Effects of temperature on hematological and histopathological changes and survival rate of juvenile *Fenneropenaeus vannamei* experimentally challenged to White Spot Virus
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Abstract

Many shrimp farmers were suffering from White Spot Disease (WSD) onset in last decades. Oscillation of environmental factors could lead mortality in susceptible hosts. Our study was aimed to investigate the effect of different temperatures on juvenile *Fenneropenaeus vannamei* experimentally exposed to White Spot Virus (WSV). Five hundred and forty juveniles were distributed among 3 treatments in triplicates, 22, 25 and 30°C and experimentally WSV were injected in the shrimps. Our results showed mortality started at 36 h post inoculation (hpi) in the treatment at 25°C (T\(_{25}\)), meanwhile the mean value of mortality percent at 54 hpi in T\(_{25}\) (71.10±17.35) showed the significant difference (\(p=0.045\)) with T\(_{22}\) (3.33±3.33) and T\(_{30}\) (Not Observed, NO.). Our results suggest that in site selection, in primary stage of farm designing, water temperature at more than 29°C, should be considered as key environmental factor. This finding can lead us that why the White Spot Disease occurred with high mortality in some area when the days of shrimp culture were prolonged until mid autumn.
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Introduction

Almost farmers were suffering from White Spot Virus invaded to the shrimp, Pennaeus indicus farms in Iran during 1992-2006 (Kakoolaki et al., 2010) and then Fenneropenaeus vannemei SPF (Specific Pathogen Free) were transported from Hawaii to Iran to control the disease (Kakoolaki et al., 2011). Shrimp culture has been a familiar industry and well extended throughout the world especially in south-east of Asia since 1990 (Sánchez-Martínez et al., 2007). Estimated losses due to WSV only in Asia have been approximately $6 billion (Lightner, 2011). Small size of the ponds, abrupt alteration in physico-chemical criteria specially salinity and temperature and prolonged the days of culture in the shrimp farms result in few epizootic of White Spot Diseases (WSD) in Iran in last decade (Kakoolaki, 2004; Kakoolaki et al., 2011; Peinado-Guevara and Lopez-Meyer, 2006; Soltani et al., 1998). So far, the effective way of temperature on WSV outbreak has not been well known. Rahman et al. (2007; 2006) showed that higher temperature with 33°C could be used to control the mortality of WSV infected shrimp in the field. Due to effects of temperature on metabolisms, growth, survival rate and immunological criteria, it becomes one of the most important environmental factor in shrimp farms (Wyban et al., 1995). Esparza-Leal et al. (2010) showed if days of shrimp culture extend to autumn, the susceptibility to WSV among the exposure shrimps could be increased (Esparza-Leal et al., 2010). The risk of WSV outbreak is reduced when the water temperature goes up and salinity fluctuation is low (Tendencia et al., 2010b). In contrary to the results of Sahoo et al. (2005), the association between WSV outbreak and environmental risk factors such as temperature (Corsin et al., 2002; Peinado-Guevara and Lopez-Meyer, 2006), ammonia (Corsin et al., 2001) and salinity (Kakoolaki et al., 2011) Some scientist studied the effects of temperature on the expression of WSV gene (Granja et al., 2006; Reyes et al., 2007). Our study was aimed to investigate the effect of different temperature on mortality criteria in Fenneropenaeus vannamei exposed to WSV.

Materials and methods

Five hundred and forty juveniles of P. vannamei (7.98±0.54) were gathered from Quarantine research shrimp farm located in Bushehr province in south of Iran and then transferred to the Iran Shrimp Center for experimental and laboratory examinations. Shrimp were then acclimated to the determined conditions as treatments and corresponding controls in triplicates (T₁= 22°C named 22, T₂=25°C named 25 and T₃=30 °C named 30 as treatments 1, 2 and 3). The shrimp were then distributed to 18 glass aquariums (50x50x60cm) which 60% of each was filled with well clean aerated seawater (42 ppt). The shrimp were kept, fed and siphoned in the current condition for 40 days before exposure to the virus, according to our previous article (Kakoolaki et al., 2011).

Virus with the titre of LD₅₀= 1x10⁵⁴ and code no. of WSV / irn / 1 / 2011 already had prepared in Motamedi lab in Iran were used in challenging with the treatments but control
groups were left untreated. The mixture was stored at -80 °C until use (Motamedi Sedeh et al., 2011). After acclimation, Shrimps were intramuscularly inoculated with 50 µl of virus solution (Kakoolaki et al., 2011).

