

Food preference and growth of grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* (Cuvier and Valenciennes, 1844) fed some aquatic and terrestrial plants

Zolfinejad K.¹; Khara H.^{1*}; Filizadeh Y.²

Received: April 2015

Accepted: August 2015

Abstract

The present study was carried out to examine 6 plants including aquatic and terrestrial plants on food preference and growth of grass carp. 7 experimental treatments with three replicates were considered. The experimental treatments were ponds containing T₁: *Myriophyllum spicatum*, T₂: *Ceratophyllum demersum*, T₃: *Azolla filiculoides*, T₄: *Lemna minor*, T₅: *Cynodon dactylon*, T₆: *Medicago sativa* and T₇: *M. Spicatum* + *C. demersum* + *A. filiculoides* + *L. minor* + *C. dactylon* + *M. sativa*. 12 grass carps (20 g) were added to each experimental pond. After 5 months, the fish body composition was assessed. According to results, the higher values of fish weight gain rate were obtained in treatments T₄ (3.13 g), T₆ (2.93 g) and T₁ (2.95 g) compared to other experimental groups ($p < 0.05$). Also, the highest percentage of body protein and lipid content were observed in T₄ and T₁. In addition, the biomass and percentage of examined plants decreased after delivery of grass carps to each pond over the course of the experiment, but higher decreases were recorded for T₄ and T₁. The mean values of relative growth rate were higher in T₄ and mixture of all plants ($p < 0.05$). Also, the highest fish biomass was recorded in T₁ and T₄ ($p < 0.05$). In conclusion, our results showed that T₄ and T₁ have higher adaptability compared to other examined plants in the present study and these two plants could be used for feeding grass carp in aquaculture.

Keywords: Food preference, Aquatic plant, Growth, Grass carp

1-Department of Fishery, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, Iran, P.O. Box: 1616

2-Department of Agriculture, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran

*Corresponding author's Email: h.khara1974@yahoo.com

Introduction

The grass carp is a good candidate species for aquaculture due to rapid growth, herbivorous feeding behavior and ability to feed on a variety of plant materials (Lin, 1935; Bailey, 1972). This species is a large cyprinid native to eastern Asia from northern Vietnam to the Amur River on the Siberia-China border. The grass carp has been introduced to many countries around the world including Iran for food and sometimes for controlling aquatic weed (Sills, 1970; Stott and Robson, 1970; Shireman and Maceina, 1981; Pierce, 1983; Leslie *et al.*, 1987; Shireman, 1984; Wiley *et al.*, 1984, 1987). An appropriate food with acceptable quality is essential for the economical production of healthy, high quality fish in all aquaculture systems (Zambonino Infante and Cahu, 1999; Bahrami Babaheydari *et al.*, 2015). During the last decade, various commercial and artificial diets were developed for cultured fish species (Kanazawa and Teshima, 1988; Person Le Ruyet *et al.*, 1989; Guillaume *et al.*, 1999; Zambonino Infante and Cahu, 1999; Yufera *et al.*, 1999; Mazurkiewicz *et al.*, 2017). Nevertheless, the production of formulated feeds is costly and increases costs of fish production. As an alternative, use of natural feeds with low cost and high performance was considered only or in combination with artificial diets in aquaculture. Plant materials are inexpensive food items for herbivorous fish including grass carp (Cassani *et al.*, 1981; De-Silva and Weerakoon, 1981; Riemens, 1982;

Sutton, 1985; Pieterse and Murphy, 1990). Nevertheless, selection of plants with high nutritional and palatability can enhance growth and nutritional values of grass carp. To this end, in the present study, we examined the effects of 4 aquatic plants including two submerged plants (Eurasian watermilfoil, *Myriophyllum spicatum*; hornwort, *Ceratophyllum demersum*) and two floating plants (Water Fern, *Azolla filiculoides*; common duckweed, *Lemna minor*) and also two terrestrial plants (Bermuda grass, *Cynodon dactylon*; Lucerne, *Medicago sativa*) on food preference, growth and body protein and lipid content of grass carp.

