <i>Cymbellaceae</i> | <i>Cymbella</i> sp. |
| plantae | Chlorophyta | <i>Chlorophyceae</i> | <i>Chlamydomonadaceae</i> | <i>Chlamydomonas</i> sp. |

Table 3: Distribution of parasitic and commensal organism infestation on different parts of *Astacus leptodactylus* captured from Aras Dam.

| Parasitic agents | Skin | Abdomen | Branch and cephalothorax |
|-------------------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| <i>Lepadella patella</i> | + | + | + |
| <i>Trichocerca cylindrica</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Monommata grandis</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Philodina roseola</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Brachionus plicatilis</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Epiphanes</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Paramecium</i> | + | + | + |
| <i>Pyxicola</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Halteria</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Cyclidium</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Urostyla</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Amphileptus</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Tokophrya</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Chiliodenella</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Vorticella</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Strombidium</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Tetrahymena</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Acineta</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Coleps</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Didinium</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Stylonychia</i> | + | + | + |

Table 3 (continued) :

| Parasitic agents | Skin | Abdomen | Branch and cephalothorax |
|-----------------------|------|---------|--------------------------|
| <i>Trachelius</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Dileptus</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Euplotes</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Chlamydomonas</i> | + | - | + |
| <i>Paracineta</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Podophrya</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Uronema sp</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Zoothamnium</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Navicula</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Cymbella</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Nais</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Branchiobdella</i> | + | - | + |
| <i>Chaetonotus</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Amoebae</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Prorodo sp</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Opercolaria</i> | - | + | + |
| <i>Mesocyclops</i> | - | - | + |
| <i>Actinophrys</i> | - | - | + |

Table 4: The presence of parasitic agents on *Astacus leptodactylus* during different seasons.

| Parasitic factors | Winter | Autumn | Summer | Spring |
|-------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <i>Lepadella patella</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Trichocerca cylindrica</i> | * | - | - | * |
| <i>Monommata grandis</i> | * | - | - | - |
| <i>Philodina roseola</i> | * | * | - | * |
| <i>Coleps</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Paramecium caudatum</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Pyxicola</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Cyclidiidium</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Urostyla</i> | * | * | - | * |
| <i>Amphileptus</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Tokophrya</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Ciliodenella</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Vorticella</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Stombidium</i> | * | - | - | - |
| <i>Opercularia sp</i> | * | * | - | * |
| <i>Tetrahymena Pyriformis</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Mesocyclops</i> | - | - | - | * |
| <i>Prorodon sp</i> | * | * | - | * |
| <i>chlamydomoras</i> | * | - | - | - |
| <i>Uronema sp</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Navicula</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>cymbella</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Acineta</i> | * | * | - | * |
| <i>Amoebae Protcus</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Didinium</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Branchiobdella</i> | - | - | - | * |
| <i>Nais</i> | * | * | - | - |

Table 4 (continued):

| Parasitic factors | Winter | Autumn | Summer | Spring |
|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| <i>branchiobdella</i> | - | * | * | - |
| <i>Chaetonotus</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>mesocyclops</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Actinophrys</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Stylonchia</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Brachionus plicatilis</i> | - | * | * | - |
| <i>Epiphanes</i> | - | * | * | - |
| <i>Halteria</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Trachelius</i> | - | * | * | - |
| <i>Dileptus</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Euplotes</i> | * | * | * | * |
| <i>Paracineta</i> | - | * | * | * |
| <i>Zoothamnium</i> | * | * | * | * |

Discussion

Most of the epibionts were distributed on maxillipods, mandible, maxilla, branch, pereopods, Antennules, rostrum, eyes, uropods and pleopods and telson.

