The population of *Carassius gibelio* (Bloch, 1782) and its parasites in Madatapa Lake (South Georgia)
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**Abstract**
Gibel carp is a very successful invasive fish species in the waters of Georgian inland and the only fish species in Lake Madatapa. However, almost nothing is known about its biology and morpho-ecological peculiarities at the national level and even the distribution data is not documented. For the first time, we studied the population characteristics of gibel carp in Lake Madatapa (South Georgia) with the aim to reveal the extent of its morphological variability, sex ratio, length-weight relationship and its parasitic community. We showed that the gibel carp population in Madatapa Lake has typical morphological characteristics. The sex ratio is significantly female biased (1/1.8) and the length-weight relationship is within the published margins. The gibel carp population is under the heavy parasitic load, but only two parasitic species (one cestoda and the other digenean species) affect the fishes. Within the framework of the obtained results, we discussed future research needs concerning the gibel carp in the lake.
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Introduction
Lake Madatapa is an eutrophic lake located in Javakheti plateau (Southern Georgia) at 2108 m a.s.l. and is the part of the Javakheti Protected Areas since 2011 (Fig. 1). The lake is naturally volcanic in origin with a surface area of 8.8 km² and a maximum depth of 1.7 m. The lake is historically known to be free of fish species which is believed to be a result of severe winter conditions. Indeed the lake is completely frozen during January (Apkhazava, 1975). After the mid 90s the gibel carp (Carassius gibelio, Bloch, 1782) firstly appeared there. However the exact date and the source of invasion of gibel carp in the lake is unknown and currently untraceable.

While gibel carp is the most common species in Georgia, very limited data is available on its biology, ecology and even distribution as well as its ecological impacts and economic importance in the region. The species is extensively studied in many countries and it is proved that the species is extremely tolerant to the variable environmental conditions (e.g. De Boeck et al., 2004; Nilsson and Renshaw, 2004). This makes gibel carp a very successful invader in all kinds of inland waters (Lusková et al., 2010) and it is considered as a strong competitor to other fish species and has strong impacts on the invaded habitats (Paulovits et al., 1998; Gaygusuz et al., 2007; Perdikaris et al., 2012; Tarkan et al., 2012). However, in some cases the species could also be an important addition to water ecosystems. For example, after the arrival of gibel carp, Lake Madatapa gained significant importance for the local community as a fish source. Furthermore the appearance of gibel carp in the lake resulted also in the appearance of pelicans (Pelicanus spp.) (Gavashelish. However there is no source of information on where the population characteristics of gibel carp was studied or what effects of it are seen in Georgian water ecosystems, although having such information is important for the management of water ecosystems and invasive fish species.
Within the framework of our ongoing project (with the aim of studying various hydrobiological properties of major lakes of Javakheti plateau) we reported the preliminary results of the study of gibel carp population in Lake Madatapa in order to provide basic information useful for future work. Lake Madatapa while harboring only one fish species could provide new insights into its population dynamics from an evolutionary perspective. Specifically we intended to explore the morphological characteristics, sex ratio and length-weight relationship (LWR) of the gibel carp population in the lake as well as to identify its parasitic species community and their prevalence.

Materials and methods
During the September of 2014 we sampled the gibel carp population in Lake Madatapa (N41.18°, E43.78°), using two gill nets with 18 and 24 mm mesh size (each net of 100 m long and 1.5 m height). Nets were installed in the evening and checked early the next morning to avoid sample loss by birds (gulls and ducks). Weight (to the nearest 0.01 g) and total length (to the nearest 0.1 mm) were measured in the field and all individuals were transported to the laboratory for further investigation.

Age was determined by inspecting the scales under the microscope and the sex was determined by the visual checking of gonads. Number of gill rakers and lateral line scales were counted and all live individuals (34) were also inspected for parasites. Fish were initially examined externally for ectoparasites and then gills, eyes and other internal organs were checked with common necropsy and parasitological methods (Stoskopf, 1993). Parasites were identified using the keys of Bykhovskaya-Pavlovska et al. (1964).

After the checking for outliers, log transformed power function \( W = aL^b \) was applied to account the LWR (Froese, 2006). To detect possible differences between males and females in LWR we applied multiple regression analyses with the sex as a dummy variable including sex-length interaction terms. Data transformation and regressions were performed using IBM SPSS software package (IBM corp.).