Materials and methods

This study was carried out in a fish farm situated in Karaj, Iran. 21 concrete ponds ($6 \times 2 \times 1.5 \text{ m}^3$) were considered for the experiment. Experimental aquatic and terrestrial plants were collected from the Anzali Wetland and farms around the Anzali Wetland, respectively. For collection of submerged aquatic plants *i.e.* *Myriophyllum spicatum* and *Ceratophyllum demersum*, firstly the meristic and fresh parts of plants with 10-15 cm length were cut, transported in plastic bags containing water to the farm in Karaj and maintained at 5 °C until the beginning of the experiment. Also, the floating aquatic plants *i.e.* *A. filiculoides* and *Lemna minor* were collected from water surface of the Anzali Wetland by purse seine net, transported in plastic bags containing water to the farm and maintained in

small ponds ($1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ m}^3$) until the beginning of the experiment. After cleaning and disinfecting experimental ponds, 7 experimental treatments with three replicates were designed as presented in Table 1. The amount of plant used in each treatment is also presented in Table 1.

Four weeks after planting of floating and submerged aquatic plants, 12 grass carps ($20 \pm 5 \text{ g}$) were added to each experimental pond. Also, on the same day, terrestrial plants were added to ponds of T₅ and T₆ groups daily. Water temperature was 23-25°C over the course of the experiment.

Table 1: Experimental groups designed and amount of used plant in each group in the present study.

Experimental groups	T1	T2	T3	T4	T5	T6	T7
Examined plant	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> (n=28)	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> (n=28)	<i>Azolla filiculoides</i> (2 kg)	<i>Lemna minor</i> (2 kg)	<i>Cynodon dactylon</i>	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	<i>Myriophyllum spicatum</i> (n=28) + <i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i> (n=28) + <i>Azolla filiculoides</i> (2 kg) + <i>Lemna minor</i> (2 kg) + <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> + <i>Medicago sativa</i>

For planting of submerged aquatic plants, the 10-15 cm prepared parts were planted in plastic vases (four 10-15 cm parts per vase) containing sediments collected from the Anzali Wetland. Then, 7 plastic vases were placed in the bottom of each pond of T₁ and T₂ groups. Immediately, the water surface increased to 75 cm and then *A. filiculoides*, *L. minor* and *A. filiculoides* + *L. minor* were added to ponds of T₃, T₄ and T₇ groups, respectively. After 15 days the water surface of each pond increased to 100 cm. Four weeks after introducing of submerged and plants to ponds, 12 grass carps (20 g mean weight) were added to each experimental pond. Also, on the same day, terrestrial plants were added to ponds of T₅ (*Cynodon dactylon*), T₆ (*Medicago sativa*) and T₇ (*C. dactylon* + *M. sativa* in equal amounts) daily till

the end of the experimental period. During the 5 month experiment fish growth, fish body composition, and also the biomass and percentage of plants were measured. The growth parameters of fish including relative growth rate (RGR), fish biomass and weight gain rate (WGR) were calculated on a monthly basis through biometry of 3 grass carps captured from each pond as follows:

$$\text{WGR} = \frac{\text{BWF} - \text{BW}_I}{t_2 - t_1}$$
 (Rueda-Jasso *et al.*, 2004)

Where BW_F and BW_I refer to the final weight and initial weight of grass carps, respectively. Also, $t_2 - t_1$ refers to time difference between the two samplings i.e. 30 days.

$$\text{RGR} = \frac{\ln \text{BW}_F - \ln \text{BW}_I}{t_2 - t_1}$$
 (Rueda-Jasso *et al.*, 2004)

Where BW_F and BW_I refer to the final weight and initial weight of grass

carps, respectively. Also, t_2-t_1 refers to time difference between the two samplings i.e. 30 days.

After biometry of examined fish sample from each pond, fish were returned to the ponds immediately. Before biometry, fish were anaesthetized in 100 ppm of MS222 (tricaine methane sulphonate).

