Age and growth of king nase, *Condrostoma regium* (Cyprinidae), from Bibi-Sayyedan River of Semirom, Isfahan, Iran
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**Introduction**

Age determination is an important step in fisheries management (Polat *et al.*, 1999). The cyprinid fish *Condrostoma regium* (Heckel, 1843) is widely distributed in Tigris-Euphrates basin and the Mediterranean basins of southeastern Turkey and the northern Levant (Suiçmez *et al.*, 2011). In Iran, it is found in Karun and Karkheh Rivers, the Hoor-al-Azim Marsh and also in Zayandehrud River and Bushehr basin (Ghorbani Chafi, 2000; Keivany *et al.*, 2015a). This species is not found in other basins of Iran (Esmaeili *et al.*, 2014a). However, there is little information about its habitat requirements. Length-Weight relationship and condition factor of *C. regium* in Euphrates was investigated by Özedmir (1982), age determination by Sen (1993) and Polat and Gumus (1995) in the Bafra Altunkaya Reservoir in Turkey using vertebrae, otoliths, scales, opercle and subopercle. Oymak (2000) examined growth characteristics of this species in Ataturk Reservoir on the Turkish Euphrates River. Age, growth and reproduction properties of this species living in Sir Reservoir were reported by Kara and Solak (2004). Ünlü (2006) reported that this species prefers stone grounds and still waters in rivers and lakes in Turkey. It is a benthopelagic species inhabiting both lentic and lotic environments (Suiçmez *et al.*, 2011). This species is omnivorous taking insect larvae and eggs and fry of other fishes. However, Gumus *et al.* (2002) in Suat Ugurlu Reservoir, Turkey, found that *Navicula, Cymbella* and *Synedra* were the most...
frequent consumed organisms. This species feeds often on Bacillariophyta in this reservoir, but also on Chlorophyta, Cyanophyta, Xanthophyta, Euglenophyta and Rotifera (Gumus et al., 2002). Diet varied with seasonal availability of food items. The aim of the present study was to investigate the length-weight relationship and age and growth of C. regium population in Bibi-Sayyedan River of Semirom, Isfahan as a tributary of Tigris basin in Iran.

Materials and methods
Fish samples were collected monthly from Bibi-Sayyedan River of Semirom County, at 51°24'00''E and 31°10'48''N in Isfahan Province, Iran, from 2010 to 2011. A total of 471 specimens were sampled. The sampling was performed using seine (15 m long, 2 m wide and 1 cm mesh size) and gill-nets of various mesh sizes (2-3 cm). After being caught, fish samples were transported to the laboratory and their total length (L) and weight (W) were measured to the nearest 0.001 cm and 0.01 g, respectively. The length-weight relationship was calculated by W = aL^b where W is weight of fish (g), L is total length (cm), a Intercept and b the slope of regression line. Pauly (1984) equation was used to determine age-length relationship as:

L_t = L_\infty [1 - e^{-k(t_{0})}] where L_t is total length at age t; L_\infty is asymptotic length (cm), k is body growth coefficient (year^{-1}) and t_0 is theoretical age at zero length (year^{-1}). The growth performance index was used to compare growth of fish (Pauly and Munro, 1984):

\Phi' = \log k + 2 \log L_\infty

Sex was determined by visual and microscopic examination of gonads. For sex ratio calculation, the Chi square test was used. To compare mean length, weight and age of different months, Analysis of variance followed by Duncan test was used at 95% confidence level by SPSS 19 software.

Results and discussion
Total length of males and females was between 6.1-18.1 (11.29 ± 0.19; mean ± SD) cm, and 5.5-21.5 (12.30 ± 0.27) cm, respectively. The majority of specimens were in 18.1 and 21.5 cm length groups. The weight ranged between 1.87-64.93 (17.52 ± 0.87) g for males and between 1.43-90.53 (20.91 ±
21.18) g for females. The LWR was 
\[ W = 0.007 L^{3.088} \] for males, 
\[ W = 0.007 L^{3.086} \] (\( r^2 = 0.98 \)) for all specimens (Fig. 
1). Correlation coefficient value (\( b \)) was 
very close in males and females and 
much more than 3 indicating a positive 
allometric growth pattern in all 
specimens (\( p < 0.05 \)).