After the mortality observation, 0.2ml of Hemolymph were withdrawn from the second leg’s basement of ventral segments from 3 moribund shrimp of each triplicates in treatments and controls, using 1 ml syringe along with 26 gauge needle. Each syringe pre-filled with 0.8 ml Alsever solution as anticoagulant (Kakoolaki et al., 2010; Kondo, 2003). According to the method of Afsharnasab et al. (2009) the whole body of these three moribund shrimp were prepared to transfer to histopathology and PCR labs of Iran Shrimp Center. The appendages and gill tissues were cut, placed in Eppendorf micro tubes containing ethanol 70% for PCR technique using IQ2000 WSV commercial kit. Remaining tissues were placed in tubes containing Davidson’s fixative. Davidson’s fixative were discarded and replacing with ethanol 70% after 48-72 h in the lab and staining by H & E method (Lightner, 1996).

THC was carried out using the Neubauer type’s Hemocytometer. A drop of hemolymph-anticoagulant mixture was then placed on it and staining with May-Grundwald Giemsa (MGG) method begun after then. The method for fixation and staining of the hemolymph was carried out based on the new methods given in previous article (Kakoolaki et al., 2011)

TPP (µg.dl⁻¹) was examined using centrifuge (3000 rpm for 10 min. at 4 °C) the mixture of distinct anticoagulant and collected hemolymph (n=6) of each triplicate of the treatments. The supernatants were removed and transferred after 24 h with freezing condition to the lab to examine TPP of the treatments using biochemical autoanalyzer (Technicon, RA 1000).

The PCR examinations of the treatments were assessed using IQ2000, WSV commercial kit after exposure the shrimp to the virus.

One Way-ANOVA and Bonferroni multi-comparison tests were carried out to compare the effects of different degrees of temperature on mortality rate, Epigastric hematopoietic spheroid values and THC, TPP and DHC values after challenging the virus against the treatments using SPSS 17. The mean values of criteria are given as Mean ± SE of Mean.

Results

The results of the PCR of the primary stocking of shrimp were negative before the exposure to the virus was begun but based on the Figures 2-6 the hypertrophied cells and the inclusion bodies of the WSV observed through to the target tissues such as gills and epithelial cells of gut, interstitial spaces of hepatopancreas and heart muscle cells. Histopathological finding showed the severe
infection in T25 in comparison to T22 and T30, respectively. The PCR results of the treatments were positive (based on the IQ2000, WSV commercial kit) after exposure the shrimp to the virus (Fig. 1).

Figure 1: The positive result of PCR for the WSV of the pooled samples from each treatment (IQ2000, WSV commercial kit)

Cumulative mortality and mortality percent were shown in figure 7 and table 1. According to these, the mortality percent at 54 h post inoculation (hpi) in T25 (71.10±17.35) had significant difference (p=0.045) with T22 (3.33±3.33) and T30 (Not Observed, NO.).
Table 1: Mortality percent differences between treatments ($\alpha=0.05$, $n=3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean±Std. Error</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mortality.rate.54h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.33±3.33$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>71.10±17.35</td>
<td>.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>.00$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24.81±12.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>26.70±.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortality.rate.72h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>28.90±17.35</td>
<td>.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.13±4.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>22.24±5.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>24.43±4.82$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortality.rate.96h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>23.30±0.00$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.91±4.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>23.33±3.33$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortality.rate.110h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>12.23±2.93a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>11.85±3.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>16.63±8.81</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortality.rate.138h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>31.10±4.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>15.91±5.32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.56±1.13$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortality.rate.170h</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>.00$^a$</td>
<td>.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>22.23±3.99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9.26±3.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Different scripts show the significant differences at the level of .05

Based on the table 2 the quantity of Spheroids more developed ($p<.05$) in $T_{25}$ (7.50±0.18) and $T_{22}$ (6.37±0.26) in comparison to $T_{30}$ (1.62±0.53).
Table 2: Spheroid formation in different treatments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spheroids</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean±Std. Error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22oc</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.37±0.26</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25oc</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.50±0.18</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30oc</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.62±0.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>5.16±0.56</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Different scripts show the significant differences at the level of .05

The mortality of T25 started in 36 hpi with about 33.30 % of stock and completed after 60 h.

Figure 2: Infected epithelial cells in gill, H & E, Scale bar: 10, ×100

Figure 3: Infected epithelial cell in mid gut, H & E, Scale bar: 10, ×100
The maximum mortality percent (71.10) was occurred in $T_{25}$ at the primary hours (36 h) after inoculation but and mortality in $T_{22}$ and $T_{30}$ begun at 58 h. The mortality percents of T25 became 100% at 60 h with 28.90% but in T22 and T30 were completed at 152 and 170 hpi, respectively. The mean value of total protein, total hemocte count (THC), total differentiate count containing large granular cell (LGC), semi granular cell (SGC) and hemocyte count (HC) were given in table 3. The mean value of total protein in $T_{30}$ shrimp hemolymph (157.82± 22.59) was significantly higher ($p=.012$) than that of in $T_{22}$ (76.60± 3.57) and $T_{25}$ (106.72± 17.80) but no significant difference between $T_{22}$ and $T_{25}$ was
The mean value of THC in $T_{30}$ ($16.06 \pm 2.48$) was significantly higher than those of $T_{22}$ ($8.96 \pm 1.81$) and $T_{25}$ ($7.52 \pm 1.18$). Of course, there is a significant difference between the two last ($p<.05$). *No mortality were observed in whole control groups (fig. 7).

