Analysis and forecast of Pontic shad \( (Alosa immaculata) \) catch in the Danube River
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Abstract
The relationship between the Lower Danube River level and Romanian annual catches of Pontic shad \( (Alosa immaculata, \text{Bennett 1835}) \) were analyzed. For analysis of long term data on the Danube River water level and Pontic shad catch, combinations of different methods were applied using statistical programs, SPSS 13.0 and MATLAB 6. Periodograms, containing cyclic patterns, were obtained using Fourier analysis. Significant oscillations were determined with Fisher-Whittle’s tests and residuals were calculated after subtracting these significant oscillations from the original signals. Autoregressive moving average (ARMA) models of residuals were finally applied. Results indicated that river water levels, and especially those in May, greatly explained the fluctuations of Pontic shad catch. Annual landings varied greatly and appeared to be cyclic. Varying river flow was considered to be one of the most important factors that cause fluctuations in the size of populations. Forecast indicates gradual increase of the catch in the next decade, followed by a decrease in other decades. Estimated as a vulnerable species of fish by the IUCN, development of the forecasting model of the future catch oscillations could be very helpful to regulate fishing efforts towards the sustainable use of stocks and species conservation.
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Introduction

There are three species of the genus *Alosa* present in the north-western part of the Black Sea and only two of them migrate into the Danube River, with Pontic shad (*Alosa immaculata*, Bennett 1835) being the dominant one according to the abundance of migrants (Lenhardt *et al.*, 2012). In the past, isolated individuals migrated for spawning into the Danube as far as to Budapest – 1650 km upstream (Bănărescu, 1964), but the construction of the Iron Gate hydroelectric power plants “Iron Gate I” (943 river km, built in 1972) and “Iron Gate II” (863 river km, built in 1984) blocked shad migrating further upstream.

Pontic shad is the largest representative species of the family Clupeidae inhabiting the Black Sea (Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007). Widely spread throughout the Black and Azov Sea (Kolarov, 1991), distinguished from other two related species, (*Alosa tanaica* and *Alosa maeotica*), Pontic shad migrates for spawning into rivers: Danube, Dniepr, Dniestr, South Bug, Don and Kuban (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003; Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007). In the Danube River it migrates upriver to 864 km after the construction of the Iron Gate II hydroelectric plant (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003). The spawning season is from April to August and the trigger for spawning is water temperature above 15 °C (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003; Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007). Two populations have been distinguished in the Black Sea. The larger sized shads - eastern, and the smaller - western, enter the Danube River to spawn (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003).

The recent studies indicate that abundance of Pontic shad is constantly decreasing under anthropogenic pressure due to dam construction, habitat loss and fragmentation, exploitation, pollution, invasive species impact and climate change (Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007). Aggravating factors for the management are the poor quality of catch statistics and lack of fishing effort data on this species in the Danube River and Black Sea water. Conservation and management status differs among the Lower Danube Region (LDR) countries. Pontic shad is included on the IUCN Red List as vulnerable (VU) with population trends stated to decrease under anthropogenic pressure (IUCN, 2016). Accordingly, an understanding of the population dynamics of the Pontic shad in LDR is required for resource assessment and conservation.

Annual landings of Pontic shad vary greatly and appear to be cyclic, with several strong years being followed by several low ones (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003). Ivanov and Kolarov (1979) found negative correlation between catch of *A. immaculata* and 11 year solar cycles. Varying river flow is considered to be one of the most important factors that cause fluctuations in the populations, but so far the ratio of the annual class size and flow was not confirmed (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003). Therefore, development of the forecasting model of future catch oscillations could be very helpful to regulate fishing effort.
In the last decades the solar activity were increasingly considered as having an important effect on the river hydrology and shad population size fluctuations. Different authors (Doan, 1945; Regner and Gačić, 1974; Kawasaki, 1992a, 1992b; Regner, 1996; Anderson, 1998; Baran et al., 2001; Klyashtorin, 2001; Guisande et al., 2004; Han et al., 2009; Gorski et al., 2012; Lenhardt et al., 2016; Rabuffetti et al., 2016), found a relationship between fluctuations in fish catch and natural cyclical climatic factors, as well as between the hydrological fluctuations of large rivers in the world, including the Danube River (Pekárova et al., 2003; Pekárova and Pekár, 2005).