After the end of the experiments, 2 grass carps from each pond were considered for analysis of body protein and lipid content and transported to the tissue analysis lab. Muscle tissue was used for this analysis. All analyses were conducted according to standard methods of ISO SPRS. The crude protein was measured by Kjeldahl set on the basis of calculation of total nitrogen and regarding conversion coefficient of 6.25 according to Ćirković *et al.* (2011). Total lipids were extracted by accelerated solvent extraction (ASE 200; Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA). Homogenate of sample mixed with diatomaceous earth was extracted with a mixture of n-hexane and isopropanol (60:40, v/v) in a 33-mL extraction cell at 100°C and nitrogen pressure of 10.3 MPa (Spirić *et al.*, 2009; Trbović *et al.*, 2009). Then, the solvent was removed under a stream of nitrogen using a Dionex Solvent Evaporator 500 at 50°C until dryness. The fat extract was further used for fatty acid determination.

All data were analysed by SPSS software (Version 16). Normality of non-parametric and parametric data was examined by Shapiro–Wilk test and Kolmogorov–Smirnov, respectively.

One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was employed to compare the means. When significant F-ratios were calculated by ANOVA, the Tukey test was applied to identify which means were different.

Results

The higher mean values of fish WGR obtained in treatments containing *Lemna minor* (T_4 : 3.13 ± 1.13), *Medicago sativa* (T_6 : 2.93 ± 1.06) and *Myriophyllum spicatum* (T_1 : 2.95 ± 1.08) compared to other experimental groups (Table 2) ($p < 0.05$). The mean values of RGR were higher in fish fed by *L. minor* (T_4 : 0.024 ± 0.013), (T_1 : 0.024 ± 0.011) and mixture of all plants (T_7 : 0.023 ± 0.010) (Table 3) ($p < 0.05$). Also, the highest fish biomass was yielded in T_1 (135 ± 119.5) and T_4 (144 ± 128.9) (Table 4) ($p < 0.05$). The highest percentage of body protein and lipid content were observed in fish fed *L. minor* (T_4 : 16.38), and *M. spicatum* (T_1 : 7.27), respectively (Table 5) ($p < 0.05$). Also, the biomass and percentage of examined plants decreased after delivery of grass carps to each pond over the course of the experiment, but higher decreases were recorded for *L. minor* (T_4 : 91.5%) and *M. spicatum* (T_4 : 89.2%) (Table 6) ($p < 0.05$).

Table 2: Comparison of WGR (g per day) values between experimental fish groups fed by various ratios of plants. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Experimental groups	April	May	June	July	August	Mean \pm SD
T ₁	1.56	3.45	4.44	2.43	2.91	2.95 \pm 1.08
T ₂	1.17	2.42	3.02	2.14	2.15	2.18 \pm 0.66
T ₃	1.02	1.89	2.75	2.10	2.07	1.96 \pm 0.62
T ₄	1.79	3.48	4.78	2.41	3.2	3.13 \pm 1.13
T ₅	0.89	1.51	2.3	1.9	1.79	1.67 \pm 0.52
T ₆	1.55	3.39	4.41	2.44	2.87	2.93 \pm 1.06
T ₇	1.57	2.38	3.09	2.24	2.33	2.32 \pm 0.53

Table 3: Comparison of monthly RGR (%) values between experimental fish groups fed by various ratios of plants. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Experimental groups	April	May	June	July	August	Mean \pm SD
T ₁	0.0366	0.0337	0.0187	0.00766	0.0242	0.024 \pm 0.011
T ₂	0.0367	0.0273	0.0180	0.00880	0.022	0.022 \pm 0.010
T ₃	0.0305	0.0262	0.0199	0.00937	0.015	0.020 \pm 0.008
T ₄	0.044	0.028	0.0184	0.00678	0.0245	0.024 \pm 0.013
T ₅	0.0285	0.0249	0.0178	0.00799	0.012	0.018 \pm 0.008
T ₆	0.0349	0.0319	0.0178	0.00757	0.0224	0.022 \pm 0.011
T ₇	0.0392	0.0243	0.0211	0.00902	0.022	0.023 \pm 0.010

