

## **Determination of total mercury in common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, large scaled barb, *Barbus grypus*, tiger tooth croaker, *Otolithes ruber* and from surface waters in Khuzestan, Iran**

**Nanvazadeh H.<sup>1,2</sup>; Khodayar, M.J.<sup>1,2\*</sup>; Jahangiri A.<sup>3</sup>; Rahbar N.<sup>3</sup>;  
Behfar A.<sup>4</sup>; Dehbashi F.<sup>1,2</sup>**

Received: January 2015

Accepted: October 2016

1- Department of Toxicology, School of Pharmacy, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

2-Toxicology Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

3-Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

4-Department of Hydrology and Food Sciences, School of Pharmacy, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran.

Corresponding Author's Email: jKhodayar@ Yahoo.com

**Keywords:** Fish, Mercury, Muscle, Water, Khuzestan

### **Introduction**

Fishes are a valuable source of dietary protein and minerals for seafood consumers and are also used in pharmaceutical products (Mozaffarian, 2009). Over the past several decades aquatic ecosystems have been extensively contaminated with pollutants released from domestic and industrial waste waters and other anthropogenic activities in Khuzestan, Iran. Heavy metals such as mercury are considered as one of the four most important pollutants of aquatic life because of their high toxicity and ability to bioaccumulate in tissue of aquatic organisms (Hajeb *et al.*, 2009). The toxicity of Hg is highly dependent

on its chemical form. Organic mercury compounds are more toxic than inorganic mercury salts which may bioaccumulate to levels that could increase health risks to seafood consumers (Syversen and Kaur, 2012). Since most mercury in fish tissue is present as methyl mercury (Me-Hg), it has been recommended that T-Hg in tissues can serve as a surrogate for Me-Hg (Kannan *et al.*, 1998).

Although there are several studies that have been done before, separately (Agah *et al.*, 2010, Sary and Mohammadi, 2012) for the first time T-Hg concentrations were analyzed in three regions of Khuzestan Province simultaneously. The main objective of

this study was to determine the mercury concentrations in the muscle tissue of three fish species in three different water sources of Khuzestan to assess the influence of regional differences and pollutant sources such as petrochemical industries on total mercury (T-Hg).

### Materials and methods

One hundred and fifty samples of three fish species were analyzed for mercury

determination (fifty numbers for each species in 10 composites). Samples were collected between September/November of 2011 from 3 regions of Khuzestan province that is located in the southwest of Iran including *Cyprinus carpio* (common carp) from aquaculture in Ahvaz, *Barbus grypus* (large scaled barb) from Karun River in Shushtar and *Otolithes ruber* (tiger tooth croaker) from coastal areas of the Persian Gulf in Mahshahr seaport (namely Khor; near the petrochemical power plant) (Fig. 1).



Figure 1: Map of Karun River and area of this study in Khuzestan Province, Iran.

Sample preparation and treatment was performed according to United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) instruction (U.S.EPA, 1991). Briefly, after biometric measurement, fish were washed with deionised water, placed individually in plastic bags, labelled and placed in the refrigerator. Then, after scarping and grasping the fish skin, dorsal muscle tissue of one side of the fish was dissected with a stainless steel knife. After that, the fillets were rinsed with double deionised water. Finally, five samples that were similar in length and weight were mixed completely with a stainless steel blade mixer. All the composites were stored in plastic containers and were frozen at  $\leq -20^{\circ}\text{C}$  (U.S.EPA, 1991). For each fish species, water and fish samples were collected at the same time and location. Three composite samples of water from one meter depths were gotten from each location. The sampling flask was washed with water from the sampling site. Water samples were filtered through a  $0.45\mu\text{m}$  membrane, to separate the water-insoluble mercury. The filtrate solution was collected through amber glass bottles and mercury free nitric acid was added as a preservative solution until pH reached 2-3 (IAOAC, 1990). T-Hg analysis was performed with a Direct Mercury Analyzer-80 (DMA-80, Milestone, Italy). Briefly, 0.12-0.17 g of homogenized composite of each fish sample was accurately weighed onto metal boat, and then was introduced to the system. For water analysis, 100  $\mu\text{L}$

of water sample was loaded. The accuracy was checked based on a standard curve data. Calibration of the DMA-80 was performed using mercury standard for AAS (1000 mg Hg/L in nitric acid, Trace CERT®, Fluka, USA). All sample analyses were performed in triplicate. The DMA-80 produces accurate results with a detection limit of 0.005 ng Hg.

#### *Statistical analysis*

The statistical analysis was done using SPSS16 software and one way ANOVA with post hoc Tukey's test  $p < 0.05$  was considered to indicate statistically significant.

#### **Results and discussions**

The results of analysis of T-Hg in flesh of fish and water of their ecosystems and bioaccumulation factor are presented in Table 1. Based on bioaccumulation factor, *C. carpio* and *B. grypus* were considered as samples that have no artificial or anthropogenic sources of mercury around their living environment.