Historical data on catch and biological characteristics of fish species may provide valuable information on population dynamics (Myers et al., 1995; Han et al., 2009).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to analyze catch statistics of *A. immaculata* from the Danube River in Romania and long-term data series of Danube water level fluctuations and especially spring flooding pulse, to try to forecast future fluctuations in the catch, and to check the correlation found by Ivanov and Kolarov (1979) between the catch of *A. immaculata* and solar activity using longer series of data.

**Materials and methods**

The long data series on the annual catch of Pontic shad from the Romanian Danube River were gathered for 94 years (1920-2013) from different sources (Daia, 1926; Nicolescu-Duvaz and Nalbant, 1965; Kolarov, 1991) including FishStatPlus Database of FAO and Danube Delta National Institute, Romania fish catch data series.

Data on the water level of the Danube River were taken from the Hydrometeorological Service of the Republic of Serbia for 16 water gauge stations. Of these 16 stations only one station, Prahovo (861 r. km), lies downstream from Iron Gate dam II, in the area to which *A. immaculata* can reach during the migration period (Fig. 1).

To compare fluctuations of mean annual water levels between the upstream stations and stations situated in LDR, we took the data on water levels also from 1920 to 2013 from station No. 18, in Borcea branch near Călărași town, Romania (96 r. km), close to Silistra town at 371 Danube r. km (Fig. 1), which is the middle of the spawning sector river for shads.

Data on river levels from these two stations were compared with *A.*
Alosa immaculata annual catches in the Danube and the adjacent sea from 1920 to 2013 years (Fig. 2).

Figure 2: Fluctuations of mean annual Danube water level at Novi Sad and Călăraşi stations and fluctuation of Alosa immaculata annual catch.

Data on solar activity, expressed as Yearly Sun Spot Number (YSSN) were taken from the Solar Influence Data Center (www.sidc.be).

Basic methods used in this study comprised statistical data analysis of the Pontic shad catch and analysis of hydrological data (river level and water temperature) and mathematical modelling of the relationship of these key environmental driven factors and catch that may explain resource fluctuations, by combining different methods, and using statistical programs, SPSS 13.0 and MATLAB 6 (Lenhardt et al., 2016).

We used spectral analyses to determine whether there are cyclic (deterministic) components in time series. Besides mean annual Danube River level data, we analyzed mean river level data in May, when the migration of A. immaculata reaches a peak.

Cross-correlation functions (CCF) have been used to analyze whether the functional connection exists between river water level and A. immaculata catch.

For the forecasts, we used a model which combines cyclic (deterministic) and random (stochastic) components of the analyzed sequences (Klyashtorin, 2001; Pekárova et al., 2003):

\[ x_t = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\text{inf}} \left[ A_i \cos(\omega_it) + B_i \sin(\omega_it) \right] + \text{ARMA} + C + \epsilon_t \]

(1),

Where \( x_t \) is the measured value in time \( t \), \( A_0 \) is basic amplitude i.e. signal mean value, \( A_i \) is amplitude of the \( i \)-th cosine component, \( B_i \) amplitude of the \( i \)-th sine component, \( \omega_i \) is the frequency \( \left( \frac{2\pi}{t_i} \right) \) of the \( i \)-th significant period determined by the periodogram, ARMA is the autoregressive component (Box and Jenkins, 1970; Anderson, 1971; Kashyap and Rao, 1976), \( C \) presents the regressive component of an external (exogenous impact) influence on the system, and \( \epsilon_t \) is the pure stochastic component.

Harmonic (periodical) components of the model were obtained when ARMA and \( C \) were omitted from the formula (1). After excluding, components \( A_i \) and \( B_i \) were estimated by the formulae:

\[ A_i = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} x_t \cos(\omega_it) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2),

\[ B_i = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} x_t \sin(\omega_it) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3),

where \( x_t \) and \( N \) stand for the measured value and number of cyclic components, respectively. To separate cyclical from stochastic components in
the analysed time series, we tested spectral densities obtained by Fisher–Whittle test (in the following text FW test) (Fisher, 1929; Whittle, 1952; Pekárova et al., 2003) which distinguishes statistically significant \( m \) amplitudes. After that, harmonic reconstruction of measured data was made using only significant periodical components

\[
x_t = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ A_i \cos(\omega_i t) + B_i \sin(\omega_i t) \right]
\]

(4)

If we exclude the harmonic reconstructed signal from measured values, the residual represents the stochastic component of the time series analyzed.