**Table 3: Hemolymph parameters in different treatments**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean ±Std. Error</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL PROTEIN ug/dl</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>76.60 ± 3.57&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>66.60</td>
<td>90.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>106.72 ± 17.80&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>175.00</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>157.82 ± 22.59&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.80</td>
<td>220.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>113.71 ± 12.18</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>220.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>THC×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8.96 ± 1.81&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.52 ± 1.18&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.06 ± 2.48&lt;sup&gt;bc&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.60</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10.85 ± 1.37</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>22.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LGC×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>30.33 ± 1.68&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28.83 ± 1.01&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>34.16 ± 1.44&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>29.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31.11 ± 0.93</td>
<td>26.00</td>
<td>38.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SGC×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46.83 ± 1.49</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>56.50 ± 2.17&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>48.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54.50 ± 0.92&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>52.00</td>
<td>57.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>52.61 ± 1.33</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HC×10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.50 ± 1.38</td>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>14.66 ± 1.74&lt;sup&gt;*&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>.000&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30oc</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.33 ± 0.21&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15.50 ± 1.31</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Different scripts show the significant differences at the level of .05

**Significant difference was observed at the level of .01
Discussion

Oscillations of environmental factors could result in high mortality rate in shrimp farms. Due to the effect of these factors e.g. temperature increase susceptibility of the host as well the viral replication process (Moser et al., 2012). According to the figure 1, it seems that the load of the virus in T30 was lower than that of the T22 and T25. In addition, the mortality of T30 showed (Fig. 1, Table 1) a slight trend in contrary to T25. This result is close to finding of Granja et al. (2006) that showed the load of White Spot Virus (WSV) is reduced when the shrimp maintain in higher temperature. Other scientists (Du et al., 2006; Guan et al., 2003) showed that increasing the temperature to around 30 and more could reduce the replication of WSV even stop it in Marsupenaeus japonicas and procambarus clarkia, respectively. Similar to the results of Granja et al. (Granja et al., 2006) and Vidal et al. (2001) that showed the optimum temperature for loading the virus in P. vannamei is 25°C, our finding confirmed that the higher mortality in P. vannamei with water salinity of 42 ppt is 25°C and the temperature of 30°C (Figs 1, 7) is less predisposing degree for loading virus and. This findings are contradicted to the result of Moser et al. (2012) that showed the mortality rate is low and the replication of WSV is high in the shrimps kept in 29-30°C. But in similar to our finding, they showed the WSD onset occurred 36 hpi in shrimps kept in temperature at 25°C and contrarily, they found lower temperature allows no replication of the virus. The daily water-temperature fluctuations with hyperthermia (>32 C) could partially reduce WSV replication in some ponds (Rahman et al., 2007; Vidal et al., 2001) but this partial protection decreased when water temperature
declined from 28.0–33.4°C to 24.9°C while WSD onset was observed in almost cages (Esparza-Leal et al., 2010). Similarly, Tendencia, et al. (2010a) showed the higher prevalence of WSD in the sea was higher in November until January and confirmed WSD onset in earthen ponds is associated with lower degrees of temperature (Tendencia et al., 2010b). Results showed that hyperthermia reduces the expression of WSV genes on shrimp sub-cuticular epithelial cells (Reyes et al., 2007).

Following our results, the mean value of total protein and THC are higher in temperature 30°C than temperatures 22°C and 25°C. Based on the table 3 the percent value of LGC in T30 is significantly higher (P=.046) than the other treatments and followed by T 22 and T 25. Sudden mortality 36 hpi in T 25 could be due to the stressfulness of this degree for the shrimp and or optimum degree for replication of the virus. This finding can lead us that why the White Spot Disease occurred in Khuzestan in south of Iran when the days of shrimp culture were prolonged until mid autumn. White Spot Disease onset occurred in all area in south of Iran exception Hormozegan province where the pond water temperature was usually more than the 29-30°C during the summer. But in Khuzestan province the fluctuation of pond-water temperature within 24 h sometimes reach 5-7 degrees (Kakoolaki, 2004). Our results suggest that in site selection in primary stage of farm designing, water temperature more than 29°C, such as Hormozegan province in south of Iran, should be considered.
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