Unlike the concentration of mercury in water samples, mercury concentrations between fish species were significantly different ( $p < 0.0001$ ). Studies have shown that mercury levels generally present in surface waters were below EPA standards, 140-150 ppb (ATSDR, 1999). Mercury has a high tendency to be adsorbed on sediments, and a large proportion of Hg in the water phase is attached to suspended particles.

**Table 1: Mean concentration of mercury in water and fish and bioaccumulation factor.**

Sampling site	T-Hg conc. µg/kg in fish		T-Hg conc. µg/L* in water	Bioaccumulation Factor**
Ahvaz (Aquaculture)	(Mean±SEM) Min-Max	0.52 ±0.25 ND-2.40	2.11±0.08 2.10-2.12	0.246445
Shushtar (Karun River)	(Mean±SEM) Min-Max	42.51 ±2.58 34.27-59.82	2.39 ±0.49 1.80-3.37	17.78661
Mahshahr (Coastal area of the Persian Gulf)	(Mean±SEM) Min-Max	216.3 ± 12.12 146.23-258.21	1.99±0.01 1.97-2.01	108.6935

\*Mean concentration of three points of sample site.

\*\*Calculated as the ratio of the mercury concentrations in the muscle of fish to the mean water concentrations.

Meanwhile, elemental mercury can't remain in water for a long time and rapidly changes to methyl mercury. This form of mercury is accumulated in fish from aquatic environments (Langeland, 2015). Regardless of different ecosystems, studies have shown that total mercury levels in water are low relative to those found in fish tissue (Mast and Krabbenhoft, 2010).

In the present study, results indicated that marine (*O. ruber*) and freshwater (*B. grypus*) species have higher mercury concentrations than farmed fish (*C. carpio*). However, Mercury concentrations in all three fish species were below WHO advisory thresholds; mercury standard concentration in fish muscle by WHO is 500 ppb (0.5mg/kg) (ATSDR, 1999). Previous studies have shown that rivers, lakes and seas that have been acidified by acid rain or industrial runoff, favor the methylation of mercury, which biomagnified up the food chain (Regnell and Tunlid, 1991). Since long-established Petrochemical industries are situated near Mahshahr (Salehi and Esmaili-Sari, 2010) and petrochemical industries produce

mercurial wastewater (U.S.EPA, 1997), this can lead to excessive amounts of mercury in fish and its bioaccumulation in *O. ruber* species. Our results for Tiger Tooth Croaker in the Persian Gulf were similar to those of the FDA data in the Pacific and Atlantic Ocean. Investigation showed that wild (predatory) fish species contained the highest concentrations of methyl mercury among many commercially available fish, while farmed Atlantic salmon, contained very low levels of mercury (Kelly *et al.*, 2008). Furthermore, it also showed that the T-Hg concentration in oceanic carp was high (295 µg/kg), while the results of aquaculture samples in this study showed that the level of mercury in *C. carpio* is negligible (0.5 µg/kg) (Farias *et al.*, 2005). Accordingly, the concentration of heavy metals in the tissues varied significantly on the location from where the species were collected. In this regard, investigations show that local environmental conditions, such as acidic conditions may make a pond unfavorable or completely unsuitable for farmed fish

(Lavoie *et al.*, 2013). By adding lime, gypsum, alum and potassium permanganate the water chemistry of a pond will be adjusted and pH will be increased (Bhatnagar and Devi, 2013).

Studies showed that there is an inverse correlation between pH and Me-Hg formation (Clayden *et al.*, 2013, Dong *et al.*, 2016). It can be due to the supply of carbon dioxide by adding lime for photosynthesis and also increasing algal growth. Furthermore, in a pond immobile of water exceed algal growth (Long *et al.*, 2011, Devgoswami *et al.*, 2013). Algae take up mercury through the water directly by adsorption and absorption, like zooplankton and phytoplankton, which can result in low levels of mercury in surrounding waters (Diéguez *et al.*, 2013). Moreover, the main source of nutrition for farmed fish provided by human is more healthy. As a result, farmed fish are not exposed to high levels of mercury and thus mercury does not enter the food chain, and cannot be considered a serious risk to human health. It seems that this may be responsible for low levels of T-Hg concentration in *C. carpio*. The determined levels of mercury in *B. grypus* and *O. ruber* fish species suggest the more intensive mercury monitoring in these fish that are consumed by humans especially in Mahshahr. Furthermore, this study may be able to offer suitable policies for the consumers especially in pregnant women because of mercury's harmful

effects on developing fetuses and infants.

### Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from Toxicology Research Center, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (U-90107) and is part of M.Sc. thesis of Hoda Nanvazadeh. We would like to thank Ms. Marzieh Mansourzadeh for her infinite help in the laboratory preparing and working with the DMA.