The harmonic prediction part, for the following period \( p \), can be approximated by the formula:

\[
x_{N+p} = A_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left[ A_i \cos(\omega_i (N + p)) + B_i \sin(\omega_i (N + p)) \right]
\]

(5)

After subtracting reconstructed harmonic values (equation 4), the residuals obtained may be considered as stochastic components of the time series. They were modelled by the ARMA method (SPSS 13.0), where the order of the autoregressive component (AR) is determined by the partial autocorrelation function (PACF), while the moving average component (MA) is determined by the autocorrelation function (ACF). Finally, the total forecast was obtained by summing the harmonic, equation (5), and stochastic (ARMA model) components for a period of \( p \) years. In this way, we made the forecast of fluctuations for the next 20 years.

Furthermore, these time series are compared with the annual activity of the Sun, in order to examine whether any correlation exists among them.

**Results**

Comparing the data from all river gauge stations, we found that all the Danube River water level data from different gauge stations were in phase and showed a high degree of correlation. Exceptions were only stations located inside of the Iron Gate reservoir, and they showed the opposite phase compared to stations that are located upstream and downstream of the reservoir. Station Prahovo village had a series of water level data for 79 years (1935 - 2013), what was much shorter than *A. immaculata* catch series (94 years, from 1920 to 2013). Therefore, we selected Novi Sad town station with the longest water level data series (foundation year 1819, 1254.98 km from river mouth) to compare with station Prahovo (Fig. 1). Water levels of the Danube River from both stations Prahovo and Novi Sad and catch were matched in phase, and they showed a highly significant correlation coefficient, \( r=0.70, p<0.001 \).

The fluctuations of the analyzed parameters (mean annual river water levels and catch of Pontic shad) are shown in Fig. 2. Fluctuations of the Danube River water level at upstream Novi Sad and downstream Călărași are highly positive correlated (\( r=0.904, p<0.001 \)).
Average catch of Pontic shad in the period 1920-2013 was 518 t with a minimum of 23 t and a maximum of 2500 t recorded in 1999 and 1975, respectively.

Spectral analysis of the catch of Pontic shad showed that periods with the highest amplitudes were 2.47, 2.54, 3.24, 4.27, 7.23, 10.44, 15.67, 23.50 and 47.00 years. The highest amplitudes of mean annual Danube River water levels at Novi Sad and at Călărași were 2.47, 3.62, 4.27, 4.95, 10.44, 13.43, 18.80, 31.33 and 47.00 years. Amplitudes of the mean Danube River water level in May at Novi Sad were 2.47, 2.54, 3.62, 4.27, 4.95, 6.27, 10.44, 18.8 and 31.33 years, while at the Călărași they were: 2.47, 2.54, 3.62, 4.27, 4.95, 10.44, 13.43, 18.8 and 47.00 years.

The solar cycle is prominent in the catch of *A. immaculata* (10.44 years), as well as in all the series of river water levels at both stations (11.75 for mean annual river water levels, and 10.44 for mean river water levels in May).

To verify the relationship between analyzed data series we used the cospectral density functions. Cospectral density, computed by smoothing the real part of the cross-periodogram values, is a measure of the correlation of the in-phase frequency components of two time series. The periods of water levels which had the highest correlation with the catch of *A. immaculata* are presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water level stations</th>
<th>Significant periods</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water level Novi Sad</td>
<td>2.47 4.27 11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water level in May Novi Sad</td>
<td>2.47 4.27 10.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water level Călărași</td>
<td>2.47 4.27 11.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water level in May Călărași</td>
<td>2.47 4.27 10.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cross-correlation function between mean Danube River water levels and *A. immaculata* catch showed that fluctuations, even during the same periods, were not synchronous (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cross-correlation function between water level and catch</th>
<th>Lag (years)</th>
<th>corr. coef.</th>
<th>p&lt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water level Novi Sad</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.206</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water level in May Novi Sad</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.167</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water level Călărași</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water level in May Călărași</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-0.040</td>
<td>0.705</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cross-correlation functions between mean Danube River water levels and catch have shown negative correlation with the phase lag of 2-3 years (highest
correlation coefficient was for the 3 years lag with mean annual water level at Novi Sad station) (Table 2). CCF between river water levels and Pontic shad also showed prominent phase lags of 10-11 years.

Thus, the results of spectral analysis and CCF show that the increased catch of Pontic shad occurs two to three years after the annual low water level of the Danube.

In order to check whether there is a possible correlation between the water level of the Danube River and catch of *A. immaculata* with solar activity, we smoothed the data on river water levels and catches with the 5 year running means, which is enough to dim high frequency periods from 2.5 to about 6 years, and compared them with data on solar activity (Fig. 3).

