Induction of triploidy with caffeine treatment in the African catfish (*Clarias gariepinus*)
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Abstract

Induction of triploidy is one of the biotechnological methods in aquaculture used for genetic manipulation. It refers to a state where organisms have three complete sets of chromosomes instead of two and can result in sterility. Caffeine treatment that is safe and inexpensive, serve to induce triploidy in catfish. To suppress the second meiotic division, fertilized eggs were exposed to three different concentrations (5, 10 and 15 mM) of caffeine solution for 20 min beginning at 3 min after fertilization. After that, the eggs were incubated at ambient temperature until hatching. The induction of triploidy in fry was determined for three concentrations of caffeine by means of flow-cytometric analysis. The lowest rate of triploidy (20.40 ± 1.13%) was obtained in the group treated with 5 mM caffeine and the highest (69.10 ± 2.18%) in the group treated with 15 mM caffeine. Our results suggest that caffeine can be used to induce triploidy in catfish.

Keywords: *Clarias gariepinus*, Triploidy, Caffeine, Flow cytometry.
Introduction
As a technique of chromosome engineering, triploidy is widely accepted method for producing sterile fish for aquaculture and fisheries management. Gonadal development and gametes production in fish may negatively affect growth and feed conversion rates that decrease the percentage of fillet or marketable production because during the gonadal development, the fish mobilizes part of the absorbed nutrients and/or part of the body reserves for gonadal development and gametes production (Purdom, 1983; Thorgaard, 1986; Henken et al., 1987). Therefore, production of sterile fish is useful for the aquaculture industry.

Triploidy induction for aquaculture has been applied to several species of salmon (Johnston et al., 1989), trout (Bonnet et al., 1999) and catfish (Hammed et al., 2010; Karami et al., 2010). African catfish (C. gariepinus) is one of the most important tropical cultured fish due to high growth rate, high stocking-density capacities, high consumer acceptability and high resistance to poor water quality and oxygen depletion (Akinwole and Faturoti, 2007; Adewolu et al., 2008).

For the mass production of triploid catfish in commercial hatcheries, large quantities of eggs must be treated simply, cheaply and safely because the treatment must not damage either humans or the natural environment. Cold (Sun et al., 1992; Yang et al., 1997) and hot (Pandian and Koteeswaran, 1998) treatments for triploid induction are safe because no chemicals are used. However, these treatments require an optimal treatment temperature to be maintained in a large volume in order to treat a large quantity of eggs. Pressure treatment is also considered to be safe (Pandian and Koteeswaran, 1998). However, in pressure treatment specific equipments are required and it is usually difficult to treat many eggs on a commercial scale. Chemical treatments such as cytochalasin B (CB) (Maldonado et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2004) and 6-dimethylaminopurine (6-DMAP) (Yan and Chen, 2002; Liu et al., 2004) are highly toxic, very expensive and not realistic for large scale treatment in commercial hatcheries. On the other hand, caffeine is a chemical recognized as a food constituent in many countries. Caffeine is safer and cheaper than either the CB or 6-DMAP. Therefore, caffeine is a promising agent for the mass production of triploids (Okumura et al., 2007). Nonetheless, triploid induction by caffeine treatment has been reported only in bivalves (Scarpa et al., 1994; Okumura et al., 2007) and trout (Turan et al., 2012a). In this study, we investigated the potential use of caffeine treatment for commercial production of triploid African catfish.

Material and methods
Wild C. gariepinus broodstock was captured from the Asiriver, Hatay, Turkey and transported to the Mustafa Kemal University Aquaculture Research Unit. The incubation tanks and caffeine solutions were already prepared prior to fertilization. The flow-through system was made to run and regulate. Proper aeration was ensured by the use of electric
airpumps. Trouttriploidy induction protocol (Turan et al., 2012a) were modified and used as a baseline to set the parameters (caffeine dosage) for this species. In order to suppress the second meiotic division, fertilized eggs were exposed to three different concentrations (5, 10 and 15 mM) caffeine solution for 20 min beginning at 3 min after fertilization (Table 1). Diploid controls not subjecting caffeine treatment were originated from the same parents. Each treatment and control groups were done in triplicate. The fertilization was carried out in water at a temperature of 25.0±1.2°C. Total hatching was noticed after 36 h of incubation. After absorption of the yolk sac, catfish larvae were fed Tubifex tubifex (Muller) provided ad libitum. At ten days, the larvae were fed a trout diet (Aquamaks, Turkey: 48% protein, 18% lipid) three times a day ad libitum.