Results
In total 141 gibel carp specimens were caught in Lake Madatapa with an average weight 37.5 g (SD = 53, range [13.3-471.7]) and length of 12.5 cm (SD= 2.9, range [9.4 - 30]). The number of lateral line scales varied between 29 and 31 and the number of gill rakers varied between 36 and 47. The overall length-weight relationship falls within the margins of published sources (e.g. Froese and Pauly, 2014). There were no significant differences (i.e. interaction term is not significant after multiple regression - \( p>0.05 \)) between the regression coefficients for males and females and the slope \((b)\) does not...
differ significantly from 3 (Table 1). Out of 141 fished specimens, sex was determined for only 105 specimens (the gonads of remaining specimens were either strongly damaged by the parasites or were not developed) and the sex ratio was significantly female biased (1/1.8, $\chi^2=8$, $p<0.01$). There are no size or weight differences between males and females ($t=0.6$, df=103, $p<0.05$ for both cases).

Out of 34 specimens from Lake Madatapa, 31 (91%) were infected with one or two parasites. The plerocercoids of cestoda (*Ligula intestinalis* L., 1758) had highest prevalence (75%), whereas only 38% of studied fishes were infected with metacercariae of digenean trematode (*Diplostomum spathaceum* Rud, 1819). Both parasites were detected in only nine specimens (27%). Cestodes were found in five females, whereas sex was not determined for the other eight specimens. Of the 29 specimens infected with *L. intestinalis*, 24 (83%) had completely degenerated gonads. From the remaining five specimens, for whom the sex was determined, only one was male. Out of the three specimens without parasites, two were females and one was male.

**Discussion**

-only few published sources addressing the occurrence of *Carassius* spp. in Georgia resulted in confusing knowledge of species identity and their distribution (reviewed in Japoshvili et al., 2013). During the last 25 years, *Carassius* sp. invaded most of the inland waters of Georgia and it was commonly accepted that the species was *C. carassius* (L). However recent studies (Japoshvili et al., 2004; Japoshvili et al., 2013) showed that the gibel carp is probabole the only invasive *Carassius* carp in the waters of Georgian inland with doubtful occurrence of *C. carassius* in extreme western Georgia (Kessler, 1877-1878 cited in Elanidze, 1983). The studied population of gibel carp from Lake Madatapa has also typical morphological characteristics reported elsewhere (Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007) hence confirms our previous findings (Japoshvili et al., 2013).

<p>| Table 1: Regression statistics of length-weight relationships of gibel carp population in Madatapa Lake. |
|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Length range (cm)</th>
<th>Weight range (g)</th>
<th>Regression statistics</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.1 - 27.5</td>
<td>14 - 310.5</td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>95% CI for $a$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10.1 - 27.5</td>
<td>14 - 310.5</td>
<td>$\sim$ 1.73</td>
<td>-1.99 - (-1.47)</td>
<td>2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9.9 - 30</td>
<td>15 - 471.7</td>
<td>$\sim$ 1.79</td>
<td>-2.02 - (-1.56)</td>
<td>2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>9.4 - 30</td>
<td>13.3 - 471.7</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.95 - (-1.61)</td>
<td>2.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Populations of gibel carp are normally female biased and sometimes only females are represented (Tsoumani et al., 2006). However, the pattern varies greatly with the geographic region (reviewed in Liasko et al., 2011). In mixed populations, the proportion of males also varies greatly and seldom approaches 50 percent (Vetemaa et al., 2005; Liasko et al., 2011). While the gibel carp could reproduce gynogenetically with the help of other cyprinid fishes (Deinhardt, 2013), the gonohoristic mode of reproduction is ascribed to various factors such as parasitic load (“Red Queen” hypothesis (Jaenike, 1978; Lively, 2010; Vergara et al., 2014)) or severe environmental conditions (Liasko et al., 2011). Indeed the gibel carp in Lake Madatapa faces apparently severe environmental conditions as well as heavy parasitic loads. High prevalence of cestoda and trematoda parasites in the studied gibel carp population also supports the “Red Queen” hypothesis. On the other hand, gibel carp is the only fish in Lake Madatapa existing at least during the last 20 years and the survival of the population ultimately depends on the existence of conspecific males. This alone explains the high proportion of males in the system. There is no empirical study showing how the sexuality is maintained in a single species (with gynogenetic mode of reproduction) system however we do not yet know whether the population also includes triploid gynogenetic females. If this is the case, then the population may face to extinction threats under a simple population dynamic model (Smith, 1978; Doncaster et al., 2000). Hence the Madatapa Lake provides an excellent natural laboratory to disentangle the effects of different factors on the population structure and dynamics of gibel carp.
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