The smaller size of 
the males may be due to the halting of 
growth at maturity and higher male 
mortality rates. Length and weight 
ranges of \( C. \) regium in 
Euphrates River was reported as 11.5– 
29.2 cm and 17–283 g (Sevik, 1997), in 
Ataturk Reservoir as 13.0–30.5 cm and 23–385 g (Oymak, 2000), in 
Karakaya Reservoir as 20.4–31.8 cm and 109–314 g (Kalkan and 
Erdemli, 2003), in Savur Stream as 
2.8–29.0 cm and 11-296 g (Ünlü, 2006), 
in Seyhan River as 14.64–21.00 cm, 
36.93–97.68 g and 16.00–23.55 and 
48.74–131.20 g (Ergüden et al., 2010).

As it appears, the Bibi–Sayyedan River 
population reaches a smaller size 
relative to river. Differences in the 
length and weight compositions could 
be due to many factors, including 
habitat, ecological properties of the 
study areas, season, the numeral and 
size of samples, sampling time and 
method, types of length measured, 
degree of stomach fullness, sex, gonad 
maturity, well-being, conservation 
techniques and differences in observed 
length ranges (Suicmez et al., 2011; 
Tabatabaei et al., 2014), and 
environmental conditions such as 
temperature and photoperiod and 
intraspecific difference with other 
individuals in different geographic 
regions (Keivany and Soofiani, 2004; 
Keivany et al., 2015b). The value of \( b \) 
can be variable between 2.5 and 4 and 
as a result of changes in fish shape, 
season, age, food availability, feeding, 
geographical location and growth 
(Özcan, 1987). Also, it is likely that in 
Bibi–Sayyedan River, dietary behavior 
and competition for food increase the \( b \) 
value (Suicmez et al., 2011; Esmaeili 
et al., 2014b).

The age and sex of 471 specimens 
determined during a whole year. The 
coincident age determinations of the 
two methods was used. Age ranged 
between 1+–4+ years in males and 1+–5+ 
years in females. Undetermined 
specimens belonged to 0+ age group. 
The 3+ year class was dominant in both 
males and females (Table 1). Age 
ranges for this species from different 
habitats in Turkey were determined as 
1+–8+ years in Euphrates (Oymak, 
2000), 2+–5+ years in Karakaya 
Reservoir (Kalkan and Erdemli, 2003), 
1+–5+ years in Sir Reservoir (Kara and 
Solak, 2004), 2+–5+ years in Koban 
River (Sen, 1993), 1+–4+ years in 
Seyhan Reservoir (Ergüden, 2010), and 
1+–6+ years for females and 1+–5+ years 
for males in the Almus Reservoir 
(Suicmez et al., 2011). The slight 
differences in the age distribution may 
be due to differences in habitat, 
nutrients, genetic, populations, fishing 
tools, mesh size and error in age 
determination (Kara and Solak, 2004; 
Ghanbarzadeh et al., 2014).
Some 320 specimens (68%) were females, 138 (29%) males, and 13 (3%) were undetermined sexes (Fig. 1).

The overall sex ratio M:F was 1:2.3, which is significantly different from 1:1 ratio ($p<0.05$). Females were dominant in all age groups (Table 1) and all months. Sex ratio in *C. regium* in other regions is summarized in Table 1. Males had a short lifetime and earlier sex maturation, this difference could increase the ratio of females to males. Another reason could be the easier catch of one sex relative to another. Also, when food is abundance in the ecosystem, females outnumbers the males and vice versa. Effect of temperature, selective mortality through sexual variable fishing, different behavior between the sexes, stopping of one sex in spawning zone and seasonal patterns of spawning migration are among the factors affecting the sex ratio in different geographical areas. Also, the differences in sex ratio may be due to fishing tools, predation season and time-location dispersal. This index may differ from one species to another, one population to another and can vary from year to year within the same population. Other instances include variation in spatial distribution, different response to the given net color or differences in their feeding behavior (Nikolsky, 1963).