Different species of crustaceans can occur as symbionts, commensal and pathogenic parasites. Invading of opportunistic and commensal parasites such as ciliates, free living nematodes, *Branchiobdella*, *Rotifer*, *Copepods* have been reported on different crustaceans (Leborans and Tato-Porto, 2000a, b; Mayen - Estrada and Aladro - Lubel, 2001a; Edgerton *et al.*, 2002; Duris *et al.*, 2006; Quaglio *et al.*, 2006a; Fernandez -Leborans, 2009; Abedian Amiri *et al.*, 2008). Different parasitic agents isolated from various parts of *A. leptodactylus* including sessile and suctorian ciliates, free living nematodes, annelids, *Branchiodenella*, copepod and rotatoria. The distribution of isolated species varied depending on parasite type so that some of them were restricted to branch carapace or distributed all over the body. Also, their

variety and abundance in larger animals and on bucal area and branch was higher than smaller ones and upon uropods and telson which might be distributed on larger body surfaces for organism attachment and lower molting compared to younger ones (Fernandez-Leborans, 2001, 2009). 15 species of peritrich ciliates have been isolated from different parts of *Capmburcnus patzcuarensis* of the Michigan Lake (Mayen-Estrada *et al.*, 2001b).

Distribution and abundance of parasites is observed more frequently in proximal parts rather than distal parts due to nutritional behavior and morphology of appendices of both crayfish and organisms. The infestation outbreaks of ciliates in fresh water crayfish were varied probably due to water quality, environmental change, molting and various species of crayfish (Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). Although histopathologically, a great deal of ciliates isolated from branch and external surfaces of freshwater crayfish in this study were opportunistic and epicommensal similar to observation of

Brown *et al.* (1993); Morado and Small (1995); Harlioglu (1999); Edgerton *et al.* (2002); Huseyin and Selcuk, (2005); Quaglio *et al.* (2006b); Fernandez–Leborans (2009), some of them may have a negative impact on the host and if they invade branch they can cause respiratory problems, hypoxia and susceptibility to microorganisms and mortality due to environmental factors especially in culture conditions with poor water quality, increased water temperature and overcrowding. Mortality has been reported in penaeid shrimps under poor culture conditions due to severe invading of peritrich ciliates (Shields and Overstreet, 2003) and *Cherax tenuimanus* (Villarreal and Hutchings, 1986; Brown *et al.*, 1993). Also, systemic infection of redclaw crayfish by *Tetrahymna peryformis* was reported from north Queensland and Australia (Edgerton *et al.*, 1996). Ciliated protozoa are the most common and abundant parasites in different crustaceans. Most of them considered as epibionts in fresh water crayfish the heavy infestation of which can affect growth, molting, larvae survival, other organ functions such as that of the eyes, branch, and appendices, and restrict locomotion and cause occlusion of female genital pores, decrease fecundity, feed intake competition and mortality specially in culture environments (Mayen-Estrada and Aladro- Lubel, 2001a; Fernandez–Leborans, 2004; Fernandez–

et al., 2006; Fernandez–Leborans, 2009). Suctorian ciliates such as *Acineta*, *Tokophrya*, *Opercolaria*, *Coterins*, isolated from the Aras Dam *A. leptodactylus* had no contractile stalk, sucker organ (antennules) or pseudo chitin cover and were observed on branch, and the external surface of body as epicommensal organisms. Their transmission is via the aquatic environment and they attach to the body surface of motile larvae and grow up to adult stage and feed on free living ciliated protozoa. In sever infestations they can cause hypoxia on branch (Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). In this study heavy infestation of branch from *Cothurina* spp. was observed. The metazoan parasites including free living nematode, *Branchiobdella* Annelids, and Oligocheta that were isolated in this study were reported as epicommensal or cymbiont which did not cause important diseases in freshwater crayfish (Alderman and Polglase, 1988; Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). Isolated nematodes belonging to free living nematodes were observed on external body surfaces, the bucal area and branch of *A. leptodactylus* samples. There may be a close relationship between the nematodes and the host as they need the crayfish to complete their life cycle (Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). Isolated Branchiobodella species were leech like small nematodes from *Analidae* with a segmented body and ventral and dorsal sucker to attach to