Table 4: Comparison of monthly fish growth (g) values between experimental fish groups fed by various ratios of plants. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Experimental groups	April	May	June	July	Mean \pm SD
T ₁	20 \pm 2.5	66 \pm 4.5	165 \pm 7.5	290 \pm 8	135 \pm 119.5
T ₂	20 \pm 2.5	52 \pm 4	125 \pm 8	215 \pm 10	103 \pm 86.6
T ₃	20 \pm 2.5	49 \pm 3.25	110 \pm 6.5	200 \pm 7	94.7 \pm 79.5
T ₄	20 \pm 2.5	68 \pm 3.75	178 \pm 9	310 \pm 11	144 \pm 128.9
T ₅	20 \pm 2.5	40 \pm 2.5	98 \pm 9	178 \pm 8	84 \pm 70.8
T ₆	20 \pm 2.5	63 \pm 3	156 \pm 7	267 \pm 11	126.5 \pm 109.5
T ₇	20 \pm 2.5	65 \pm 4	135 \pm 6	225 \pm 6.5	111.2 \pm 89.38

Table 5: Comparison of body protein and lipid content (%) between experimental fish groups fed by various ratios of plants. Bars (Mean \pm SD) with different letters are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

Experimental groups	Lipid	Protein
T ₁	7.28	15.92
T ₂	6.39	13.91
T ₃	6.68	14.33
T ₄	7.19	16.38
T ₅	7.2	15.43
T ₆	6.12	13.55
T ₇	6.58	15.03

Table 6: Changes of plant percentage and biomass in fish groups fed by various ratios of aquatic plants. Bars (Mean±SD) with different letters are significantly different ($p<0.05$).

	Plant percentage	T1	T2	T3	T4
April		85	90	90	90
May		65	75	75	50
June		30	55	60	25
July		12	40	45	10
	Plant biomass (g)				
April		25000	2700	10000	10000
May		15500	18500	8900	5800
June		8000	13500	6400	2300
July		2700	9000	4300	850
	Total decrease percent of plant biomass	89.2	67	57	91.5

Discussion

Aquatic plants play an important role in the nutrition of herbivorous fishes including grass carp. Our results showed that the palatability of examined plants is different for grass carp. In this regard, the plants utilized more by fish were *Lemna minor* (with 91.5% decrease) and *Myriophyllum spicatum* (with 89.2% decrease). Some studies reported that plants with rigid structure and high cellulose content have low palatability for herbivorous fishes (Buckly and Stott, 1977; Fowler and Robson, 1978; George, 1982; Hart and Hamrin, 1988; Filizadeh, 1996). In the present study, more palatability of *L. minor* and *M. spicatum* return probably to softer structure and low cellulose content compared to other examined plants especially *A. filiculoides* and *Ceratophyllum demersum* (Van Zon, 1973; Sutton, 1974, 1978; Van Zon *et al.*, 1977; Shireman *et al.*, 1979; Van Schayck, 1986; Filizadeh, 1996). Also, with regard to food preference of grass carp in this study, it seems that this species is more suitable for controlling floating

aquatic plants than submerged types (Cassani *et al.*, 1981; Ewell and Fontaine, 1982). The growth of fish in culture conditions is dependent on several parameters such as food quality, fish stocking rate, water quality parameters (i.e. dissolved oxygen, salinity, temperature and etc.) (Reviewed by Ekubo and Abowei, 2011). In our study, the values of WGR and RGR were lower in the early months of the experiment than in the later months that are could be due to the low temperatures during the early months. Our results confirm that growth parameters of examined grass carps are related to food quality i.e. the use of experimental plants since other cues such as water quality were stable during the experiment. In this regard, the highest growth (WGR and RGR) and also fish biomass was obtained in treatments fed by *L. minor* and *M. spicatum*. This result may be due to the higher palatability and nutritional quality of these plants compared to other examined plants as fish fed on *L. minor* and *M. spicatum* had more protein and lipid content compared to

other groups. In conclusion, our results showed that *L. minor* and *M. spicatum* have higher adaptability and nutritional value compared to the other plants used in the present study and these two plants could be used to feed grass carp in aquaculture.