### References

- Agah, H., Leermakers, M., Gao, Y., Fatemi, S., Katal, M.M., Baeyens, W. and Elskens, M., 2010.** Mercury accumulation in fish species from the Persian Gulf and in human hair from fishermen. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 169, 203-216.
- ATSDR, 1999.** Agency for toxic substances and disease registry. Toxicological profile for mercury (update) Atlanta, GA, US Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service.
- Bhatnagar, A. and Devi, P., 2013.** Water quality guidelines for the management of pond fish culture. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 3, 1980.
- Clayden, M. G., Kidd, K.A., Wyn, B., Kirk, J.L., Muir, D.C. and O'driscoll, N.J., 2013.** Mercury biomagnification through food webs is affected by physical and chemical characteristics of lakes.

- Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 12047-12053.
- Devogswami, C.R., Kalita, M., Talukdar, J., Bora, R. and Sharma, P., 2013.** Studies on the growth behavior of *Chlorella*, *Haematococcus* and *Scenedesmus* sp. in culture media with different concentrations of sodium bicarbonate and carbon dioxide gas. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 10, 13128-13138.
- Dieguez, M.C., Queimalloños, C.P., Guevara, S.R., Marvin-Dipasquale, M., Cárdenas, C.S. and Arribere, M.A., 2013.** Influence of dissolved organic matter character on mercury incorporation by planktonic organisms: an experimental study using oligotrophic water from Patagonian lakes. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 25, 1980-1991.
- Dong, Z., Lynch, R.A. and Schaidler, L.A., 2016.** Key contributors to variations in fish mercury within and among freshwater reservoirs in Oklahoma, USA. *Environmental Science: Processes and Impacts*.
- Farias, R.A.D., Hacon, S., Campos, R. and Argento, R., 2005.** Mercury contamination in farmed fish setup on former Garimpo mining areas in the Northern Mato Grosso State, Amazonian region, Brazil. *Science of the Total Environment*, 348, 128-134.
- Hajeb, P., Jinap, S., Ismail, A., Fatimah, A., Jamilah, B. and Rahim, M.A. 2009.** Assessment of mercury level in commonly consumed marine fishes in Malaysia. *Food Control*, 20, 79-84.
- IAOAC, 1990.** AOAC official method 977.22, mercury in water- Flameless Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometric. 40 CFR 444.12(b).
- Kannan, K., Smith, J.R., Lee, R., Windom, H., Heitmuller, P., Macauley, J. and Summers, J., 1998.** Distribution of total mercury and methyl mercury in water, sediment, and fish from south Florida estuaries. *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 34, 109-118.
- Kelly, B.C., Ikonomou, M.G., Higgs, D.A., Oakes, J. and Dubetz, C., 2008.** Mercury and other trace elements in farmed and wild salmon from British Columbia, Canada. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 27, 1361-1370.
- Langeland, A.L., 2015.** Impact of alluvial artisanal and small-scale gold mining in the Madre de Dios River Basin, Peru: total mercury levels in human and farmed fish populations. University of Michigan.
- Lavoie, R.A., Jardine, T.D., Chumchal, M.M., Kidd, K.A. and Campbell, L.M., 2013.** Biomagnification of mercury in aquatic food webs: a worldwide meta-analysis. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 47, 13385-13394.
- Long, T.Y., Wu, L., Meng, G.H. and Guo, W.H., 2011.** Numerical

- simulation for impacts of hydrodynamic conditions on algae growth in Chongqing Section of Jialing River, China. *Ecological Modelling*, 222, 112-119.
- Mast, M. and Krabbenhoft, D.P., 2010.** Comparison of mercury in water, bottom sediment, and zooplankton in two Front Range reservoirs in Colorado, 2008-09.
- Mozaffarian, D., 2009.** Fish, mercury, selenium and cardiovascular risk: current evidence and unanswered questions. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 6, 1894-1916.
- Regnell, O. and Tunlid, A., 1991.** Laboratory study of chemical speciation of mercury in lake sediment and water under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 57, 789-795.
- Salehi, Z. and Esmaili-sari, A., 2010.** Hair mercury levels in pregnant women in Mahshahr, Iran: fish consumption as a determinant of exposure. *Science of the Total Environment*, 408, 4848-4854.
- Sary, A.A. and Mohammadi, M., 2012.** Mercury concentrations in commercial fish from freshwater and saltwater. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, 88, 162-165.
- Syversen, T. and Kaur, P., 2012.** The toxicology of mercury and its compounds. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 26, 215-226.
- U.S.EPA, 1991.** Environmental Monitoring and Assessment Program (EMAP) near coastal program laboratory methods for filleting and compositing fish for organic and inorganic contaminant analyses. Office of Research and Development, Environmental Research Laboratory, Narragansett, RI.
- U.S.EPA, 1997.** Capsule report: Aqueous mercury treatment. EPA16251R971004. Office of Research and development, Washington DC 20460.