the host. These worms were mentioned as Ectocommensal and Ectosymbiont that settled on branch and body surfaces especially carapace, and bucal area but some of them were external facultative parasites in freshwater crayfish and crustaceans (Alderman and Polglase, 1988; Gelder *et al.*, 1999). *Branchiobodella* were the most common organisms existing on the freshwater crayfish that included several genera. It was estimated that up to now, nearly 150 species were identified from 21 genera the highest numbers of which belonged to *Cambricola* and *Branchiobodella*. The distribution of infestation to these organisms in freshwater crayfish in the north hemisphere has been reported from the north and central parts of America, Europe, Asia (Alderman and Polglase, 1988), cultured crayfish of Iran (Asgharnia, 2008) whereas no infestation has been reported from the southern hemisphere (Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). Also, in this study higher infestation from *Branchiobodella* species was observed on larger *A. leptodactylus* from the Aras Dam than smaller sized ones, especially on the maxillopods and head which could be related to larger body size to parasite colonization, nutritional richness, and lower molting (Cenni *et al.*, 2002; Mori *et al.*, 2002). Also, characterization of their colonization area could be seasonal and related to the presence of *Branchiobodella* species (Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). The life cycle of *Branchiobodella* is not exactly known.

They grew up and developed by laying eggs in cocoons attached to the external surface of the host. It is believed that transmission was through direct contact (Thune, 1994; Edgerton *et al.*, 2002). Also, *Branchiobodella* species have been observed independent and hostless but it is believed that they cannot continue to live without a host and reproduce only when attached to a live crayfish host. However they have been reported on the body surface of isopods and crabs (Brown *et al.*, 2002; Edgerton *et al.*, 2002; Evans and Edgerton, 2002).

There is evidence of branch injury due to accumulation of *B. hexodonta* and *B. actasi* and feeding from tissue specially in sever infestations (Alderman and Polglase, 1988; Vogt, 1999). On the other hand, there are some reports on their positive symbiotic effects on cleaning and eradication of organisms existing on body surface of crayfish, increasing growth and decreasing mortality (Keller, 1992; Brown *et al.*, 2002; Lee *et al.*, 2009).

The Aras Dam is the only natural and economic harvesting resource for *A. leptodactylus* in Iran which enjoys a good stocking capacity. The flowing of domestic, industrial and agricultural sewages into this reservoir can affect the water quality and infection of aquatic animals. Therefore, preservation, conservation, monitoring and management of this vulnerable and economic resource are very important. It seems that interaction among opportunistic or commensally parasitic

agents with their host (crayfish) depends on environmental conditions, immune defense of the host and invasive rate of agents (interaction among host, parasite and environment). Existence of parasitic agents and effects of anthropogenic factors such as overfishing, industrial, domestic and agricultural pollution on aquatic ecosystems and eutrophic condition of the Aras Dam (Mohsenpour Azari, 2010) could change this interaction and due to adverse environmental conditions, weakening of crayfish immunity acts as a predisposing factor for viral, bacterial and fungal diseases of crayfish and other aquatic resources of the Aras Dam Reservoir. Therefore, due to economic and bio-ecological importance of *A. leptodactylus* and less information about its health status, continuous monitoring and good management of the Aras Dam is needed.

References

- Abedian Amiri, A., Afsharnasab, M., Azhdehakoshpur, A. and Radkhah, K., 2008.** A review of the health status and diseases of cultured *Penaeus hndicus* in Sistan-o-Baluchistan Province, Iran. *Iranian Scientific Fisheries Journal*, 16(4), 107-120.
- Alderman, D.J. and Polglase, J.L., 1988.** Pathogens, parasites and commensals. In: Holdich, D.M., Lowery, R.S. (Eds.), *Freshwater crayfish—biology, management and exploitation*. Croom Helm, London, pp. 167–212.
- Asgharnia, M., 2008.** The study of parasitic infestation in *Astacus leptodactylus* in culture environments of Sefid-Rood fisheries research center – Astaneh, Guilan Province. Pajhohesh va Sazandegi in livestock and aquatics, N.78P. (in Persian) .
- Brown, B.L., Creed, R.P., Dobson, W.E., 2002.** Branchiobdellid annelids and their crayfish hosts: are they engaged in a cleaning symbiosis? *Oecologia*, 132, 250–255.
- Brown, P.B., White, M.R., Swann, D.L. and Fuller, M.S., 1993.** A severe outbreak of ectoparasitism due to *Epistylis* sp. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society*, 24 (1), 116–120.
- Bykhovskiyaya-Pavlovskaya, I.E., Gusev, A.V., Dubinina, M.N., Izyumova, N.A., Smirnova T.S., Sokolovskiyaya, I.L., Shtein, G.A., Shul'man, S.S. and Epshtein, V.M., 1964.** Key to parasites of freshwater fish of the U.S.S.R. 919P.
- Cenni F., Crudele, G. Gherardi, F. and Mori, M., 2002.** Infestation rate of Branchiobdellids in *Austropotamobius pallipes italicus* from a stream of central Italy: Preliminary results. *Bulletin*