References

- Bailey, W.M., 1972.** Arkansas' evaluation of the desirability of introducing white Amur (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*, Val.) for control of aquatic weeds. A paper prepared for the 102nd Annual Meeting of the American Fisheries Society and the International Association of Game and Fish Commissioners, Hot Springs, Arkansas, 1972. Bibliography: pp. 51-59.
- Bahrami Babaheydari, S., Paykan Heyrati, F., Dorafshan, S., Mahboobi Soofiani, N., Vahabi, M., 2015.** Effect of dietary wood betony, *Stachys lavandulifolia* extract on growth performance, haematological and biochemical parameters of Common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*. *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 14, 4, 805-817.
- Buckly, B.R. and Stott, B., 1977.** Grass carp in a sport fishery, *Fisheries Management*, 8, 8-10.
- Cassani, J.R., Miller, T.W. and Beach, M.L., 1981.** Biological control of aquatic weeds in southwest Florida. *Journal of aquatic plant management*, 19, 49-50.
- Ćirković, M., Trbović, D. and Ljubojević, D., 2011.** Meat quality of fish farmed in polyculture in carp ponds in Republic of Serbia. *Meat Technology*, 52, 1, 106-121.
- De Silva, S.S. and Weerakoon, D.E.M., 1981.** Growth food intake and evacuation rates of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) fry. *Aquaculture*, 25, 67-76.
- Ekubo, A.T. and Abowei, J.F.N., 2011.** Review of some water quality management principles in culture fisheries. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 3(12), 1342-1357.
- Ewell, K.C. and Fontaine, T.D., 1982.** The effect of the white amure, (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) on a Florida lake: a Model, *Ecological Modeling*, 16, 252-273.
- Filizadeh, Y., 1996.** The management ecology of aquatic weeds which cause problem in Iranian freshwater systems. Thesis, University of Glasgow, 150P.
- Flower, M.C. and Robson, T.O., 1978.** The effects of preferences and stocking rates of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) on mixed plant communities, *Aquatic Botany*, 5, 261-276.
- George, T.T., 1982.** The Chinese grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) its biology, introduction and control of aquatic macrophytes and breeding in the Sudan, *Aquaculture*, 27, 317-327.
- Guillaume, J., Kaushik, S., Bergot, P. and Métailler, R., 1999.** Nutrition et alimentation des poissons et crustacés. INRA Editions, Paris, 480P.

- Hart, P. and Hamrin, S.F., 1988.** Pike as a selective predator. Effects of prey size, availability, cover and pikejaw Dimensions. *Oikos*, 51, 220-226.
- Pieterse, A.H. and K.J. Murphy., 1990.** Aquatic weeds. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, UK, 593P.
- Kanazawa, A. and Teshima, S., 1988.** Microparticulated diets for fish larvae. In: Sparks, A.K. (Ed.), new and innovative advances in biology/engineering with potential use in aquaculture. Tech. Rep. NMFS 70, NOAA, Seattle, WA, pp. 57-62.
- Leslie, A.J.Jr., Van Dyke, J.M., Hestland, R.S. and Thompson, B. Z., 1987.** Management of aquatic plants in multi-use lakes with grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*), pp. 266-276. In: G. Redfield (ed). Lake and reservoir management, vol. III. N. Am. Lake Manage. Soc., Washington, DC.
- Lin, S.Y., 1935.** Life history of Waan Ue growth of fish [10-20]. Studies conducted on other fish. *Ctenopharyngodon idella*. *Ling San Sciences Journal*, 14, 129.
- Mazurkiewicz, J., Hoffmann, L., Czyżak-Runowska, G., Pietrzak, M., Sierpowska, K., Andrzejewski, W., Golski, J., 2017.** The effects of diet and feeding techniques on growth factors and meat quality of common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *Iranian Journal of Fisheries Scinces*, 2, 639-653.
- Person Le Ruyet, J., Samain, J.F. and Daniel, J.Y., 1989.** Evolution de l'activité de la trypsine et de l'amylase au cours du developpement chez la larve de bar (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Effet de l'age et du sevrage. *Oceanis*, 15, 465-480.
- Pierce, B.A., 1983.** Grass carp status in the United States: a review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 7(2), 151-160.
- Riemens, R.G., 1982.** The results of grass carp stocking for weed control in the Netherlands, Proceedings of the 2th International Symposium on Herbivorous Fish European Weed Research Society, Wageningen, The Netherlands, 1-7.
- Rueda-Jasso, R., Conceicao, L.E.C., Dias, J., De Coen, W., Gomes, E., Rees, J.F., Soares, F., Dinis, M.T. and Sorgeloos, P., 2004.** Effect of dietary non-protein energy levels on condition and oxidative status of Senegalese sole (*Solea senegalensis*) juveniles. *Aquaculture*, 231, 417-433.
- Shireman, J.V., Colle, D.E. and Martin, R.G., 1979.** Ecological study of Lake Wales, Florida after introduction of grass carp, Proceedings of grass carp Conference, 49-88.
- Shireman, J.V. and M.J. Maceina., 1981.** The utilization of grass carp, *Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val., for hydrilla control in Lake Baldwin, Florida. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 19, 629-636.
- Shireman, J.V., 1984.** Control of aquatic weeds with exotic fishes, pp. 302-312. In: W.R. Courtenay Jr. and J.R. Stauffer Jr. (eds.). Distribution, biology and management of exotic