In order to check whether there is a possible correlation between the water level of the Danube River and catch of *A. immaculata* with solar activity, we smoothed the data on river water levels and catches with the 5 year running means, which is enough to dim high frequency periods from 2.5 to about 6 years, and compared them with data on solar activity (Fig. 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water level at station Novi Sad</th>
<th>Lag (years)</th>
<th>corr. coef.</th>
<th>p&lt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water level</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.309</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water level in May</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.232</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water level at station Călărași</td>
<td>Lag (years)</td>
<td>corr. coef.</td>
<td>p&lt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean annual water level</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
<td>0.946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean water level in May</td>
<td>-3</td>
<td>-0.053</td>
<td>0.630</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Next step of the analysis was to compare smoothed time series with yearly sunspot numbers.

From Fig. 3 it is clear that the increased level of the Danube nearly perfectly fitted with periods of increased solar activity, while the catch of *A. immaculata* was the highest during periods of quiet Sun and low river water levels (Fig. 3).

The results of CCF between YSSN and water levels and the catch of *A. immaculata* are presented in Table 4.
The results obtained show that there is an obvious positive correlation between the solar activity and the fluctuation of Danube water levels. Maximums of river water levels precede maximums of solar activity for three years. Correlations obtained were statistically significant for the 92% to 96% probability levels.

There is also a negative relationship between annual catch of *A. immaculata* and YSSN statistically significant for 93% probability level. The minimum annual catch occurs at the maximum of solar activity. Therefore, we can conclude that Ivanov and Kolarov (1979) were right when they found a negative relationship between the catch of *A. immaculata* and solar activity.

Inserting statistically significant amplitudes (10.44, 47.00, 94.00) of *A. immaculata* catch (Fig. 4) in equation (1), and summing them with the results of ARMA analysis of stochastic component of time series, reconstruction of the current data series was made, as well as the forecast of the catch for the period from 2013 to 2033 (Fig. 5).

Forecast of *A. immaculata* catch indicates gradual increase of the catch from 2015 to 2027. Relative increase of the catch is expected from 2024 to 2028, while the maximum will be in 2027. After that, towards 2033, the catch will decrease (Fig. 5).
Discussion

There are about 5,000 fishermen on the Danube River in Romania, Bulgaria, Serbia and Ukraine that catch Pontic shad (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003). According to Raikova-Petrova et al. (2013) in the period 2003-2011, the annual catch of Pontic shad, in Bulgaria, in the Black Sea and the Danube has dropped 3.5 times. Population size appears to be increasing again and the last minimum was in 1999 (Ciolac and Patriche, 2004). Pontic shad fishery is productive but variable and annual landings are about 1,000 metric tons, while Romania prevails in the harvest (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003).

Fluctuations of Romanian catch, compared with Ukrainian catch during the period from 1945 to 2005 in the northern branch of Danube delta, Kiliya and the adjacent sea (Bušuev, 2006) are perfectly correlated. Since these series of data were collected completely independently, they can be considered as very reliable.

Along time scientists stated that there is a positive relationship between recruitment of Pontic shad and the Danube River flow (Vladimirov, 1953; Cautis and Teodorescu-Leonte, 1964; Niculescu-Duvaz and Nalbant, 1965; Navodaru and Waldman, 2003; Ciolac and Patriche, 2004). Positive correlation between size of adult migratory stocks and river flow during their year of birth was controversially discussed (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003). Migration of the Pontic shad depends on the water temperature (Raikova-Petrova et al., 2013). When the water level in the Danube rises, migration starts (Ciolac and Patriche, 2004), reaching a peak during April-May when the water temperature is 9–17°C, finishing in June-July when the water temperature is around 22–26°C (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003; Ciolac and Patriche, 2004; Raikova-Petrova et al., 2013).

On the basis of Fourier analyses performed, it could be concluded that the water levels, and especially those in May when the Pontic shad migration reaches a peak, greatly regulate the yearly fluctuations of its catch. Crecco and Savoy (1987) and Aprahamian (2001) consider that there is a negative correlation between strength of the cohort and river flow due to egg and larval mortality driven by turbidity effects, for American shad (A. sapidissima), and Twaite shad (Alosa falax), respectively. According to Navodaru and Waldman (2003) in the case of Pontic shad migrating in the Danube River, the flood could increase ecological productivity and fast growth of shads, but extreme spring floods could have a negative influence on survival of eggs and larvae due to high turbidity.