Francais de la Pêche et de la Pisciculture, 367, 785-792.

Duris, Z., Horka, I., Kristian, J. and Kozak, P., 2006. Some cases of macro-epibiosis on the invasive crayfish *Orconectes limosus* in the Czech Republic. *Bulletin Francais de la Pêche et de la Pisciculture*, 380-381, 1325 - 1337.

Edgerton, B.F., O'Donoghue, P., Wingfield, M. and Owens, L., 1996. Systemic infection of freshwater crayfish *Cherax quadricarinatus* by hymenostome ciliates of the *Tetrahymena pyriformis* complex. *Diseases of Aquatic Organisms*, 27, 123- 129.

Edgerton, B.F., Evans, L.H., Stephens F.J. and Overstreet, R.M., 2002. Review of freshwater crayfish diseases and commensal organisms. *Aquaculture Annual Review of Fish Diseases*, 206, 57-135.

Edgerton, B.F., Evans, L.H., Stephens, F.J. and Overstreet, R.M., 2002. Synopsis of freshwater crayfish diseases and commensal organisms. *Aquaculture*, 206, 57-135.

Evans, L.H. and Edgerton, B.F., 2002. Pathogens, parasites and commensals. In: *Biology of freshwater crayfish* (ed. Holdich DM), Blackwell Science, UK , 377-438.

Fernandez-Leborans, G. and Tato-Porto, M.L., 2000a. A review of the species of protozoan epibionts on

crustaceans Suctorian ciliates. *Crustaceana*, 73, 1205-1237.

Fernandez-Leborans, G. and Tato-Porto, M.L., 2000b. A review of the species of protozoan epibionts on crustaceans I Peritrich ciliates. *Crustaceana*, 73, 643-684.

Fernandez-Leborans, G., 2001. A review of the species of protozoan epibionts on crustaceans. III. Chonotrich ciliates. *Crustaceana*, 74, 581-607.

Fernandez-Leborans, G., 2004. Comparative distribution of protozoan epibionts on *Mysis relicta* Loven, 1869 (Mysidacea) from three lakes in Northern Europe. *Crustaceana*, 76, 1037-1054.

Fernandez-Leborans, G., Zitzler, K. and Gabilondo, R., 2006. Epibiont protozoan communities on *Caridina lanceolata* (Crustacea, Decapoda) from the Malili lakes of Sulawesi (Indonesia). *Zoologische Anzeiger*, 245, 167-191.

Fernandez-Leborans, G., 2009. A review of recently described epibioses of ciliate protozoa on crustacea, *Crustaceana*, 82, 167-189.

Gelder, S.R., Delmastro, G.B. and Rayburn, J.N., 1999. Distribution of native and exotic branchiobdellidans (Annelida: Clitellata) on their respective crayfish hosts in northern Italy, with the first record of native *Branchiobdella* species on an exotic North American crayfish. *Journal of Limnology*, 58, 20-24.