- fishes. The John Hopkins Univ. Press. Baltimore, MD. pp. 302-312.
- Sills, J.B., 1970.** A review of herbivorous fish for weed control. *Progressive Fish-Culturist*, 32(3), 158-161.
- Spiric, A., Trbovic, D., Vranic, D., Dinovic, J., Petronijevic, R., Milijas'evic, M., Jankovic, S., Radic'evic, T., 2009.** Fatty acid composition, cholesterol and total fat content in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) as influenced by fatty acids in diet. *Tehnologija Mesa*, 50, 179-188 (in Serbian, with English abstract).
- Stott, B. and T.O. Robson., 1970.** Efficiency of grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) in controlling submerged water weeds. *Nature*, 226, 870.
- Sutton, D.L., 1974.** Utilization of *Hydrilla* by the white Amur, *Hyacinth Control Journal*, 12, 66-70.
- Sutton, D.L., 1978.** Utilization of duckweed by the White Amur. Proceeding of the 4th International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds, 257-260.
- Sutton, D.L., 1985.** Biology and ecology of *Myriophyllum spicatum*. Proceeding of the 1st International Symposium on Watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) and related haloragaceae species, Vancouver, Canada, pp. 59-71.
- Trbovic, D., Vranic, D., Dinovic, J., Borovic, B., Spiric, D., Babic, J., Spiric, A., 2009.** Fatty acid profile and cholesterol content in muscle tissue of one year old common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) during growth. *Tehnologija Mesa*, 50, 276-286 (in Serbian, with English abstract).
- Van Schayck, C.P., 1986.** The effect of several methods of aquatic weed control on two bilharzia-bearing snail species, *Aquatic Botany*, 24, 303-309.
- Van Zon, J.C.J., 1973.** Studies on the biological control of aquatic weeds in the Netherland. Proceedings of the 3th International Symposium on Biological control of Weeds, Montpellier, pp. 31-38.
- Van Zon, J.C.J., 1977.** Grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.) in Europe. *Aquatic Botany*, 3, 143-153.
- Wiley, M.J., Gorden, R.W., Waite, S.W. and Powless, T., 1984.** The relationship between aquatic macrophytes and sport fish production in Illinois pond: a simple model. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*, 4, 111-119.
- Wiley, M.J., Tazik, P.P. and Sobaski, S.T., 1987.** Controlling aquatic vegetation with triploid grass carp. Circular 57. Illinois Natural History Survey. Champaign, IL.
- Yufera, M., Fernandez-Diaz, C. and Pascual, E., 1999.** A highly efficient microencapsulated food for rearing early larvae of marine fish. *Aquaculture*, 177, 249-256.
- Zambonino Infante, J.L. and Cahu, C.L., 1999.** High dietary lipid levels enhance digestive tract maturation and improve *Dicentrarchus labrax* larval development. *Journal of Nutrition*, 129, 1195-1200.