According to “narrow gate” theory (Ricker, 1954; Hempel, 1965; Houde, 1987), only a limited number of individuals reach sexual maturity. The “width” of this gate changes from year to year, due to variations of ecological factors which positively or negatively influence survival of fish eggs and particularly larvae and juveniles,
causing the fluctuations of the number of individuals that reach maturity. In this case, it seems that developmental stages of Pontic shad survive better in the years of low water level, with lower water turbidity and vice versa. According to the data obtained, the generation spawned in the year of low water level yields a strong class of mature individuals two or three years later. After Ciolac and Patriche (2004) the explanation of this fact could be the age structure of Danube shad in which 3 year old spawners participate from 41.2% to 50.2%.

In an analysis of a long data series of catch statistics and solar activity, Ivanov and Kolarov (1979) found a negative correlation between catch and solar activity with a cycle of 11 years. They concluded that solar activity, through climatic and hydrological cycles, best explained Pontic shad population dynamics.

In a 94 year series of data on catch of Pontic shad from the Danube River, the 10.44-year solar cycle is prominent, and it is also prominent (10.44 and 11.75 years) in all analyzed data on Danube River water levels, which corroborates Ivanov and Kolarov (1979) findings.

Besides the solar one, all the other periods that we found using Fourier transform, except the 47 years one, belong to the well-known natural climatic cycles (Lamb, 1977).

Similar a study on the relationship between solar activity and fish catch was done by Regner and Gačić (1974), who found dependence between the sardine catch in the Adriatic and the 11-year solar cycle. They concluded that solar activity, through climatic factors, affects inflow of Mediterranean geostrophic current into Adriatic. In the years of solar maxima, the current is stronger; it brings increased quantity of nutrients which increase organic production.

Guisande et al. (2004), found that annual sardine Sardina pilchardus landings along northwest coast of the Iberian Peninsula also vary according to solar activity. They found that, when the solar cycle is short, there is a trend towards increasing water transport onshore, which favours larval retention in areas close to the coast and, hence, sardine catches increase. Oppositely, when the solar cycle is longer, the trend is toward increasing water transport offshore, carrying eggs and larvae into areas where there is not enough food to survive and, therefore, decreasing sardine catches.

In the case of A. immaculata it is obvious that a fluctuation of its recruitment depends on the fluctuations of Danube River water levels, which depend on precipitation. So far, there is evidence that solar UV variations, solar wind and cosmic rays affect the formation of clouds, and therefore precipitation (Young et al., 1982; van Geel et al., 1999; Rycroft et al., 2000; Svensmark, 2007).

The fact that the years of high water level precede maximums of solar activities by three years, is in good agreement with the results obtained by Juan et al. (2004). They found that about 1–2 years before the sunspot
minimum the Beijing area was always arid, but in the minimum year and for about 1–2 years after, the precipitation always increased.

To forecast natural processes, especially nowadays when possible anthropogenically induced changes are a phenomenon that affect all global processes, is one of the most difficult tasks. The forecast of the cyclic components is reliable, while it is practically impossible to forecast stochastic effects. Catch forecast should be considered as less reliable, because this parameter is most sensitive to possible drastic changes, primarily due to human activity. Unfortunately, the model cannot forecast the effects of human activities, economic crises, lack of catch statistics, decrease or increase of number of commercial and recreational fishermen, etc.

Navodaru and Waldman (2003) presented catch statistics of Pontic shad in the Romanian part of the Danube River, in the period from 1920 to 2000, which nicely coincides with our results. Annual landings of the Pontic shad vary greatly, but seem to be cyclic to a large extent, with several strong years of catch being followed by several low years of catch. In the next two decades, as presented in our results, the annual catch of Pontic shad will be above average values that were recorded in the last decade. In the period from 2024 to 2027 it will have an upward trend reaching 1000 tons.

Pontic shad, like all other alosines species, are highly sensitive to multiple stresses, both natural and anthropogenic. Regarding that, fishery management and regulations should focus on the multinational approach in order to estimate stock size and exploitation at regional rather than national levels (Navodaru and Waldman, 2003). The relationship between river flow and shads is not completely clear, but another factor that explains variation in population size of shads is water temperature (Aprahamian, 2001).

Pontic shad population trends and catch prognosis require studies of species biology (studies of eggs, larvae and juveniles) and correlation of spatio-temporal monitoring (fishing pressure, catch per unit effort, environmental factors).
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