- Hall, R.P., 2001.** Protozoology, Publisher: International Book Distributing Co. 682P.
- Harlioglu, M.M., 1999.** The first record of *Epistylis niagarae* on *Astacus leptodactylus* in a crayfish rearing unit. *Turkish Journal of Zoology*, 23, 13–15.
- Hüseyin, S. and Selcuk, B., 2005.** Prevalence of *Epistylis* sp. Ehrenberg, 1832 (Peritrichia, Sessilida) on the narrow-clawed crayfish, *Astacus leptodactylus* (Eschscholtz, 1823) from Manyas Lake in Turkey. *Journal of Animal Veterinary Advanced*, 4, 789–793.
- Keller, T.A., 1992.** The effect of the branchiobdellid annelid *Cambarincola fallax* on the growth rate and condition of the crayfish *Orconectes rusticus*. *Journal of Freshwater Ecology*, 7(2), 165–171.
- Lee, J.H., Kim, T.W. and Choe, J.C., 2009.** Commensalism or mutualism: conditional outcomes in a branchiobdellid-crayfish symbiosis. *Oecologia*, 159, 217–224.
- Lodge, D.M., and Hill, A.H., 1994.** Factors governing species composition, population size, and productivity of cool-water crayfishes. *Nordic Journal of Freshwater Research*, 69, 111–136.
- Mayen-Estrada, R. and Aladro-Lubel, M.A., 2001a.** Epibiont peritrichids (Ciliophora: Peritrichida: Epistylidae) on the crayfish *Cambarellus patzcuarensis* in lake Patzcuaro, Michoacán, Mexico. *Journal of Crustacean Biology*, 21(2), 426–434.
- Mayen-Estrada, R. and Aladro-Lubel, M.A., 2001b.** Distribution and prevalence of 15 species of epibiont peritrich ciliates on the crayfish *Cambarellus patzcuarensis* villalobos in lake Patzcuaro Michoacan Mexico. *Crustaceana*, 74(11). pp. 1213–1224.
- Mohsenpour Azari, A., Yahyazadeh, M.Y., Mohebbi, F., Ahmadi, R., Moneeri, Y. and Shiri, S., 2010.** Effects of environmental factors of Aras Dam and Aras River on growth and development of *A. leptodactylus*. IFRO final project report, Iranian Artemia Research Center, 168P.
- Morado, J.F. and Small, E.B., 1995.** Ciliate parasites and related diseases of Crustacea: a review. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 3(4), 275–354.
- Mori, M., Pretoni, Y., Sebastiano Salvidio, S. and Balduzzi, A., 2002.** Branchiobdellid size-crayfish size: a possible relationship. *Journal of Limnology*, 60(2), 208–210, 2001.
- Moszynsky, A., 1938.** Quelques remarques sur les Branchiobdellidae Européens. *Annales Musei Zoologici Polonici*, XIII(9), 89–103.
- Quaglio, F., Morolli, C., Galuppi, R., Bonoli, C., Marcer, F., Nobile, L., De Luise, G. and Tampieri, M.P., 2006a.** Preliminary investigations of disease-causing organisms in the white-clawed crayfish

Austropotamobius pallipes complex from streams of northern Italy. *Bulletin Français de la Pêche et de la Pisciculture*, 380–381, 1271–1290.

Quaglio, F., Morolli, C., Galuppi, R., Tampieri, M.P., Bonoli, C., Marcer, F., Rotundo, G. and Germinara, G.S., 2006b. Sanitary-pathological examination of red swamp crayfish (*Procambarus clarkii*, Girard 1852) in the Reno Valley. *Freshwater Crayfish*, 15, 1–10.

Shields J.D. and Overstreet, R.M. , 2003. The blue crab: Diseases, Chapter 8 Parasites and Other Symbionts. *Parasitology*, Harold W. Manter Laboratory of Faculty Publications from the Harold W. Manter Laboratory of Parasitology, University of Nebraska – Lincoln.

Thune, R., 1994. Diseases of Louisiana crayfish. In: *Freshwater crayfish aquaculture in North America, Europe, and Australia*, HUNER V. (ed.), Food Products Press, New York. 117-156.

Villarreal, H. and Hutchings, R.W., 1986. Presence of ciliata colonies on the exoskeleton of crayfish *Cherax tenuimanus* (Smith) (Decapoda: Parastasiidae), *Aquaculture*, 58, 309-312.

Vogt, G., 1999. Diseases of European freshwater crayfish, with particular emphasis on interspecific transmission of pathogens. In: Gherardi, F., Holdich, D.M. (Eds.), *Crayfish in Europe as alien species: How to make the best of a bad situation?* A.A. Balkema Publishers, Netherlands, 87– 103.