The Von Bertalanffy growth equation for length at age relationship in males and females was estimated as $L_t= 18.97 \ [1 - e^{-0.279 \ (t + 0.580)}]$ and $L_t= 23.85 \ [1 - e^{-0.196(t + 0.535)}]$ and for weight at age relationships as $W_t= 61.9113 \ [1 - e^{-0.279 \ (t + 0.580)}]^{3.088}$ and $W_t= 124.7462 \ [1 - e^{-0.196 \ (t + 0.535)}]^{3.086}$, respectively (Fig. 2, Table 2).
Figure 1: Age–Length relationships of males (Top) and females (Bottom) of *Chondrostoma regium* in the Bibi–Sayyedan River.
Figure 2: Age–Weight relationships in males (Top) and female (Bottom) of *Chondrostoma regium* in the Bibi–Sayyedan River.
### Table 1: Age and sex composition of *Chondrostoma regium* population from Bibi-Sayyedan River.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Sex ratio</th>
<th>Undetermined sexes</th>
<th>All specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|:|:|:|:|:|:|
|n: number of specimens, L∞: asymptotic length, K: Growth rate, t₀: Hypothetical age at zero length, Ф’: Growth performance index.

### Table 2: The Von Bertalanffy growth parameters and growth performance index values of *Chondrostoma regium* reported from different studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>L∞ (cm)</th>
<th>t₀ (year)</th>
<th>K (year⁻¹)</th>
<th>Ф’</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ünlü (1990) (Savur Stream)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>28.35</td>
<td>-3.30</td>
<td>0.430</td>
<td>2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>-3.08</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oymak (2000) (Attaturk Reservoir)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>38.67</td>
<td>-3.07</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>35.01</td>
<td>-2.75</td>
<td>0.168</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kara and Solak (2004) (Sir Reservoir)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>252</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>-3.44</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>2.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>38.13</td>
<td>-4.03</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergüden (2010) (Seyhan Reservoir)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>29.83</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>26.85</td>
<td>-1.63</td>
<td>0.255</td>
<td>2.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suiçmez et al. (2011) (Almus Reservoir)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>33.50</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>18.97</td>
<td>-0.580</td>
<td>0.279</td>
<td>2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>23.87</td>
<td>-0.535</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Based on the growth performance index ($\Phi'$), males showed a higher (2.35) growth rate than females (2.23). Length and weight differences between males and females for ages $1^+$ and $2^+$ years were not significant ($p>0.05$). There is little data on age and growth parameters and growth performance index of this species in the literatures (Özdemir, 1982; Froese and Pauly, 2016). The growth rate for males was higher than females in the early life, but in later phases, this rate declined in males and increased in females. Asymptotic length and weight estimated for females ($L_\infty = 23.87$ cm and $W_\infty=124.75$ g) was higher than for males ($L_\infty = 18.97$ cm and $W_\infty = 61.91$ g) and the growth coefficient for females ($k = 0.20$) was less than that of males ($k = 0.28$). This could be related to the faster growth and longer lifespan of females (Ricker, 1975). The $\Phi'$ values of present study are not significantly different from other studies (Table 2) ($p>0.05$).

Growth differences in Ergüden et al. (2010) are probably due to animate environmental conditions, fishing period and lentic systems. Population of $C.\ regium$ in Bibi-Seyyedan River grow relatively slower than other areas. Fish in standing waters, grow better than flooding streams because of more abundant food, higher temperatures and less activity requirements in standing waters (Noltie, 1988; Keivany et al., 2015c).
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