At the end of the experiment (60 days after absorption of yolk sac), total length and weight were measured for each individual and survival rate were calculated for each treatment. The larvae were sampled from each treatment group at 3 day after hatching (d.p.h) and fixed with 70% ethanol and stored at -20°C until analysis (Nomura et al., 2004). For measurement of the relative DNA content, flow cytometry (FCM) was conducted using a BD FACS Canto flow cytometer (Beckton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems San Jose, CA, USA). The method of FCM analysis was followed according to the protocol described by Çakmak Yılmazer (2011). The statistical testing to verify differences between the groups was carried out using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA).

**Results**

The triploid yield was ranged from 66.33±3.51% to 19.01±1.18% between the caffeine treatment groups (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Time after fertilization (min)</th>
<th>Shock duration (min)</th>
<th>Triploid Yield (%)</th>
<th>Percent Diploid (2n)</th>
<th>Percent Triploid (3n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.01±1.18</td>
<td>79.60</td>
<td>20.40±1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.50±0.73</td>
<td>58.28</td>
<td>41.72±0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 mM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.33±3.51</td>
<td>30.90</td>
<td>69.10±2.18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values (mean ± S.E. of triplicate) and total number of larvae (3 days after hatching) ploidies analyzed by flow cytometry.
These findings indicate that 15 mM caffeine was the best treatment for the induction of triploid catfish. The survival rate and weight gain of the caffeine treatment groups are shown in Table 2.
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Figure 1: Flow cytometric histograms for the relative DNA content of somatic cells when somatic cell of normal diploid catfish are used as standard of normal diploidy. Diploid (2n) larva of control (a), triploid (3n) and diploid larva of treated groups (5 mM, 10 mM and 15 mM as b, c, d respectively).

Table 2: Survival rate and weight gain in treated and control batches.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Time after fertilization (min)</th>
<th>Shock duration (min)</th>
<th>Survival rate (%)</th>
<th>Weight gain (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>74.16±0.52&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.61±0.62&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 mM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>72.58±0.45&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.72±0.47&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 mM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70.49±0.76&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.40±0.73&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 mM</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>71.53±0.71&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.88±0.19&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values (mean ± S.E. of triplicate) with same superscripts in each line indicate not significant differences (*p*<0.05).
The survival rates were ranged from 74.16% to 71.53%, and there was statistical difference between the experimental and control groups \((p<0.05)\). However, no significant differences were detected in weight gain between the treatments and control group \((p>0.05)\) (Table 2).

Discussion

The results of the present work have clearly demonstrated that caffeine treatment produce triploid African catfish. Until recently, there has been no published information on triploid induction by caffeine treatment in African catfish. The best triploid rate in African catfish was 69.10% in 15 mM caffeine treatment. This percentage may be low but strongly indicate that caffeine treatment on triploidization of catfish is effective. Richter et al. (1987) and Hammed et al. (2010) reported that the most effective timing and shock duration for triploid induction in African catfish is generally for 20 min duration initiated 3 min after fertilization in African catfish. Therefore, we used similar timing and shock duration for triploid induction in present study. Turan et al. (2012a and 2012b) also reported the success of caffeine treatment on triploid production of rainbow trout. In the present study, increased caffeine concentration also increased the triploid rate. In order to obtain 100% triploid African catfish, optimizing caffeine concentration should be conducted in the future studies. In the present study, there was no adverse effect of the caffeine treatment on survival and weight gain of African catfish. Scarpa et al. (1994), Okumura et al. (2007) and Turan et al. (2012a) also reported similar results for the survival and weight gain in other species with caffeine treatments.

This is a first report to our knowledge regarding the potential of caffeine treatment on induction of triploidy in African catfish. The caffeine treatment is simple, safe and inexpensive. Therefore, this method can be applied for commercial production of triploid catfish in aquaculture. However, further investigation is required to obtain a 100% triploid African catfish population.

Acknowledgements

The study was supported by the project 0204 Y 0102 of University of Mustafa Kemal in Turkey. Also, the authors gratefully acknowledge the support of İzmir Institute of Technology (in Turkey) for the use of the Institute laboratory.

References


mykiss) and seawater brown trout (Salmo trutta). Aquaculture, 173(1–4), 359–375.


